PRA Requests

Received From: 1/1/2018 Received To: 1/31/2018 Total: 194

Response Summary	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and enclosed the responsive documents.	Access to the telecommunications system utilized by law enforcement agencies, including the Department of Justice (DOJ), is restricted by law (Gov. Code§ 15152). Access to records relating to California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS) inquiries is similarly restricted. Confidentiality provisions like these are expressly incorporated into the PRA (Gov. Code,§ 6254, subd. (K)). As such, your request for records is denied. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places and have been unable to locate records responsive to your request. To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attomey General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attomey General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (if. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].)	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can coduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c.)) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking case records, you may wish to contact the court
	Jaimie Tackett	Jaimie Tackett	Erin Nickless	Erin Nickless	Prin Nickless
Reques t Status	Complet Jaimie ed Tacket	Complet Jaimie ed Tackett	Complet	Complet ed	Complet Erin ed Nick
Request Reques or t Status Busines s Name					
Receiv Request Description ed Date	Is it possible to obtain the raw 2016 statewide arrest file (with names, addresses, SSNs, and all other personal identifiers redacted) showing at least the date of arrest, county, jurisdiction, age, sex, race/Hispanic ethnicity, and detailed offense. I realize this is a large file. If that is not available or would be difficult to provide, are the 2015 and 2016 files available for year, offense category, race/Hispanic ethnicity, sex, county, and jurisdiction, for more detailed ages 10-14, 15-17, 18-19, 20-24, 25-29, 30-39, 40-49, 50-59, 60+ (the ages on the County page).	Record(s) showing all time/dates that my name and/or driver's license was checked in the California Law Enforcement Telecommunication System. CLETS. the request dates to search - where available - are from August 1, 2004 to March 1, 2014. My driver's license # is: "Aaron"	1/2/201 Freedom of Information Act Request I would like copies of any April 1981 "Keddie Murders" case interviews conducted by the DOJ with Sheila Sharp, Ricky Sharp, Greg Sharp, Justin Eason, Glenna Meeks, Zonita Seabolt, Marty Smartt. This is a request under the Freedom of Information Act. I am researching the 1981 "Keddie Murders" case. I realize the case is still considered open but is soon to be 37 years old and the two main suspects deceased. I am an individual seeking information for personal use and not commercial use. If you estimate the fees will exceed \$100 please inform me first. I sincerely appreciate your consideration of this request.	Case # 18609157M9503 John A Hardv DOB Looking for all records related to this case	A case involving myself and a woman named Autumn Rhodes who lives in Canada. Date is anywhere from 5/1/17-10/1/17 Case would be about internet harassment or sta king, something of that sort. I was swindeled out of tens of thousands of dollars by this woman and upon discovering I was being conned I got mad and wrote her a bunch of emails demanding the truth, an apology, an explanation and my money back. I'm trying to figure out when exactly these harrassment
	8 8	8	8 8	1/2/201 8	8
Reques tor First Name	Michael	Aaron	Kim	Amy	Nizar
Requesto r Last Name	Males	Raiser	Roland	Hoffman	Nassar
Requ est ID	PRA- 2018- 00001	PRA- 2018- 00002	PRA- 2018- 00003	PRA- 12018-	PRA- 2018- 00005

in which the case was heard. To the extent that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure bursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.	Howard We will provide you with such documents. Please contact Nancy Quach at 415-703-Wayne 5648 to schedule time for you to review these records. If you wish to copy these records, you will need to provide your own scanning equipment.	Complet Riann The California Gambling Control Act, in California Business and Professions Code kopothak section 1982 1; audiovision (b) it provides that the Bureau 'shall maintain a file of all applications for licenses" and that the file is "open to public inspection. The Bureau is therefore enclosing copies of the license applications, maintained in the referenced file, of the entity and the individual felicines applications. Instantained in the referenced file, of the entity and the individual felicines applications. Instantained in the referenced california Public Records Act, in California Covernment Code section 6224, subdivision (i), and section 6225; home address, home phone number, personal colliphone number, applicant that date, social security number, and odiolar compensation of principal. This personal information has been redacted because it is protected by the strong public policy set forth in California Constitution article. I section 1, the right of privacy, yet is of minor displications identified above, the Gambling Control Act specifies that except as provided therein, the records of the Bureau are exempt from disclosure under the California Dusines and official or neurolyse of the Department for Misclosure under the California Dusines and official or personal indomination to a person not authorized by law to receive them, except as necessary for the administration of the Gambling Control Act as pecifies that understanced therein, the records request suggests no applicable exception to the general exemption from disclosure set forth misclosure by the terms of the Department to knowingly disclose or furnish records or information to a person not authorized by law to receive them, except as necessary for the administration of the Gambling Control Act. A review dy vur records requests a suggests no applicable exception to the general exemption from disclosure set forth and misclosure by the term of the Public Records Act, pursuant to Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). In
	Complet Howard ed Wayne	Somplet F
allegations were first made against me, what the pending charges are and the exact details Ms. Rhodes included in her report.	Documents served to the Attorney General's Office pursuant to California Insurance Code section 10134, et seq. from December 1st, 2017 through December 31st, 2017.	All records, correspondence including electronic communications relating to the licensure of Hemphill's Cardroom in Napa County California or Timothy Long from January 2014 to the present, including but not limited to notes, investigation reports, recommendations, staff reports, notes of communications, including the October 30, 2017 from Jarhett Blonien to the Bureau of Gambling Control requesting the BGC cease its review of the APA between BVK Gaming, Inc. and Timothy Long dated October 1, 2007.
	1/2/201	1/2/201 8 8
	Natasha	Amber
	Haddal	Dastrup
	PRA- H 2018- 00006	PRA- 2018- 00007

made by Associate Governmental Program Analyst Kaylee Forthman and Deputy Attorney General Robert L. Mukai.	Complet Jaimie The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard ed Tackett to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. In regard to item (1), please find attached. Note, we have included the 2017 forms that have not been entered yet in a PDF to accompany the spreadsheet. In regard to item (2), the J-9A form is a federal form that county jails complete and send directly to the Federal Bureau of Investigation; therefore, the DOJ does not receive or retain this information.	her C. other documents that are matters of public record regarding the New Canvassing Lamerdi Experience and Oxfam America Action Fund are available for your viewing at the public pages of the Registry of Charitable Trusts website: http://rct.do j.ca.gov/MyLicense Verification/Search.aspx?facility= Y You could search by using the "Organization Name," or by using "State Charity Registration Number" which is FP0002486, New Canvassing Experience's commercial fundraiser registration number, or 127627, the charity registration number for Oxfam America Action Fund, Inc.	Silva he had been to the court, but certain records were under seal. He thanked us for the call and appreciated us directing him to the DA's office.	Nickless respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agency. DOJ will nickless respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking SAT/ACT records, you may wish to direct your request to the agency that issues the tests.	Complet James Please find enclosed a copy of the Guide to Charitable Giving for Donors. We do not ed M. Toma have a publication titled "Guide for Charities in Los Angeles." However, we have a publication entitled "Attorney General's Guide for Charities: Best practices for nonprofits that operate or fundraise in California." It may be found on the Attorney
	Comple	Complet ed	Complet ed	Complet ed	Comple
	Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq I request the following information in electronic format for all in-custody deaths (excluding state prisons) for all counties in California. 1. All death in custody reports submitted on Form BCIA 713 to the Department of Justice from the beginning of 2010 through the present date. 2. All death in custody annual summaries on inmates under jail jurisdiction submitted on form J-9A submitted to the to the Department of Justice from the beginning of 2007 through 2017.	This is my 2nd request for the revenue information as would be reported in form CT-2cf for commercial fundraiser "New Canvassing Experience." Please send me the 2016 revenue information for Oxfam America and New Canvassing Experience (EIN 812583107 eg #FP0002486). This information is now 1 year past due as required by California regulation and not available on the website. Also, please also send me 2016 revenue information for Save the Children and New Canvassing Experience as this is 1kely past due as well being that it is now 2018. I have sent a larger complaint regarding this commercial fundraiser. Children and New Canvassing Experience as this is 1kely past due as well being that it is now 2018. I have sent a larger complaint regarding this commercial fundraiser.	I'd like to request any records the State of California may have regarding a murder case from 1973 for which the defendant was found guilty of 8 counts of murder. I've contacted the courts, law libraries, and the State Archives, but due to the age of this case they haven't yet been found. The documents I'm seeking are: Trial court documents (People v. Kemper, Santa Cruz Superior Court Case #50628) Appellate court documents (California 1st District Court of Appellate court documents (California 1st District Court of Appellate court documents (California 1st District Court of Appellate court Base #50628) Any additional files that State may have available on the convicted offender, Edmund Emil Kemper III (b. December 18, 1948) Thank you for your consideration of my request.	SAT/ACT scores	Requesting Information on both charitable giving for donors and guide for charities in Los Angeles.
	1/2/201 8	1/2/201 8	1/2/201 8	8	1/2/201
	Julie	Robert	Gregory	Maria	Lydell
	Smail	ر _ا ام	oroupia	Galaviz	Lawrence
	PRA- 2018- 000008	PRA- L 2018- 000009	PRA- H 2018- n 000010	PRA- 2018- 00011	PRA- L 2018- 00042

General website (https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/charities/publications/guide for charities.pdf).	We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information to have sureded, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the can are durstoody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that its responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specificially as possible. Some of the ways one Providing the actual name or title of the record. Providing the actual name or title of the record. Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. Stating the year, and month if poss be, in which the record was created. If related to a case, providing the case name or number. Indicating the cSection of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. It is the policy of the Department to provide access to all public records upon request unless the law provides an exemption from mandarory disclosure. Examples of records exempt from mandarory disclosure access to all public records upon request unless the law provides an exemption from mandarory disclosure. Examples of records exempt from mandarory disclosure, examples of records exemption mandarory disclosure, examples of michael exemption from the provided exemption with organized are greated in connection with organized are greated and information that may be kept confidential pursuant to other state or federal statutes.
	Nickless
	Complet ed
	Obtaining a press conference with scheduling in the 300 S. Spring Street Los Angeles Office, with Ronald devorced since 1985, fauds of accounting from divorced since 1985, fauds of accounting from a dovernment agency's of approved workers compensation unit, from my lacera since 2004, this system of the United States Justice System, along with Department of most important the Department of Police, DoJ my purse was stolen, thus the individual which stole the purse content was related, embezzled the prints, and defrauded some financial data bases, not limited to medical records, of paid claims, and lifetime royalites, of Self Service Terminals indicating position of the articles was relited, or a substitute was applied, these individuals defrauded some financial claims, and lifetime royalites, of Self Service Terminals indicating position of the articles was relited, or a substitute was applied, these individuals defrauded identities, altered lacera insurance coverage indicating they purchase FOIA profile data, or equal opportunity employer's which is illegal, in embezzlement, comminging, mixing which calbre proh bits, of financial defamation, or financial injury, of redlining, along with steering, of Fair, Equal Housing Law, of DFEH approved in the legislation since 1988, not limited to the 18th amendment, as a citizen of this state, framing, embezzlement of CSC agent services, is an illegal entity, along with Carl Warren, Issac Smith, correspondence fraud of them, affecting financial data bases, issued by State and Government Agencies, of the COLABOS, susued goss salary, of over 30 years of employment equal opportunity employer since 1985, these individuals which stole my purse, hacked data basis, and stole prints, are involved in a scam of non profits scams. I'm asking for the District Attorney Referral of this individual, not limited to the State of California Medical Board, of stabilized competent health, as owner of this equity, capital gain, profit, and revisions, my profit in a benefits, which Called and p
	1/2/201
	Sheila
	Richardso S
	PRA- Ric 00064 - 1

	ecords.
	oonsive r
	o no resp
	we have
	nok wo
	ndence f
	correspo
	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records
	e s s
	Complet
data of data of cals so of tattus, of C C Ssued or ondence aralegal al dual will, or ville, or herwise, and or operty, and, the of the care of the car	and/or ny e gas, at or on eected d to Offati. t DOJ lable, her
thorized to of title of stary FDI eturns, i tion of st correspondent of st of programmer of the of the of title of title of the of th	ge, text t, writing ares to a nt, nerve in any Olfati; dii believe soned, r directe Parvin C bove tha e unavai
nor unau certificate data of the far in the data of the all mone and adds, and ce wynn graed, the finan ce with the finan ce and all certification of the far indicate and all certificate of sinon Str is of a far is of a	I messag locumen arve age stance of ed at or Parvin (Nifati has being poi d; and/o used by used by used by therwiss
which no discrimination is valid, nor unauthorized receivership, of the database, or certificate of title DCFS, which is being heard in the Hearing & App Section of the interest, corporate data of the State California, which I am and will remain the active s the entire property issued, which all monetary FD profit, is operational, along with the FTB returns, is which were embezzled by misrepresentation of scam of medical malpractice frauds, and correspondent were embezzled by misrepresentation of Secan of Medical malpractice frauds, and correspondent of Yahweh, along with Joyce wynn & GP P Services, fortune for me being in good health, and excellent data, of financials and credits, this individually be removed from my data, the District Attorney R Sevellent data, of financials and credits, this individual control of the misrepresentation of this entirespons bility of the misrepresentation of this entirect of equity, which I will handle the financial commingling, all acquired by theft, nothing inherit transfer of consent, or authorized usage of the proor or deeds, no consolidated vesting, or lacera control of my equity, which I will handle the financial commingling, all acquired by theft, nothing inherit transfer of consent, or authorized usage, of bread securities, misrepresentation, insurance and heal frand. No Sellers Buyers Agreement, or Seller's Buyers which is still being litigated, for damages, or broporty of a trust of 440 Division Street, indicate financial referement, or any associate of Misty Do and property of a trust of 440 Division Street, indicated of Parker, Smith and mane indicating Stephan Richardson, or Patsy Richardson, or Lajauna Bak since 2001, which the articles indicate 5 years, of collateral of CBT, of which I will remain active stal mandatory status hearing is valid, my damages a standing remain of my property, all certificate of tile along with the property of funding's, since 2001, militated to the approved funding of Judge Edward since 1991, and continued breasure.	onic mai onic mai or sto, or of any ne on or sub titi, direct used by Parvin C sy from be affecte ed to be Request ed or is or so fany
innation in the datable being the datable being the man and and a store and ange, training the misra ange, to solidate and solidate and the misra ange, the misra ange, to standing the stand	ry electronament of the control of t
o discrimentale of the plan of	and evee and evee and evee and evee and evee and ever and of the even and ever and e
which no discrimination is valid, nor unauthorized receivership, of the database, or certificate of title data of DCFS, which is being heard in the Hearing & Appeals Section of the interest, corporate data of the State of California, which I am and will remain the active status, of the entire property issued, which all monetary FDIC profit, is operational, along with the FTB returns, issued which were embezzled by misrepresentation of some scam of medical malpractice frauds, and correspondence group of Yahweh, along with Joyce wynn & GP Paralegal Services, fortune for me being in good health, and excellent data, of financials and credits, this individual will be removed from my data, the District Attorney Referral is Valid, no exchange, transfer or trade, to Centerville, or Secretor Mile, or Dunn, of Smith communications, or merged equity, with a silent interest, indicating otherwise, of my equity, which I will handle the financial expons bility of the misrepresentation of this entire respons bility of the misrepresentation of this entire commingling, all acquired by theft, nothing inherited or transfer of consent, or authorized usage of the property, or deeds, no consolidated vesting, or lacera content, which is still being litigated, for damages, of breach of securities, misrepresentation, insurance and health care fraud. No Sellers Buyers Agreement, or Seller's Buyers Agency No exchange of equity, name data bases, or certificate of title flied in good standing with Secretary of State No combined interest, of the LACERA, CoLABOS, or Articles and Bylaws of Exclusive Auto Corporation with any associate of Parker, Smith, Gregory, Wynn, or Stephan Richardson, or any associate of Milsty Douglas, and property deed frauds, of a former employee of PHS services, with a name indicating Stephan Allen Richardson, or Patsy Richardson, or Lajauna Baker, since 2001, which the articles indicate 5 years, of retirement, no retirement status, which makes the property of funding's, since 2001, which the articles indicate 5 year	1. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that mentions, refers to, and/or relates to any placement of or release of any nerve agent, nerve gas, toxic, contaminant, poison or substance directed at or on the person of Parvin Olfati; directed at or in any residence believed to be used by Parvin Olfati; directed at or in any place where Parvin Olfati has believed to have escaped to get away from being poisoned, becoming ill, or otherwise affected; and/or directed at or in any automobile believed to be used by Parvin Olfati. 2. For any document in Request Item 1 above that DOJ claims has been destroyed or is otherwise unavailable, please provide all records of any destruction or other
	8 8
	Parvin
	Olfati (January 2018)
	PRA- 2018- 00068

	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If this case was handled by a District Attorney's office, you may be able to direct your request to them. You and such a able to request the records from the San Fernando Courthouse, since the case was flied there. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to discloses state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If in individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. You may also wish to contact the court in which the case was heard, or the local district attorney if this case was managed by a district attorney's office.
	Nickless Nickless	Nickless Nickless	Erin Nickless
	Complet Erin ed Nick	Complet Erin ed Nick	Complet Erin ed Nick
reasons for non-availability, together with applicable records retention policies.	I am requesting for the police dash cam footage for a traffic stop that occurred on December 30, 2017 at approximately 6:17 PM. The citation number is AA509960 for the vehicle license number have filed a complaint through the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department Watch Commander's Service Comment Report (No. 247148) against the officers Richard Alvarez and Richard Franks for harassment, improper detention and search of personal property.	I am requesting this FOIA in regard to a case that was created in 1991 in venue of San Fernando Courthouse created in 1991 in venue of San Fernando Courthouse 91340. The case number is 91F07562, case name THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA V ROBERT EUGENE BASKIN. I have completed a FBI criminal investigative request prior and am now seeking an FOIA request of the above name and case number because I believed that I continue to suffer the loss of substantial due process rights if the issues of this case is not proven to have even existed. There is no pending case filled however, this request is urgently requested to inform the public that I was committed without due process at the initial proceedings held unlawfully on November 19, 1991 for which I have been condemned falsely that has tarnished my life as well as my family. Specific information requested: 1. Any committals identified that are against ROBERT EUGENE BASKIN with regard to case number 91F07562 as it relates to charges 2. The twelve panel jury names who were present at the trial(s) regarding case number 91F07562 who committed ROBERT EUGENE BASKIN guilty on November 19, 1991 3. Actual Judges names who presided in case number 91F07562 on November 19, 1991 4. Reveal any contracts that were made referencing ROBERT EUGENE BASKIN, which he had no knowledge or consent regarding case number 91F07562 on November 19, 1991 5. Bonds amounts registered in the name of ROBERT EUGENE BASKIN for case number 91F07562 on November 19, 1991 6. Special identifiers such as Cusip numbers that were used to trade instrument(s) in case number 91F07562 6. Special identifiers such as Cusip numbers that were used to trade instrument(s) in case number 91F07562 6. Special identifiers out as Cusip numbers that verse out this department is due to its receipt notated or email transmission indicated on transcript acquired from outtly jurisdiction. This department should possess the records requested reforms.	I need information about a case I was involved in around 1986. James Tilley was convicted and I was awarded a settlement for free therapy but have never had access to it.
	8	1/3/201	1/3/201
	Julie	y y	Melody
	Yeh	Watkins	Mason
	PRA- 1 2018- 00013	PRA- V 2018- 000014	PRA- 2018- 00016

divorce records, we in the custody or co e available from the Some birth, death, tment of Public Hear at the OVR websit and if the request is located at: eath%2C-Fetal-	½	ble online efore is no ies and pu e a resear equest proce ed packet ender record er. When s packet is lf you wish	ble person ords. Our ument you	laces and l investigativ
or divorce re ot in the cu- are available. J. Some bii artment of ion at the O R and if the te is locatec	d documen	is not availe ebsite, thereselve, theresearch bod 202. If you an research rest the attach criminal offendinal Offend and/request no. Once the approved.	rnowledgea ponsive rec tain the doc	nd logical p records or
marriage, (ested are n ce decrees was grante ugh the Dep he informat with the OVI OVR websi s/Birth%2C	the followir	requested bona fide reposed bona fide re 55 and 132 apartment's se, complette accessing ease of Crine project le my attentified if it is a fard to its on her state or hardward to its on the state or the project le filed if it is a fard to its on the state or the state or the second	al indexes, hate any rese able to ob website:	al indexes a ur request. er complaint
oirth, death, a have requested to a software requested to a second	please find 2016 2016 2017 7	ata that you be a late that you be a late to ections 111 miner the De this proces. It is proces that relate to some for Rele some for Rele some for Rele some for the late to an a late to a late of another at agency.	DOJ's lege nable to loc you may be ary via this	DOJ's legansive to yo
e seeking trecords you certified coperations of certified coperations are avoured by the coperations of the	t, enclosed red October 31, cotober 31, logo 31, 20, logo 31, 2011, and 31, 2011, and 31, and	statistics dese's (DOJ) (SC. SC. Ses statistinal Code's would fall unal Code's would fall unat through rocedures the condition of the code and wired docur wed and you is request costody or concoders to the code is code to the code in the code is code to the seconds in the code is code to the seconds to the second to the seconds to the second to the seconds to the	earch of the ave been u shows that a State Libr raryresearc	earch of the cords respo equest see
t that you all that you all general. The in general, int in the codivorce recoll Records (I he record y me frames sobh.ca.gov.till-Birth-M	our reques omplaint da omplaint da complaint	i, the crime and of Justic ough the CJ dustic ough the CJ discless and to Pe our request the dices and p licies and p The documust be review ponds to the dis in the cuit duest for request for re-	iducted a selaces and hotel the matter he Californiatelibrary. Its particular in the california in the	iducted a se to locate re t that your r
To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-BirthMarriage-Certificates.aspx.	Pursuant to your request, enclosed please find the following documents: Consumer complaint dated October 21, 2016 Response letter dated October 33, 2017 Response letter dated July 31, 2017 Response letter dated July 31, 2017	As you noted, the crime statistics data that you requested is not available online on the Department of Justice's (DOJ) OpenJustice Initiative website, therefore is not available through the CJSC. However, the DOJ discloses statistical data to bona fide research bodies and public agencies pursuant to Penal Code sections 11105 and 13202. If you are a research body, then your request would fall under the Department's research request process in order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to my attention. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. Our research into the matter shows that you may be able to obtain the document you are seeking via the California State Library via this website: https://askstatelibrary.libraryresearch.info/reft100.aspx?pmi=xPnVNg028v.	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places and have been unable to locate records responsive to your request. To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative
sse			Erin Vickless a Nickless a s	Erin V Nickless b
Complet Erin ed Nick	Complet Melissa ed Weikel	Complet Jaimie ed Tackett	Complet	Complet
svalpey (DOB /alley n either	iry Unit. ed hent of about the Inquiry. eed the action me" Olfati ponse to ying "this It of I am A A Hefts e DOJ yy July yuly 18	tistics on terrely s s the the times e results dideally unts in ed in is out	srd Mosk. research Please	nt records rvices, et ators in
gan Phillip rles Valpey Carmel v 989, filed i ty	See to the F Public Inquiry is reprind to Departmond Departmond Departmond Doughaint Dough Departmond Departmond See See See See See See See See See Se	ested in sta and may k ata, perhap sections of now many t of the cod th case, an out defenda ily intereste may go ab	olished on 3 ral Stanley port for my ool paper. I	or complair AA Duct Se s and oper
ie used Me omas Char en 1986 - 1 farin Count	Unit resporate by the DOJ I aly 20, 201 liscussed the DOJ I liscussed the ferring my any to the E are and of the lint, take all int, take all puint, take all puint, take all puint, take all and community. Only, a Olfati (2 parangon) and to the F ar words to and to the F are words to and to the F are all to the F are and to the F are words to and that to an are all that too. I are the former is a words to and that too.	City intered of produce de produc	stakes, pub rney Gener y of this rep for my scho	our office for leaning, A/s, manager
1/3/201 Divorce record - other name used Megan Phillipsvalpey (DOB	1. The DOJ Public Inquiry Unit response to the Parvin Olfati July 20, 2017 letter to the DOJ Public Inquiry Unit. The Parvin Olfati letter of July 20, 2017 is reprinted below. As you can see, it discussed the Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA) referring my complaint about the ADT alarm services company to the DOJ Public Inquiry. As you can also see, at the end of the letter! asked the DOJ to "accept the complaint, take all necessary action to resolve the complaint, and communicate with me" 2. The two attachments to the Parvin Olfati July 20, 2017 letter to the DOJ Public Inquiry Unit, as follows July 18, 207 CAA letter to Parvin Olfati (2 pages) Parvin Olfati complaint to DCA against ADT (14 pages) I recall DOJ sending me at least one letter in response to my July 20, 2017 letter, and I recall that letter saying "this matter has been taken care of by the Department of Consumer Affairs" or similar words to that effect. I am requesting this letter pursuant to the PRA and IP A because the copy I originally received was stolen from my house in one of the barrage of break-ins and thefts that I have suffered. If there was any additional attachments or correspondence sent to me by the DOJ Public Inquiry Unit in response or in relation to my July 20, 2017 letter, please send that too. I am also requesting copies of the attachments to my July 20, 2017 letter because they were also stolen.	I'm a journalist in New York City interested in statistics on crimes that are not listed on your site, and may be rarely requested. Is it possible to produce data, perhaps through a CPRA request, on specific sections of the penal code? I would be interested in how many times annually violation of a specific section of the code results in arrest/prosecution, locations of each case, and ideally detailed demographic information about defendants in each instance. The statute I'm primarily interested in is PC 21510. Please let me know how I may go about obtaining this data. Thanks very much for your time.	Report on the Irish Sweepstakes, published on 3rd February 1960, under Attorney General Stanley Mosk. I would I ke to obtain a copy of this report for my research on The Irish Sweepstakes for my school paper. Please let me know if this is possible.	Seeking assistance from your office for complaint records associated with AA Duct Cleaning, AAA Duct Services, et al, and their related owners, managers and operators in
re record -);	e DOJ Pub July 20, 20 Parvin Olfa V. As you o Jumer Affain alarm servin to "accept solve the co solve the co solve the CO DCA letter July 20, 201 all DOJ sen July 20, 201 ouse the cop ouse in one hments or o c Inquiry U O17 letter, because the	journalist in set that are in set that are in set that are in set of a CPRA in the code? I wally violation est/prosected demogn instance. In stance. In the code i	ort on the Iri Lary 1960, Ild I ke to ol De Irish Sw E know if th	ing assista ciated with id their rela
CA; I San I				
	1/3/201 8	1/3/201	1/4/201	1/4/201
Megan	Parvin	non	Sarah	Daniel
Phillips	Olfati (January 2018)	Campbell	McGrath	Vogt
PRA- 2018- 00071	PRA- 2018- 00076	PRA- 2018- 00233	PRA- 2018- 00017	PRA- 2018- 00018

files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].)	You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.	An extension letter was sent on January 1, 2018 by Robert Wilson. On January 29, 2018. Robert Wilson responded: The Department does not have any documents responsive to your request. However, we are providing you statistics of Gun Violence Restraining Orders from 2016 to 2017.
	Erin Nickless	Wilson
	Complet	Complet Robert ed Wilson
connection with a State of Georgia Attorney General's Office investigation. Need direct contact with someone that can assist with research and provide copies of complaints to our office to support our investigation. I am a criminal investigator with the Georgia Attorney General's Office in Atlanta, GA I was unable to locate a direct call number on your web site for law enforcement personnel inquiries.	Looking for public records related a foredosure of a property I owned at 24682 El Camino Capistrano, DP parcel 682-203-06. The date was on or about 2012. I am trying to have a foredosure notice removed from my credit report after 7 years. I require a copy of the notice of sale to send to credit agencies to have it removed.	The California Legislature has found and declared that "Firearm violence is a significant public health and public safety problem in California and nationwide." Penal Code section 14230(a). It also found and declared that "Too little is known about firearm violence and its prevention. This is in substantial part because too little research has been done. The need for more research and more sophisticated research has repeatedly been emphasized." Penal Code section 14230(a). California Penal Code section 14231.5 states: "Notwithstanding any other law, the Department of Justice shall make information relating to gun violence restraining orders that is maintained in the California Restraining and Protective Order System, or any similar database maintained by the department, available to researchers stall make information or public agency immediately concerned with the study and prevention of violence, for academic and policy research purposes, provided that any material identifying individuals is not transferred, revealed, or used for other than research or statistical activities and reports or publications derived therefrom shall not identify. This is a matter of great public concern, unique to California, important to our members/supporters and all citizens of the State of California, and, pursuant to the public interest and concern, we request a copy of all records pertaining to gun violence restraining orders that you have used and/or are in your custody or control, including but not limited to records/information located within the California Restraining and Protective Order System, or any similar database maintained by the Department of Justice. We limit this records request to records in existence from January 1, 2016, to the present. This request potentially requires the production of records in existence from January 1, 2016, to the present.
	1/4/201 8	Brandon 1/4/201
	Thomas	Brandon
	Vegh	(GVRO)
	PRA- 2018- 00019	PRA- 2018- 000020

	Robert Wilson sent an extension letter on January 16, 2018. Robert Wilson sent a status update on January 30, 2018. Robert Wilson sent a status update on January 30, 2018. Robert Wilson responded on February 1, 2018. Here are the responses to your requested information: 1. 4633 applications to register builet button assault weapons were submitted for registration in California Fireams Application Reporting System (CFARS). 2. 2523 applications were approved in CFARS. 4. 1.232 applications were rejected in CFARS. 4. 1.232 application were rejected in CFARS. 4. 1.232 application were rejected in CFARS. 6. Ne cannot provide you with an estimate on the time it takes to review and make a determination on an assault weapon registration application because it varies greatly depending on the circumstances. 6. Please find enclosed the information you seek.
	t Robert Wilson
	Complet ed
records, please take all steps necessary to prevent the intentional or accidental destruction/loss of these records during the pendency of this request. Emails and electronic records are often subject to "retention policies" that require their automatic deletion after a certain period of time (i.e., 30, 60, or 90 days). If any electronic records that are potentially responsive to this request are subject to such a retention policy, please prevent their automatic deletion before you can locate, review, and provide me with copies.	Please consider this a formal request for access to public records and information pertaining to a matter of great public connean, unique to California, and important to our members, supporters, many thousands of law-abiding gun owners throughout California, and all California citizens. Given stautory deadlines and the potential for the loss of property and serious criminal liability for a person's failure to timely comply with legal duties, time is of the essence. To assist in clarifying this request with the hope of avoiding unnecessary delay, I provide the following factual background followed by a description of the econds I want to access. BACKGROUND: In 2016, Senate Bill 880 and Assembly Bill 1135 were enacted, expanding (effective January 1, 2017) the definition of "assauti wapons" and creating new statutory mandates for gun owners and the California Department of Justice (DOJ) alike. Penal Code section 30900(b)(1) requires gun owners who possess subject weapons to "register the fiream before July 1, 2018,with the [DOJ]." Penal Code section 30900(b)(1) requires that DOJ create weapon registrations can "be submitted electronically via the Internet." a public-facing application" so that gun owners "assaut weapon" registration period will be completely closed. Failure to comply with California "assautt weapon" registration as and the deadline for "assautt weapon" registration as and serious criminal liability. See generally Penal Code section 30600, et seq. RECORDS REQUESTED: Please provide me with a copy of the following records in existence that allow me and the public to determine. 1. The number of applications for firearm registration as an "Assautt Weapon" under Penal Code section 30900(b) that were submitted from July 31, 2017, to the present. In lieu of documents! will accept your calculation of the number of applications for firearm registration as an "Assautt Weapon" under Penal Code section 30900(b) that have been approved from July 31, 2017, to the present. In lieu of documents! I will
	Brandon 1/4/201
	Brandon
	Combs (Assault Weapons applicatio ns)
	PRA- 2018- 00021

	Complet Robert An extension letter was sent on January 1, 2018 by Robert Wilson. On January 24, 2018, Robert Wilson wrote: There is no statewide public database for concealed carry weapons (CCW) permits. Califormia is a "hay-issue" state, so local law enforcement agencies (like county Sheriffs and local police departments) have discretion over issuing CCWs. Therefore, the process for issuing CCW permits varies county by county. The only obligation for the Department of Justice under the law is to review eligibility and provide local law enforcement agencies with information on whether on oth the applicant is prob blied, for reasons having to do with applicable laws. The actual issuance of CCWs takes place on the local law enforcement agencies for additional information. More information about the CCW process can be found at: https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/pubfags#4. Please keep in mind that CCW permits generally expire and need to be renewed every two years, so the "total number" can fluctuate on a daily basis. Please note that although similar information has been provided to requestors in the past, at no cost to you. Information at no cost to you. However, special programming will be required to generate the 2017 information and the ocost to you. However, special programming will be required to generate the 2017 information and the Department is allowed by law to charge for this programming. (See Gov. Code,§ 6253.9, subd. (b): see also California Department of Justice Guidelines for Access to Public Records (When the Department must compile electronic data, extract information from an electronic record, or underlates compute programming to statisty a request, the Department may require the requester to bear the full costs, not just the direct cost of duplication.); In this case, it will take a Senior Programmer Analyst, at minimum Department of Justice Public Records (When the Department of Justice Businesse to the California Department of Justice Public Records (Secondinator).
30900(b) that have been denied/rejected from July 31, 2017, to the present. In lieu of documents I will accept your calculation of the number of applications that have been denied/rejected as a full response to this request. 4. The number of applications for firearm registration as an "Assault Weapon" under Penal Code section 30900(b) submitted from July 31, 2017, to the present that are still being processed. In lieu of documents I will accept your calculation of the number of applications still being processed as a full response to this request. 5. The average time it takes to review and make a determination on an "Assault Weapon" registration application under Penal Code section 30900(b) submitted from July 31, 2017, to the present. In lieu of documents I will accept a list of all applications including their respective dates of submission and DOJ's determination of acceptance or denial as a full response to this request. 6. From January 1, 2017, to the present, a copy of all deliny, weekly, monthly, or other periodical reports about "Assault Weapon" registrations and applications under Penal Code section 30900(b). Please provide all responses to this records request in the form of pdf or electronic documents delivered via email attachment(s).	No used in this request: A "Carry Concealed Weapon" license will be referred to in this request as a "CCW", A "licensing authority" is an entity authorized to issue CCW licenses under Penal Code § 26150, et seq. NOTE: Nothing in this request is intended to be duplicative of any other request item. This request does not seek confidential information, protected criminal history information, copies of actual background check applications/submissions/forwarded fingerprints, any unredacted background check request form, or determination/result of the Department's background check. RECORDS REQUESTED: 1) For the data period of January 1, 2017, through December 31, 2017, inclusive, or as of the data date December 31, 2017, a copy of the DOJ's CCW data / database or other electronic recordkeeping, or an electronic export (i.e., .xls., xlsx, or .csv file type) thereof, that includes, but is not limited to, the following information: A) Issued CCW licenses (initial and renewal), pending applications for CCW licenses, processing application for CCW licenses, processing authority / agency county iv) Licensing authority / agency county iv) Licensing authority / agency city (i.e., civilian, judicial, custodial officer, reserve officer, place of employment or business-based 90 day license, any other type reported) vi) Licensee / applicant residence state, county, city, ZIP code
	Brandon 1/4/201
	Combs Br 2017)
	PRA- 2018- 000022 2

that this report reflects the current number of CCW permits in our system. As such, these numbers are subject to change on a daily basis as permits expire and others are issued.	An extension letter was sent on January 1, 2018 by Robert Wilson. On January 17, 2018, Robert Wilson responded: There is no statewide public database for concealed carry weapons (CCW) permits. California is a "may-issue" state, so local law enforcement agencies (like county Sherfifs and local police departments) have discretion over issuing CCMs. Therefore, the process for issuing CCW permits varies county by county. The only obligation for the Department of Justice under the law is to review eligibility and provide local law enforcement agencies with information on whether or not the applicant is prohibited, for reasons having to do with applicable laws. The actual issuance of CCWs takes place on the local law enforcement agencies view and information. More information about the CCW process can be found at: https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/pubfags#4. Please keep in mind that CCW permits generally expire and need to be renewed every two years, so the "total number" can fluctuate on a daily basis. Attached in the email we sent you is a PDF of the spreadsheet of the 2016 data for CCW permits in California from DOJ's Bureau of Firearms.
	Wilson
	Complet Robert ed Wilson
under Penal Code § 26225 viii) Expiration date (if known) 2) For the period of January 1, 2017, through December 31, 2017, a copy of all information reported to or collected by DOJ pursuant to Penal Code § 26225(b)-(c). In the past, DOJ has provided spreadsheets containing data responsive to requests I ke this one. If DOJ provides me with a spreadsheet containing the information I requested in this request, I will consider the request satisfied. This request potentially requires the production of records/information that exists or is maintained in electronic form. For all electronic records, please take all steps necessary to prevent the intentional or accidental destruction/loss of these records during the pendency of this request. Please email me at bcombs@calgunsfoundation.org	Please consider this a formal request for access to public records and information that you use/have used that is in your possession, custody, and/or control. As used in this request: A "Carry Concealed Weapon" license will be referred to in this request as a "CCW"; A "licensing authority" is an entity authorized to issue CCW licenses under Penal Code § 26150, et seq. NOTE: Nothing in this request is intended to be duplicative of any other request item. This request does not seek confidential information, protected criminal history information, copies of actual background check applications/submissions/forwarded fingerprints, any unredacted background check request form, or determination/result of the Department's background check applications/submissions/forwarded fingerprints, any unredacted background check request form, or determination/result of the Department's background check. RECORDS REQUESTED: 1) For the data period of January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016, a copy of the DOJ's CCW data / database or other electronic recordkeeping, or an electronic export (i.e., .xls, .xlsx, or .csv file type) thereof, that includes, but is not limited to, the following applications for CCW licenses, processing applications for CCW licenses, processing applications for CCW licenses, processing applications for CCW licenses, revoked CCW licenses, any other status of CCW licenses, revoked CCW licenses, any other following authority / agency rounty in Licensing authority / agency county in Licensing authority / agen
	Brandon 1/4/201
	Combs (CCW 2016)
	PRA- 2018- 00023

	An extension letter was sent on January 1, 2018 by Robert Wilson. A fees letter was sent on January 30, 2018: Attached in the email we sent you are the documents we have that are responsive to your request. However, the Department does not maintain information on DROS denials by type of frearm or offense codes in the ordinary course of business. If you would like these specifics, the Department would need to run a specialized report that would take a Senior Programmer Analyst a minimum of one hour to complete at a cost of \$40.44. If you still desire this information, please make a check payable to the California Department of Justice Public Records Coordinator 13 00 I Street, Room 1710-6 Sacramento, CA 95814 If we do not receive payment within 20 days, we shall consider the request for records withdrawn and the matter closed. Please also note that the report of individual DROS transactions is not a report that is kept in the Department's ordinary course of business. Future requests for this report will only be produced upon payment of the appropriate fees.	Robert Wilson sent an extension letter on January 16, 2018. Robert Wilson sent a status update on January 30, 2018. March 7, 2018 response: Here are the responses to your requested information: 1. The cost of establishing and maintaining the Assault Weapon registration system was approximately \$113,770. However, the costs to maintain the system are ongoing. Please note that this does not include staffing costs. Enclosed is the contract that was issued for the Assault Weapon registration system, along with supporting documentation. Please also note that the contract encompassed more than the establishment and maintenance of the Assault Weapon registration system that you reference. The "Unanticipated Tasks Work Authorization" details the portion of the contract regarding the Assault Weapon registration system. 2. \$23,715 have been collected in fees from users of the Assault Weapon registration system. As a note, you requested the amount of fees collected up to January 4, 2018. However, the Department has provided the amount of fees collected from July 31, 2017 to December 31, 2017 to avoid running duplicative reports and upsetting the workflow of Department staff. 3. Inasmuch as we cannot provide you with a precise estimate of the time it takes to review and make a determination on an assault weapon registration application, we
	Wilson	Complet Robert ed Wilson
	Complet ed ed	Comple
31, 2016, a copy of all information reported to or collected by DOJ pursuant to Penal Code § 26225(b)-(c). In the past, DOJ has provided spreadsheets containing data responsive to requests I ke this one. If DOJ provides me with a spreadsheet containing the information I requested in this request, I will consider the request satisfied. This request potentially requires the production of records/information that exists or is maintained in electronic form. For all electronic records, please take all steps necessary to prevent the intentional or accidental destruction/loss of these records during the pendency of this request.	Please consider this a request for public information/records and provide me with copies of the following for the period of January 1, 2017, through December 31, 2017, inclusive: 1. Dealers Record of Sale (DROS) Monthly Statistics reports, or their current equivalent, with the total number of DROS documents processed, offense code denials, other denials, denials by firearm type, private party sales, peace officers (exempt), curio & relic, 30-day reject denials, and total denials. 2. Dealers Record of Sale (DROS) "Daily DROS Tactical Reports", or their current equivalent, including current information and previous day processing information. 3. Dealers Record of Sale Statistics reports, or their current equivalent, showing offense code denials, current equivalent, showing offense code denials, summary of denials, DROS processed (by type), denials by firearm type, pawn denials by firearm type, private party sales, peace officers (exempt), curio & relic, 30-day reject denials, and total denials. 4. All records other than records responsive to requests 1 through 3, above, pertaining to firearm sale/transfer/loan statistics, DROS statistics, numbers and types of transactions processed, offense code denials, other denials, and total denials. Please provide all responses to this records request in the form of PDF documents delivered via email attachment(s).	Please consider this a formal request for access to public records and information pertaining to a matter of great public concern, unique to California, and important to our members, supporters, many thousands of law-abiding gun owners throughout California, and all California citizens. Given statutory deadlines and the potential for the loss of property and serious criminal liability for a person's failure to timely comply with legal duties, time is of the essence. To assist in clarifying this request with the hope of avoiding unnecessary delay, I provide the following factual background followed by a description of the records I want to access. BACKGROUND: In 2016, Senate Bill 880 and Assembly Bill 1135 were enacted, expanding (effective January 1, 2017) the definition of "assault weapons" and creating new statutory mandates for gun owners and the
		8
	Brandon 1/4/201	Brandon 1/4/201
	(DROS)	Combs (Assault Weapons registratio n system)
	PRA- 2018- 000024	PRA- 2018- 000025 V

are similarly unable to provide you the cost to review and make a determination on an application. application. Each application varies greatly depending on the circumstances. As a preliminary matter, we note that under the Public Records Act government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public, unlesss they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions oflaw." (Gov. Code. § 6235, subd. (b).) Section 6234, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsawhere in law. In regards to your first request, we have redacted information that might increase the potential for someone to enter the system and manipulate the data. (Gov. Code. § 6254, 19 each sold some other the system and manipulate the data. (Gov. Code. § 6254, 19 each sold some other than about firearms databases serve an important public safety role in the management of information about firearms and the individuals who possess the complex firearms databases and use them for improper purposes. The Department believes that the public's interest in disclosure of information about system operations, analytics, or vulnerabilities could allow a person to enter one or more of these critical firearms databases and use them for improper purposes. The Department databases and use them for improper purposes. The Department databases and use them for improper purposes, so the public interest in preserving its confidentiality. (Gov. Code. § 6255.) in providing the records requested, we have also redacted certain private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, amali addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal informations such as social security numbers and credit card numbers based on considerations of personal private identifying underses, and telephone of the such cardit card numbers based on considerations of personal private identifying subd. (k); Gov. Code. § 6255.)	Robert Wilson sent an extension letter on January 16, 2018. On January 29, 2018, Robert Wilson responded: The Department does not disclose any system information that might increase the potential for someone to enter the system and manipulate the data. (Gov. Code, § 6254.19; see also Sierra Club v. Superior Court of Orange County (2013) 57 Cal. 4th 157.) California's complex firearms databases serve an important public safety role in the management of information about firearms and the individuals who possess them. The Department believes that disclosure of information about system operations, analytics, or vulnerabilities could allow a person enter one or more of these critical firearms databases and use them for improper purposes. The Department further believes that the public's interest in disclosure of this information is outweighed by the public interest in preserving its confidentiality. (Gov. Code, § 6255.) Accordingly, the Department declines to provide any documents in response to this request. Notwithstanding these limitations, the system you reference has only been out of service for regularly scheduled maintenance, the schedule of which the Department also considers protected against disclosure.
	Complet Robert ed Wilson
	Comple
California Department of Justice (DOJ) alike. Penal Code section 30900(b)(1) requires gun owners who possess subject weapons to "register the firearm before July 1, 2018,with the [DOJ]." Penal Code section 30900(b)(2) requires that DOJ create "a public-facing application" so that gun owners "assault weapon" registrations can "be submitted electronically via the Internet." Assembly Bill 103 (2017) established June 30, 2018, as the deadline for "assault weapon" registration After that date, the registration period will be completely closed. Failure to comply with California "assault weapon" registration laws can result in severe and serious consequences; for example, the loss and destruction of personal property firearms, and serious criminal liability. See generally Penal Code section 30600, et seq. RECORDS REQUESTED: Please provide me with a copy of the following records in existence that allow me and the public to determine: 1. The costs and contracts to establish and maintain the "Assault Weapon" registration system required under 30900(b). 2. From July 31, 2017, to the present, the amount of all fees collected from users of the "Assault Weapon" registration a system required under 30900(b). 3. The cost to review and make a determination on an "Assault Weapon" registration application under 30900(b). 3. The cost to review and make a determination of records that exist or are maintained in electronic form, including but not limited to emails. For all electronic records, please take all steps necessary to prevent their automatic deletion after a certain period of time (i.e., 30, 60 or 90 days). f any electronic records are often subject to "retention policies" that require their automatic deletion before you can locate, review and provide me with copies.	
	Brandon 1/4/201
	Combs (CFARS)
	CC 2018- (C 00026

Penal Code section 30900(b)(2) requires that DOJ create Please provide me with a copy of the following records in (CFARS) Web log analysis, analytics, Internet traffic, web times and instances where the systems were unavailable intentional or accidental destruction/loss of these records records that are potentially responsive to this request are "a public-facing application" so that gun owners' "assault (https://oag.ca.gov/firearms) Web log analysis, analytics, networks, network analytics, system/application outages the form of electronic (.pdf or spreadsheet files .xls/.csv) "assault weapon" registration system analytics, Web log Assembly Bill 103 (2017) established June 30, 2018, as the deadline for "assault weapon" registration. After that consequences; for example, the loss and destruction of are often subject to "retention policies" that require their automatic deletion after a certain period of time (i.e., 30, personal property firearms, and serious criminal liability records, please take all steps necessary to prevent the during the pendency of this request. Electronic records Internet traffic, web URL referrer or referring page, exit Please provide all responses to this records request in weapon" registrations can "be submitted electronically analysis, analytics, Internet traffic, web URL referrer or subject to such a retention policy, please prevent their URL referrer or referring page, exit pages, and similar records that exist or are maintained in electronic form, date, the registration period will be completely closed. existence that allow me and the public to know and/or who possess subject weapons to "register the firearm Penal Code section 30900(b)(1) requires gun owners automatic deletion before you can locate, review and (CFARS) and "assault weapon" registration systems' 2. From January 1, 2017, to the present, the DOJ's 1. From January 1, 2017, to the present, the DOJ's 3. From January 1, 2017, to the present, the DOJ's 4. From January 1, 2017, to the present, the DOJ's including but not limited to emails. For all electronic referring page, exit pages, and similar information. Failure to comply with California "assault weapon" California Firearms Application Reporting System This request potentially requires the production of California Firearms Application Reporting System problems, reported issues, logs, and other similar registration laws can result in severe and serious to the public or unable to be used, crashes, bugs, See generally Penal Code section 30600, et seq. records showing or pertaining to the stability and new statutory mandates for gun owners and the California Department of Justice (DOJ) alike. documents delivered via email attachment(s) operations of the systems and applications. pages, and similar application information before July 1, 2018,with the [DOJ] 60 or 90 days). f any electronic Bureau of Firearms web pages RECORDS REQUESTED provide me with copies. via the Internet." information. determine:

You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behaff, and not on behaff of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, uou should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the City of Los Angeles, you may wish to direct your request to their office.	We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)	In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security. For traffic tickets, you may wish to contact the Department of Motor Vehicles.	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.
Complet Erin ed Nickless	Complet Erin ed Nickless	Complet Erin ed Nickless	Nickless
d Bo	Comp	Comp	Complet
wrote to me in an email on October 14, 2015 that stated "records show the above mentioned property is not currently registered." It is currently registered but the most recent declaration says the units were registered from 2013-2017. The woman at LAHD said there were "gaps" at the time and I seek documentation of those times. Ms. Brenda Davis handled the case. I emailed LAHC and tried to register a complaint of no heat but was emailed back saying my unit was not registered. This prevented me from making a heat complaint (July 5, 2017 CASE ID # 630846) APN: 5589021020 and the failure to comply has been posted. I received a copy of the most recent Declaration of Registration/SCEP Fee Payment Status from my local office. It says as of June 15, 2017 fees are up to date. I need a letter from the time I emailed and received email that says "apartment is or was not registered at that time." This is what I was emailed at the time and have a copy of the email in my possession. Parcel includes addresses 2105 N. Vermont Avenue LA, CA. 90027 and 4703 W. Ambrose Avenue LA, CA. 90027	I would I ke to obtain a copy of the bail agreement [for KEVIN JANSON NEAL from the Tehama County Superior Court in Red Bluff]."	1/5/201 I am looking for a general report to make sure there are no outstanding tickets of any sort.	Last name: COHEN First name: MICHAL DOB: Case number: B1049227 File date: 8/11/2010 Party ID: County of Santa Clara Please provide all available public information about the above case
8 8	1/4/201 8	8	8
Mark	Janice	y y	Michal
Groubert	Stout	Havrilla	Cohen
PRA- 2018- 000085	PRA- 2018- 00115	PRA- 1- 2018- 00028	PRA- 2018- 00029

We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:	-Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if poss ble, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created.	It is the policy of the Department to provide access to all public records upon request unless the law provides an exemption from mandatory disclosure. Examples of records exempt from mandatory disclosure under the California Public Records Act include: certain personnel records, investigative records, drafts, confidential legal advice, records prepared in connection with ongoing litigation, and information that may be kept confidential pursuant to other state or federal statutes.	In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.	of the extension letter we sent you on January 17, 2018 advised, the DOJ has determined that records exists within the DOJ that are responsive to one or more of your four enumerated requests. The DOJ was able to locate public records potentially responsive to your first, second and third requests. The DOJ was unable to locate public records potentially responsive to your fourth request. Regarding your first enumerated request: DOJ has redacted copies of the course "roster" of all attendees of The DOJ Officer Involved shooting trainings conducted October 2010 thru February 2012. Since the report involves peace officers, such report may be redacted to remove information identifying the peace officer and their specific identifying number. All these reports combined total approximately 40 pages. Regarding you second and third enumerated request: DOJ has attached the available course transcripts indicating "who successfully completed these courses," during the months provided. As requested, we have included the course syllabus Officer involved shooting course matrix which	Under the PRA, government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public, unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code,§ 6253, subd. (b.).) The PRA reiterates that it does not apply to "Irjecords, the disclosure of which, is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law." (Gov. Code,§ 6254, subd. (k).) As explained below, Department of Justice ("Department") is unable to provide you with the information you have requested. California law authorizes the police chief or county sheriff to issue a concealed carry and open carry permits to a resident of a city or county by within their jurisdiction. (See Pen. Code, §26150.) The Department retains the information submitted to it by those local licensing authorities. (Pen. Code,§ 26225, subd. (b)(l).) The only
Complet Erin ed Nickless				Complet Shannon ed Patterso n	Complet Robert ed Wilson
Comp				Comp	Comp
Case number B1791333 file date 3/28/2017 Case number c1646037 file date 8/29/2016 Case number CC803564 file date 4/24/2008 Case number F1765295 file date 7/07/2017				1 The OAG Advanced Training Center conducts a training course for investigators of officer involved shootings - https://oag.ca.gov/atc/courses/officer-shootings Please provide the following: 1. records sufficient to identify all persons who attended these courses between the dates of January 1, 2008 to January 1, 2018, including, but not limited to enrollment lists, rosters, etc. 2. records sufficient to identify all persons who successfully completed these courses between the dates of January 1, 2008 to January 1, 2018, including, but not limited to certifications, graduation lists, etc. 3. The syllabus for this course. 4. All written instructional materials for this course including papers, slide presentations, books, worksheets, photographs, illustrations, exams, diagrams, etc.	I I would I ke to receive a copy of all concealed carry weapons applications that were approved for the city of Glendale, California.
1/5/201 8				8 8 8	8
Victoria				Darwin	Fred
Guevara				BondGrah Darwin	n n
PRA- 2018- 00030				PRA- 6 2018- 6 00031	PRA- 2018- 00032

obligation for the Department under the law is to review elig bility and provide local Jaw enforcement agencies with information on whether or not the applicant is prohibited, for reasons having to do with applicable laws. The actual issuance of CCWs takes place on the local law enforcement agency level. The Department has no documents responsive to this request. You may wish to contact local law enforcement agencies for additional information.	Mr. Coats, The opinion is attached. Thank you.	In regard to item (1), the Department of Justice (DOJ) has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents for the years 1991-2016. The data for 2017 will not be available until the California Attorney General 's annual publications are released later this year. In regard to item (2), this information will not be available until later this year when the California Attorney General releases the annual publications. In regard to item (3), the DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.	In regard to item (1), the Department of Justice (DOJ) has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents for the years 1991-2016. The data for 2017 will not be available until the California Attorney General 's annual publications are released later this year. In regard to item (2), this information will not be available until later this year when the California Attorney General releases the annual publications. In regard to item (3), the DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.	In regard to item (1), the Department of Justice (DOJ) has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents for the years 1991-2016. The data for 2017 will not be available until the California Attorney General 's annual publications are released later this year. In regard to item (2), this information will not be available until later this year when the California Attorney General releases the annual publications. In regard to item (3), the DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code., § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency. DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the California Department of Transportation (DOT or CalTrans), you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to request records from CalTrans can be found on their site at http://www.dot.ca.gov/paffairs/cpra/.
	Stephani e Grimes	Jaimie Tackett	Jaimie Tackett	Jaimie Tackett	Complet Erin ed Nickless
	Complet	Complet Jaimie ed Tacket	Complet Jaimie ed Tackett	Complet Jaimie ed Tacket	Complet ed
	Attorney General Opinion issued on or about July 29, 1915, by U.S. Webb to Surveyor General Kingsbury, in response to question does Article I, section 25 Cal. Const. Require reservation of fishing rights upon sale or transfer of State owned land? See S.F. Chronicle, July 29, 1915, p. 13. Request already submitted to Opinions Unit, which responded that the date pre-dated published opinions.	NCIC peace officer agency numbers for the following cities: Laguna Beach, Westminster, Huntington Beach, Los Alamitos, Long Beach, and Garden Grove	Based on the October 31, 2017 employment survey Seal Beach: 1) Number of Funded non-Jail Sworn Total and 2) Number of Funded Jail Sworn Total.	For Seal Beach, for the years 1991-2017 1) total arrests; 2) total felony arrests, 3) total misdemeanor arrests; 4) violent crime clearance rate; 5) property crime clearance rate.	Time sheets summary from July 1, 1999 until present for the employment as a Transportation Engineer Range D with California State of Transportation. Name: Empl ID: Empl Record: MARISA T MULIADI-KLEIBER S#122379 0 Job Title: Trans Eng, Ct/Civil Range-D Position Number: 904-350-3135-080 Department: 04 Deputy Traffic Operations Work Week Group: 2 CBID: R09
	1/5/201	1/5/201 8	1/5/201 8	1/5/201 8	1/5/201 8
	Francis	Robert	Robert	Robert	Marisa
	Coats	Goldberg (NCIC PO Agency Nos)	Goldberg (Funded Totals)	(Arrests)	Kleiber Kleiber
	PRA- 2018- 00033	PRA- 2018- 00034 /	PRA- (2018- (00035 -	PRA- 2018- 00036	PRA- 1 2018- 1 000037

Nickless Nickless	Nickless Nickless	Nickless California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to San Francisco Animal Care & Control, you may wish to direct your request to their office.	We have conducted a search of our records. Enclosed are non-exempt documents hartston we identified responsive to your requests, including: (1) 2017 Registration Online Renewal; (2) 2016 Registration Renewal Attestation; (3) 2015 Registration Online Renewal; and (4) 2014 Registration Application. Because it was not clear if you were requesting only the initial application under the cited code sections or the current year's renewal, we interpreted your request broadly to include the initial registration application as well as annual renewals. The documents have been redacted for privacy reasons. We respectfully deny the remainder of your requests. Any complaints received are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General, and, therefore, are exempt from disclosure. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts records of complaints to, or investigations conducted by, the Office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f).)
Complet Erin	Complet Erin ed Nick	Complet Erin ed Nick	Complet Amos
O Po	e d o	ed	
			SPARR OW DENNIS & MEDLIN PA
1. Any electronic mail messages, text messages, expense reports, reimbursement requests, notes, memoranda, payments, emoluments, letters, documents, record and/or writings that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any heater, heating/cooling system, components and/or appliances installed by any resident of including but not limited to Barb Andres and/or Cecil Taylor), and including but not limited to any reimbursements for any heater, heating/cooling system, heating system, components and/or appliances installed at any time in the months of December 2017 and/or January 2018. 2. If the California Department of Justice (DOJ) claims that any of the records requested have been destroyed or are otherwise unavailable, please provide all records of such destruction or nonavailability, together with applicable DOJ records retention policies.	1. Any electronic mail messages. text messages, expense reports, reimbursement requests, notes, memoranda, payments, emoluments, letters, documents, record and/or writings that constitute, mention, ref.er to, and/or relate to any vacation or reimbursements for vacation by any resident of (including but not limited to any vacation reimbursements for any vacation taken at any time in the months of December 2017 and/or January 2018. 2. If the California Department of Justice (DOJ)) claims that any of the records requested have been destroyed or are otherwise unavailable, please provide all records of such destruction or nonavailability, together with applicable DOJ records retention policies.	Any and all paperwork related to my dogs most recent stay at the San Francisco Animal Care & Control dog pound. She was seized by San Francisco Animal Care & Control on August 22nd, 2017. She is a white/ tan pitbull mix, name: Sandy, and her pound # was 367387. I also would like any and all paperwork related to her euthanasia performed by San Francisco Animal Care & Control during that impoundment	I represent Mr. and Mrs. Steven D. Bell and Mr. and Mrs. Charles Mitchell Oakley, Jr. of Greensboro, North Carolina, in their claims for reimbursement for airline travel services with First Business Flights, Inc. of Burlingame, California. I would I ke to request a copy of the Registration Application filed by First Business Flights, Inc. pursuant to Cal Bus & Prof Code Sec. 7550.20 & Sec. 17550.21 If First Business Flights, Inc. continues to ignore our requests for a refund for travel tickets wrongly issued, I may be forced to file a complaint. In that regard, have you previously received any complaints against this seller of travel? If so, may I request a copy of the complaint and resolution? In addition to this email, I called your office phone number and left a voicemail message requesting the
1/5/201 8	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	1/5/201 8	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
Parvin	Parvin	Colin	Thomas
Olfati (January 2018)	Olfati (January 2018)	Bedell	Medlin
PRA- 2018- 00069 2	PRA- 2018- (00070 2	PRA- 2018- 00088	PRA- N 2018- 00092

	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the california DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the California Department of Transportation (DOT or CalTrans), you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to request records from CalTrans can be found on their site at http://www.dot.ca.gov/paffairs/cpra/.	The Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. Individuals can request a copy of their own criminal history record and inquire as to the status of their name in the Child Abuse Central Index. For procedures on obtaining this type of information, visit the Attorney General's Internet website at www.oag.ca.gov/childepurse/selfinquiry. Individuals seeking their Controlled Substance Utilization Review and Evaluation System records may do so under the Information Practices Act (IP A) as described on the Attorney General's Internet website at www.oag.ca.gov/childebuse/selfinquiry report is through the IPA. Attached you will find the authorization form for release of protected health information. Apart from any California state summary criminal history records that may be in its possession, the records that you request are considered confidential law enforcement record data and are accessed exclusively via the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System. Access to the telecommunications system utilized by law enforcement agencies, including the DOJ, is restricted by law. (Gov. Code § 15152). Confidentiality provisions like these are expressly incorporated into the PRA. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (f)). As such, your request for records is denied. In regard to the rules and rights to obtain medical records from your doctor and all notes that have been made regarding your medical condition and treatment, the DOI does not maintain that information nor is in the position to provide such information.	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places and have been unable to locate records responsive to your request. To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually imposs ble to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].)	a Thanks for your inquiry. Attached is the requested record.
	Complet Erin ed Nickless	Complet Jaimie ed Tackett	Nickless	Complet Tania ed Mercado
	e d e d	e e e	Complet	Com
registration information. Your reply and assistance will be greatly appreciated.	LOGICBIT SOFTWARE LLC – STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION CONTRACT # 42A9582, RFP # DOT200931 (INCLUDES: CONTRACT, EXHIBITS, SOW, ATTACHMENTS) (Oct 2013) Comments: We would like to get a copy of the winning award for the above mentioned RFP. Please let me know if you need any additional information. Thank you.	All criminal records that are in the DOJ database. All cures reports regarding my prescription medication. Any other documentation I am legally entitled to regarding all data that DOJ has of myself. I would also I ke to have the rules and rights I have to obtain my medical records from my doctor and all notes that have been made regarding my medical condition and treatment.	Any and all available records related to investigations of Motel 6 or its parent company G6 Hospitality between January 1, 2015 and the date this request is completed. Relevant records may include but are not limited to correspondence (including e-mails), reports and memoranda.	I am seeking copies of correspondence sent to the AG's office from the San Diego County sheriff's office concerning the in-custody death of Tommy Tucker (DOB DOD)
	8	8	1/5/201 8	1/5/201 8
	Beth	Chuck	Stephen	gns
	XOA	Fowler	Johnson (MuckRoc k)	Garcia- (Roberts (LA Times)
	PRA- 2018- 00096	PRA- 2018- 00134	PRA- J 2018- (1 00138 k	PRA- G 2018- F 00312 (I

We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. You may wish to contact the court in which the case was heard.	To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, for the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with a Family Court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behaff, and not on behaff of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to State parks, you may wish to direct your request to the California Department of Parks and Recreation. Information on requesting records from their office can be found on their website at https://www.parks.ca.gov/?page_id=1084.	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-BirthMarriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their or infinal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's webs
t Erin Nickless	Nickless	t Erin Nickless	Nickless
Complet Erin ed Nick	Complet ed	Complet Erin ed Nick	Complet Erin ed Nick
County of El Centro, Case Number ECM53007, Defendant was Tamara Louise Stauff. I posted bail and I need a record that the case was dismissed so I can get the bail money returned.	All criminal and family court records for myself, Freddie Lavell.	California Campsite Reservations - Reserve California This is a public records act request for the California Department of Parks and Recreation. Our family plans our summer camping trips in January. The online system Reserve California shows many of the premium spots at the premium coastal sites are already taken before the window opens to the public. I'd like to know 1. who has reserved the campsites and 2. the reason why they were given the opportunity to reserve the sites before the general public. I have screen shots of the spots reserved before the public opening date, if needed.	Angela Gayle Nesbit- any records you have on her
1/8/201	8	8	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
Deidra	Freddie	e e	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a
Stauff	Lavell	Brainerd	Ray
PRA- 2018- 00038	PRA- L 2018- 00039	PRA- 2018- 00040	PRA- 2018- 000041

To respond to the Request, first, we will clarify how we interpreted several of its vague and amolgous assedsterms. Void dot of specify any timefame applicable to the Request, making I vague and amolgous subseds from the Cacled State of the Cacled State of Court (1993) 19 Ca. Appl. 4468, 481. We have construed the Request to seek documents and the Cacledor 25, 2016, the date the final Phase 2 regulations were published in the Federal Register, Additionally, categories 7.9 seek documents and the subject comment letter submitted to www.regulations your documents to make the final Phase 2 regulations your documents by the California Attoriney General. Introsp. According, the subject comment letter submitted to www.regulations your documents by the Area construed these categories as seeking documents by the California Attoriney General. Introsp. According the subject comment letter submitted to www.regulations your documents are approached the california Attoriney General's Office is providing one morphy or wided these clarifications, we respond to the Requests as follows: the National Attoriney General's Office is providing one morphy relies (which represents the California Attoriney General's Office and any of the entities referenced in provided these clarifications, we respond to the Requests as follows: the Attoriney General's Office is providing one non-privileged document in response to category number 5. Additionally, although they are not communications between the California Attoriney General's Office and any of the entities referenced in law product exception, as follows: Attoriney-Cleint Privilege. Attoriney California Attoriney General's Office and any of the entities referenced in law product exception, as follows: Attoriney-Cleint Privilege Confidentially privileges sat forth elsewhere in law including the attoriney-client privilege contained in Evidence of the Attoriney Ceneral and his designees, and and the supportation and the proposed repeal, gliders/glider kils, Firgerald Glider Kils and the p
Complet Megan ed Hey
Pursuant to the Public Records Act, this letter serves as a formal request for access to and reproduction of all documents requested herein. The following records are requested: 1. All communications, between or among employees of the State of California Department of Justice relating to the Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Fuel Efficiency Standards for Medium- and Heavy-Duty Engines and Vehicles-Phase 2, 81 Fed. Reg. 73, 478 (Oct. 25, 2016) (the Thase 2 Rules") specifically related to "gilders," "gilder Vits." "gilder kit tucks," or "gilder kits vehicles"; and fine proposed "Repeal of Emissions Requirements for Requirements for Medium-solves of Repeal of Emission Requirements for Beldium State of California Department of Justice, on one hand and employees of Volvo AB, the Truck & Engine Manufacturers Association, Navistar, Inc., Volvo Group North America. California Alf Resources Board, Union of Concerned Scientists, Diesel Health Project, Manufacturers Association, Navistar, Inc., Volvo Group North America. California Alf Resources Board, Union of Concerned Scientists, Diesel Health Project, Manufacturers of Emission Controls Association, on the other hand, related to the Phase 2 Rules, specifically related to "gilders," "gilder kit vehicles". 3. All communications, between or among employees of the State of California Department of Justice, on one hand and employees of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), on the other hand, relating to be partment of Justice, on one hand and employees of the U.S. Environmental Protections, and all documents evidencing any communications, between or among employees of the California Department of Justice, on one hand and employees of Wolvo AB, the Truck & Engine Manufacturers Association, Navistar, Inc., Volvo Group North America, California Department of Justice, on one hand and employees of Wolvo AB, the Truck & Engine Manufacturers Association, Navistar, Inc., Volvo Group North America, California Department of Wolvo Group North America, or "gilder kit vehicle
1/8/201 8
Jonatha n
43 43 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49 49
PRA- 2018- 000043

nand, relating to "gliders," "glider kits," "glider kit trucks," or "glider kit vehicles"; for All communications, and all documents evidencing any communications, between or among employees of the State of California Department of Justice, on one hand and employees of the U.S. Environmental Protection and employees of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), on the other hand, relating to "gliders," "glider kit trucks," or "glider kit vehicles"; 7. All communications, and all documents evidencing any communications, between or among employees of the	State or California Department of Justice, on one hand and employees of Volvo AB, the Truck & Engine Manufacturers Association, Navistar, Inc., Volvo Trucks, the American Trucking Association, Volvo Group North America, California Air Resources Board, Union of Concerned Scientists, Diesel Health Project, Manufacturers of Emission Controls Association, on the other hand, relating to the preparation of the comments filed by the State of California, Attorney General Xavier Becerra, by Megan K. Hey, Deputy Attorney General, California Department of Justice, on the Proposed "Repeal of Emission Requirements for Glider Vehicles, Glider Engines, and Glider Kits," 82 Fed. Reg. 53,442, Docket No.	EPA-HQ-OAR-2014-0827; 8. All communications, and all documents evidencing any communications, between or among employees of the State of California Department of Justice, on one hand and employees of the State of New York Environmental Protection Bureau, the State of New York Environmental Attorney General, the State of Maryland Office of Attorney General, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts Environmental Protection Division, the State of New Mexico Office of Attorney General Consumer & Environmental Protection Division, the State of North Carolina Office of Attorney General, the State of Oregon Office of Attorney General, the State of Oregon Carolina Office of Attorney General, the State of Oregon Office of Attorney General, the State of Oregon Office of Attorney General Natural Resources Section,	the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Office of Attorney General Environmental Protection Section, the State of Vermont Office of Attorney General, the State of Washington office of Attorney General, on the other hand, relating to the preparation of the comments filed by Megan K. Hey, Deputy Attorney General, California Department of Justice, on the Proposed "Repeal of Emission Requirements for Glider Vehicles, Glider Engines, and Glider Kits," 82 Fed. Reg. 53,442, Docket No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2014-0827; 9. All communications, and all documents evidencing any communications, between or among employees of the	State of California Department of Justice, on one hand and employees of the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), on the other hand, relating to the preparation of the comments filed by the State of California, Attorney General, Assiver Becerra, by Megan K. Hey, Deputy Attorney General, California Department of Justice, on the Proposed "Repeal of Emission Requirements for Gilder Vehicles, Gilder Engines, and Gilder Kits," 82 Fed. Reg. 53,442, Docket No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2014-0827; 10. All communications, and all documents evidencing any communications, between or among employees of
nan Or A Stan Stan A A A A G S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	Standard American Ame	B. A Standard Standar	the Very Variable Washington Con	Sta and and ("E) and Girc Girc Girc Girc Girc Girc Girc Girc

	On January 22, 2018. Robert Wilson responded: California law restricts the disclosure of the information you seek to specific court proceedings and for determining the eligibility of the person to own, possess, control, receive, or purchase a firearm. (Cal. Welfare and Institutions Code§ 8103, subd. (f).) Accordingly, we must decline to respond to this request. On January 17, 2018, Dwayne Freeman sent an extension letter.	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to an aircraft, you may wish to direct your request to the owner or the Chino Airport.	On January 18, 2018, Robert Wilson sent an extension letter. On January 29, 2018, Robert Wilson responded: Please find enclosed the document we have located in response to your request.
		Nickless Nickless	
	Complet Robert ed Wilson	ed ed	Complet Robert ed Wilson
			Michel & Associat es
the State of California Department of Justice, on one hand and employees of Volvo AB, the Truck & Engine Manufacturers Association, Navistar, Inc., Volvo Trucks, the American Trucking Association, Volvo Group North America, California Air Resources Board, Union of Concerned Scientists, Diesel Health Project, Manufacturers of Emission Controls Association, on the other hand, relating to "Fitzgerald "Fitzgerald Glider Kits," "Fitzgerald Kit Trucks," or "Fitzgerald Clider Connection Communications, between or among employees of the State of Oberand Communications, between or among employees of the State of New York Environmental Protection Bureau, the State of Connecticut Office of Attorney General, the State of Connecticut Office of Attorney General, the State of North Carolina Office of Attorney General, the State of Oregon Office of Attorney General, the State of North Carolina Office of Attorney General, the State of North Carolina Office of Attorney General, the State of North Carolina Office of Attorney General, on the other hand, relating to "Fitzgerald Kit Trucks," or "Fitzgerald Trucks," "Fitzgerald Kit Trucks," or "Fitzgerald Trucks," "Fitzgerald Kit Trucks," or "Fitzgerald Glider Kits," "Fitzgerald Glider Kits," "Fitzgerald Glider Kits," "Fitzgerald Glider Kits,	A database or list or any equivalent document that includes the following information for San Diego County, California: Date, time and description of all 5150, 5151 and 5152 holds at Naval Medical Center San Diego reported to the California Department of Justice in 2012-2017 (last 5 years).	All documents concerning a Boeing 727 aircraft, registration number N196AJ, owned by Zangeneh Aeronautics Consulting, Inc. and parked at the Chino Airport including but not limited to incident reports, permits, assessments, emails, etc.	1. Any and all documents regarding the Department of Justice's "emergency" rulemaking file submitted to the Office of Administrative Law ("OAL") (or any employee, agent, or representative of OAL) on or about June 29, 2000, adding new article 2 and section 968.20 to title 11 of the California Code of Regulations. 2. Any and all documents regarding the Department of Justice's rulemaking file submitted to OAL (or any employee, agent, or representative of OAL) on or about
	1/8/201	8	8 8
	Liberty	John	Imran
	Zabala	Moon	Khundkar
	PRA- Z 2018- 00044	PRA- N 2018- 00045	PRA- 2018- 00046

As a preliminary matter, we note that under the Public Records Act government records are and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public, unless they are "beenpt from disclosure by express provisions oflaw." (Gov. Code. § 6253, subd. (b).) Section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law. The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency, activities the making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nontheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is instrictably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Miror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are profected through application of Government Code section 6255. In the instant case, records such as analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning the strengths and weaknesses of a pathiculal proposal would be covered by the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255.	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2CStill-Birth-Marriage-Certificates.aspx.	Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public
Robert	Erin Nickless	Erin Nickless
Complet Robert ed Wilson	Complet	Complet Erin ed Nick
January 19, 2005, adding subsections (s)-(v) to section 928.20 of title 11 of the California Code of Regulations. Please consider this a formal request for access to public records and information pertaining to a matter of great public concern, unique to California, and important to our members, supporters, many thousands of law-abiding gun owners throughout California, and all California citizens. I request a copy of all public records and information about the proposed "Assault Weapon Definitions" regulations (Title 11, Division 5, Section 5460) (listed at https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/regs) including but not limited to: correspondence, meeting minutes, agendas, comments, hearing transcripts, hearing recordings (audio, video, or othen), and attendance records. Given statutory deadlines and the potential for the loss of property and serious criminal liability for a person's failure to timely comply with legal duties, time is of the sessence. Given statutory deadlines and the potential for the loss of property and serious criminal liability for a person's failure to timely comply with legal duties, time is of the records a "rolling production" that provides a "privilege log" accompanying every batch of records produced via the rolling production that I've requested. Also, when redacting, please use black ink to decords produced via the rolling production that I've requested. Also, when redacting, please use black ink the form of electronic (pdf or spreadsheet xis.csv) documents delivered via email attachment(s) to becombs@fpchq.org~mailto:bombs@fpchq.org~ma	l was told that a flance has passed. I just want verification.	Obtain records on Inmate Matthew Kane Fodor Inmate ID# 3000445 Case# 17WF1329 Housed; Orange County Santa Ana Jail, 92703; R3 Protective
	1/8/201	1/8/201
Brandon 1/8/201	Margare t	Mary
Comps	Penny	Beckett
PRA- 02018-	PRA- F 2018- 00048	PRA- 2018- 00049

records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html. We hope the information we have provided is of assistance.	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.	Please find attached the following documents responsive to your request: (1) Notice of Sale, (2) Resolution, (3) Purchase and Sale Agreement, (4) Appraisal, (5) Motion in BK Chapt 11, (6) Objection to BKChapt. 11, (7) May balance sheet, (8) cash balance sheet 2015, (9) Profit & Loss 2014, (10) Accrual Balance Sheet 2016, (11) Cash P&L 2015, (12) Profit and Loss 2016, and (13)Balance Sheet 2014.
	Erin Nickless	M. Toma
	Complet	Complet
Custody I wish to obtain inmate, Matthew Kane Fodor following records please - All phone call records (not taped recordings, just a list of phone numbers and dates the calls were made from periods 6/15/2017-Present Date. Code's used by inmate to make outgoing calls 2992484 and 3000445) - Mail records (All letters that have been written to him and that he has written if accessable. If the full letter is not obtainable, may I please request a list of people he has written, and the people who have written him since 6/15/2017-Present Date) - Visitation records (Name/Date of all people that have visited Matt since 6/15/2017-Present Date) - Record list of people names who have put money on his books since 6/15/2017-Present Date - Copy of commissary lists of what he has bought since 6/15/2017-Current Date - Copy of commissary lists of what he has bought since 6/15/2017-Current Date - Copy of commissary lists of what he has bought since 6/15/2017-Current Date - Copy of commissary lists of what he has bought since 6/15/2017-Current Date - Copy of commissary lists of what he has bought since 6/15/2017-Current Date - Copy of commissary lists of what he has bought since 6/15/2017-Current Date - Copy of commissary lists of what he has bought since 6/15/2017-Current Date - Copy of commissary lists of what he has bought since 6/15/2017-Current Date - Copy of commissary lists of what he has bought since 6/15/2017-Current Date - Copy of commissary lists of what he has bought since 6/15/2017-Current Date - Copy of commissary lists of what he has bought since 6/15/2017-Current Date - Copy of commissary lists of what he has bought since 6/15/2017-Current Date - Copy of commissary lists of what he has bought since 6/15/2017-Current Date - Copy of commissary lists of what he has bought since 6/15/2017-Current Date - Copy of commissary lists of what he has bought since 6/15/2017-Current Date - Copy of commissary lists of what he has bought since 6/15/2017-Copy of commissary lists of what he has bought since 6/15/2017-Present Date -	There was an attempt to serve something at my parents place of residence in Stockton, CA for me. To my knowledge, I have nothing on public record. Please provide me with any information you may have on file. Thank you! Cynthia R. Spencer	The purpose of this request is to find out the status of the review by your office of the potential transfer of the not-for-profit corporation's parcel of real property which is improved with a building at 601 E. Montecito Street in the City of Santa Barbara. I represent a secured creditor in the bankruptcy and we have experienced many delays due to a variety of items usually due to lack of adequate information. The building intending to be transferred is the corporation's headquarters. I also would like to have a copy of documents sent to your office on or about July 5, 2017 which gave notice of the proposed sale. I understand from a proof of service filed in the bankruptcy court that the documents included a "letter", a "resolution" and a "financial statement" in addition to a copy of the notice of motion for sale pending in the bankruptcy court. The letter, resolution and financial statement were not filed with the bankruptcy court and were not copied to interested creditors. As your office may be aware, that sale is still pending. Several issues have surfaced including the fact that the corporation has "members" and those members have been ignored and kept out of the process by the current management. The buyer and the title officer have not accepted the representation's current management and board (several new members have joined and several
	1/8/201 8	1/8/201 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	Cynthia	Tony
	Spencer	Fischer
	PRA- 2018- 00050	PRA- 2018- 00079

members vote by mail without a meeting to ratify the prior they apparently expect to receive payment at the close of persons no longer on the "board". The persons managing current list of "board members," includes several persons since acknowledged that "members" exist pursuant to the showing that the "President of the Board" who signed the Many of the violations of the by-laws are now recognized actions. The actions include selection of board members, corporation in February of 1972, 15 persons were named requested by the buyer and those persons managing the affairs of the corporation have stated they seek to comply with those requests. It appears that the Buyer and others When your office was sent information back in July 2017, the affairs represented that there were no "members" and The persons now handling the affairs in bankruptcy have years that no members exist and that the selection of the lawsuits, filing of tax returns, use of funds for payment of and the President have taken the position for quite a few sale cannot be completed to the satisfaction of the buyer without significant changes in leadership of the seller as nave left the board) has attempted to limit the number of 'members" elig ble to vote and required to be notified. A listed on file in your office, the current executive director expecting to be repaid loans made to the corporation in deceased as are two of the three persons who filed the As appears from documents which include documents "members". It is noted that records have not been filed were presented by the corporation last July. It is noted corporation was formed in 1971, three persons signed board. That list is part of the founding documents filed corporation has acted in accord with its articles and by earlier documents in July of 2017 was selected by the that the corporation has entered into loan agreements expenses and bankruptcy attorney fees, insider loans, proposed sale for approval, etc. None of these issues and the current management, which still includes the with board members and former board members and Also, as indicated by the motion filed in the court, the the past and therefore have a financial interest in the by-laws and that the election of board members and Therefore, he was not duly elected and not a "past that it is not a "member" corporation. The prior and There is a disagreement as to the number of living "members" and persons entitled to vote. When the authority of the board of directors and whether the election of officers, hiring of attorneys and filing of the persons managing the affairs included several filing bankruptcy, submitting a Plan, submitting a management, have questioned the make-up and the articles. Thereafter, at the first meeting of the with your office sometime thereafter. Several are Executive Director, is seeking to have only a few board of directors does not require a vote of the at a recent gathering convened by the current as board members and became the founding copy of the motion accompanies this letter. laws and state law in the past. members to be on the Board. President." articles.

	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code. § 6253(c).) Please not be public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code. § 6253(c).) Please not be partment's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth-Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 1105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history ecords, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General: website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.	
	Nickless	Knudsen
	Complet Erin ed Nick	Complet
other actions, including filing of the bankruptcy and all other actions, would need to be ratified in accord with state law. These same persons have recently asserted that the by-laws were amended several times between 1972 and 1999 although they have not made public the different versions of by-laws and/or minutes of meetings or other evidence of the actual changes and whether they were submitted to members for approval as is apparently required. The bankruptcy court, On January 2, 2018 the court scheduled an additional hearing for January 24, 2018 in Santa Barbara at 11:15 AM. The court deadlines for submittal of comments is 14 days before the hearing. Your registry indicates that the status of the corporation is "review pending" apparently because proper forms, including the Form 990 for 2016, have not been submitted and are past due.	I am requesting all public records, with the exception of only those subject to exemption under the California Public Records Act, pertaining to Matthew Jared Babb dob ament to encompass all available records. Comments: If possible, please email the invoice for copies and requested records to BJF@dawsonorr.com mail to Bonnie J. Fay, ACP FRP, Dawson Orr, 50 N. Laura Street Suite 1675, Jacksonville, FL 32202. Thank you	Please forward a copy of the California Attorney General's Office Dec. 20 report regarding Professor Oscar Monge. Excerpts from the report were published in the UT on 1/5/18 http://www.sandiegouniontribune.com/news/education/sd-me-monge-report-20180103-story.html
	1/8/201 8	1/8/201
	Bonnie	Mark
	Fay	Freeman
	PRA- 2018- 00095	PRA- 2018- 00120

investigation report constitutes a confidential communication pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and is exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. The Attorney General's Office is responding to this request on its own behalf and not on behalf of any other state agency including California State University. As the client, it is up to California State University to decide whether or not to waive the privilege. It is our understanding that discrimination investigations are confidential and not publicly disclosed. If you wish to review records that are in the possession, custody or control of another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to them. 2. Attorney Work Product Is Exempt From Disclosure Additionally, the attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. section 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, section 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal. App. 4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. The investigation report is work product of the Deputy Attorney General who prepared the report. Similarly, the exhibits attached and questions asked of wire Records Are Exempt From Disclosure. 3. Private Records Are Exempt From Disclosure Government Code Section 6254, subdivision (c) makes exempt personnel, medical, or similar files the disclosure of which would cause an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. The request is also denied to the extent it seeks private and	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code., § 6253(c.)) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of california. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records work needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-BirthMarriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the county Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records in a bersonal request. Information criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information ceriminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information Services. Their website at: http://osc.ac.gov/fingerprints/security. Since you mention the individual was deported, the records you are seeking may also be in the possession of the United States Department of Citizenship and Immigration Services. Their website is https://aevarearching-prints/security.
	Complet Erin ed Nickless
	ර ති
	Trying to find record of someone who was deported. Name: Arturo Franco (Arthur Franco birthday location was Barstow ca, or la puente ca
	1,9/201
	Lisa
	Northcutt
	PRA- 2018- 00051

This email is in response to your email dated January 9, 2018, wherein you requested information on obtaining certified and stamped copy of the bylaws for Family Station, Inc. The California Secretary of State can provide you with these records. I have provided the link below for your convenience. http://www.sos.ca.gov/business-programs/business-entities/information-requests/	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.	We interpret your request as inquiring whether the Office of the Attorney General served as issuer counsel for the California Health Facilities Financing Authority (the "Authority") in 2016 when the Authority issued revenue bonds for the benefit of Sutter Health to refund prior indebtedness and to finance the construction of health facilities. We confirm that our office served as issuer counsel to the Authority on the 2016 transaction of statute, and no documentation further supporting that role is required. We have records merely identifying our office in that role. For your information, we have enclosed a copy of the agenda for the July 7, 2016 meeting at which the Authority authorized the bonds, which identifies our office as issuer counsel.	Withdrawn by requester via e-mail sent on 1-11-18 and received on 1-12-18	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Based on our research into the matter, you may wish to contact the California Department of
Tania M. Ibanez, SAAG	Erin Nickless	Nickless Nickless		Nickless
Complet Tania M. lbanez, SAAG	Complet	ed ed	Complet	Complet
How do I obtain a copy of By-Laws for a CA Charity organization? I need certified and stamped copy. Thank you, Name of Organization: FAMILY STATIONS, INC. FEIN: 94-1442453	court case F07909355	I hereby seek records pursuant to Gov. Code Sec. 6250 et seq., in the possession of CA Attorney General supporting they are the Issuer Counsel relating to CHFFA REVENUE BOND FINANCING PROGRAM EXECUTIVE SUMMARY Applicant: Sutter Health ("Sutter") Principal Amount Requested: \$1,026,000,000 2200 River Plaza Drive Date Requested: July 7, 2016 Sacramento, CA 95833 Requested: July 7, 2016 Sacramento, CA 95833 Requested: July 7, 2016 Sacramento County Resolution Number: 413 Facility Types: General acute, sub-acute and outpatient care Elig bility: Government Code 15432(d) (1) Find Borrower: Yes (date of last CHFFA issue, February 2019) Obligated Group: The Sutter Health Obligated Group (the "Obligated Group"), etc. January 23, 2018 follow-up: So in essence the AG allowed for the refunding in 2016 of the Sutterhealth Bond series 2003/2005/2007 and 2008 under a deregulated CLIA in the State of California. Fieldman Rolapp and Bond Counsel Orrick Herrington Sutcliffee unjustly profitted from the wrongful death of women I ke my sister. Foul play at STO who had my claims from 2015-2017 and after OAG also knew. I'm still searching for respons bility and accountability.	Under Cal. Gov't Code, § 6253.9 an electronic copy of the Court of Appeal, Fourth Gamestop, Inc. v. The Superior Court; The People Case No. E068701 documents as follows: 01/05/2018 Response to amicus curiae brief filed by: Petitioner: Gamestop, Inc. Attorney: Benjamin Patrick Smith Attorney: Franklin Brockway Gowdy Attorney: Sharon Ruth Smith 01/05/2018 Request for judicial notice filed. By petitioner 01/05/2018 To court. Petitioner's RJN & response to amicus brief filed by petitioner	Latest State of California EAP bid won by Magellan Healthcare Please send cost of materials to my attention at my email address. Thanks so much.
1/9/201	1/9/201	8 8	8	8
Christin a	Sydney	iris	Richard	Richard
Evans	DelaTorre	Hewlett	ффо	S
PRA- 2018- 00052	PRA- [2018-	PRA- 1 2018- 00054	PRA- 1 2018- 00055	PRA- 2018- 00056

Human Resources, as the Employee Assistance Program (EAP) is overseen by that Department.	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to dairy licensure, you may wish to direct your request to the California Department of Food and Agriculture.	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our offlice. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.	You are seeking documents from the Employment Development Department. Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the Employment Development Department.	You are seeking documents from the Employment Development Department. Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the Employment Development Department.	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. You may wish to inquire with the Office of Administrative Hearings.
	Nickless	Erin Nickless	Erin Nickless	Erin Nickless	Nickless
	Complet Erin ed Nick	Complet Erin ed Nick	Complet Erin ed Nick	Complet Erin ed Nick	Complet
	The information needed for California licensed dairies in 2017: a) Name of Dairy, b) Address c) Number of Cows d) Plant affiliation/or producer/processor My name is Cricelia Calderon and I am conducting research on California licensed dairies. A spreadsheet with the information will suffice.	Could you please provide any records on mr Charles Edwin bowers date of birth I have four case numbers from superior court in Ventura but they weren't able to pull from their archive. #5428093, 92M068049, 92M045165, 92M086130.	Any and all Employment Development Department (EDD) documents, paper and digital records, regarding Rhonda W. Betz, borr from 01/01/1990 to present. All public records of the Employment Development Department (EDD) subject to disclosure under the provisions of the California Public Records Act, Government Code Sections 6250 et seq., are open to inspection.	Any and all Employment Development Department (EDD) documents, paper and digital records, regarding Jeanna Ebel Cash, from 03/23/2006 to present All public records of the Employment Development Department (EDD) subject to disclosure under the provisions of the California Public Records Act, Government Code Sections 6250 et seq., are open to inspection.	State of California, Office of Administrative Hearings, Agency case number. 225519 OAH No. 2017080170. Commercial property in question is located in residential neighborhood at 1773 Ocean Front Street, owners are Bhavesh & Versha Patel. Requesting any and all communications between staff members, City Council, including any communication from Mayor Faulconer, regarding the Hearing and matter contained herein, specifically any and all communication that occurred on the date of the Hearing Oct 3, 2017, and in the 2 years PRIOR to the Hearing-again, requesting any and all communications regarding this matter including, but not limited to communications to/from the opposing party to Code Enforcement members, and from
	8 8	8 8	8 8	8 8	8
	Cricelia	Elizabet h	Nina	Nina	Delinda
	Calderon	Bowers	Hawkinso Nina n	Hawkinso I	Lombardo Delinda
	PRA- (2018- 00057	PRA- E 2018- 00058	PRA- F 2018- г 00060	PRA- F 2018- г 00061	PRA- 1 2018- 00062

	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places and have been unable to locate records responsive to your request. To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].)	Good Morning Ms. Wilson. Thank you for taking my call earlier today. I work with the Record Review and Challenge Program with the California Department of Justice, Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis. The purpose of this e-mail is to open up a line of communication with your facility to assist inmate Michael Douglas Taylor (E-85025) in obtaining and reviewing his criminal history record (aka: RAP Sheet) per his request. Our agency typically works with the Case Records Dept. to coordinate the efforts in ensuring the inmate obtains the necessary documents to properly submit their request. Thank you for your assistance in this matter. Here are the instructions in order for our Agency to properly process an immate's request. The inmate would be required to submit the following three documents by mail: 1) An application for indigent record review [aka: fee waiver] (attached) 2) The BCIA 8705 form (attached) 3) A 10-print fingerprint card (FD-258) with the applicant's fingerprint impressions (because this document cannot be scanned or copied, I have placed a few cards in the mail address to you). NOTE: The process of obtaining the inmates fingerprint impressions would need to
	Erin Nickless	Erin Nickless	Nickless	Estrella Estrella
	Complet I	Complet led	Complet Erin ed Nick	Complet Hilda ed Estrei
the City of San Diego in response to this matter. The Hearing held on Oct 3, 2017, resulted in a Court Order which is NOT being adhered to, Code Enforcement says "their hands are tied" and have refused to address concerns regarding why they wont take action for violating the Court Order or shut down a Hotel is a residential zone. There was a closed door meeting prior to the Hearing, and I am requesting all communication that occurred in that room between Code Enforcement personnel-inclusing SD Code Enforcement Coordinator Kim Wallace-Ross, and the Defendant and his attorney. Code Enforcement officials told me "not to discuss what went down in that Hearing." Thank you in advance. Sincerely, Delinda Lombardo	Statement of Readiness and Feasibility for Grant Disbursement from California Health Facilities Financing Authority (CHFFA) to Encompass' 2nd Story Respite Program located @ 2884 Estates Drive, Aptos, CA 95003 Date: Most likely this will be found within the dates of June 5-July 7, 2017.	1/9/201 Jennifer D Robins, 58 years of age, San Marcos, CA.	Pursuant to the California Public Records Act (California Government Code §§ 6250), I hereby request the following records: *Any complaints, and related documentation, filed electronically or by regular mail, concerning the company "Coinbase". The search should go back 12 months, ending on January 9, 2018. *Any emails received or sent by department employees containing in the subject or body the word "Coinbase". Please include attachments. *Any other records held electronically by the department containing the word "Coinbase". I am a reporter for the Financial Times, an international news company. The requested documents will be made available to the general public, and this request is not being made for commercial purposes. I request expedited processing as this request is of urgent public interest.	I am in state custody at the above left-side address, where I have been for the past 30-years. I have recently went to the Board of Prison Hearings (which is the state parole Board) ("BPHs"). When at the Parole Board I was questioned as to my having escaped from the Chino Correctional Facility in 1975. I was aware that back in 1988, that the Orange County Register Newspaper (in Orange County, CA) had erroneously alleged that I had escaped from the prison. Because the information was categorically not true, I chalked it off to mere 8th grade journalism. However, now that the BPHs is making their inquiry, I have responded with my denial. In December 1975, I was not incarcerated at the said Chino Correctional Facility. The prison had no escape in that year, though they insist that the information came from a newspaper clipping. According to the newspaper,
	1/9/201 \$ 8	1/9/201 、8	8 8 1 1/9/201	8 8 7 8 8 8 9 7 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9
	Dana	Leonie	Adam	Michael
	Snyder	Frances	Samson	Taylor
	PRA- 2018- 00063	PRA- F 2018- 00112	PRA- 2018- 00123	PRA- 2018- 00317

be facilitated by a trained staff to avoid the possibility of the fingerprints being rejected. All three documents must be completely filled out and mailed to our agency at: California Department of Justice Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis Record Review and Challenge Program P.O. Box 903417 Sacramento, CA 94203-4170 Please note that the "BCIA 8705 form" includes instructions to submit a \$25 payment, however the Fee Waiver application will be accepted in place of that payment. I look forward to hearing back from your Department. Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.	The California Attorney General's Office is providing two non-privileged documents, oppies of which are enclosed herewith, in response to the Request. To the extent that oppies of which are enclosed herewith, in response to the Request. To the extent that oppies of which are enclosed herewith, in response to the Request are that oppies of which are belows: Attorney-Client privilege and/or the attorney work product exception, as follows: Attorney-Client privilege and/or the attorney work product exception, as follows: Attorney-Client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code§ 6254, subd. (K); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.) Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.) Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.) Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.) Roberts and his designees, as well as the California Air Resources Board, and its designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees, the California Air Resources Board and its designees, and the department's attorneys cornoridential communications pursuant to the attorney-dient privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. Attorney Work Product Attorney Work Product Attorney Work Product Attorney Work Product Attorney work Product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that its maintained as confidential confidential analyses. Code§ 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Documents such as those induding confidential analyses. The memorands, and drafts of the same prepared by the attorney confidential analyses. Dependent of the document salt of
	Complet Megan Hey
	e d d m pk
the information materialized from the California Department of Corrections. (hereinafter "CDofC"). Closer scrutiny by the CDofC , the information was recorded in a CLETS rap sheet. However, and regardless of, the CDofC claime that they knew nothing about such an escape , that Warden Daniel Paramo and E. Stelter, CCII Administrative, suggested that I communicate with the California Department of Justice (DOJ) and which if the information cannot withstand DOJ scrutiny, that I have a right to ask the DOJ to remove any reference to it. That the CDofC can then check your CLETS system and if the information has been remove, that the y will have no objections and will correct my prison and BPHs files, accordingly. Where the Department of Justice (DOJ) has made an entry as to the "escape" allegation (one denied by the CDofC which is not supported by any proof standard) then it should be retracted. Further, while the CLETS report does show an entry that I had escaped the Chino prison, it fails to show the date I was recaptured, brought to trial, or a DA's refusal to prosecute. It doesn't even show any prison disciplinary or corroboration of sorts.	of the Attorney General (OAG) related to so-called "glider trucks" between the period July 1, 2017 to January 10, 2018. This request covers all staff in the OAG. This request also covers any work related e-mail about glider trucks that OAG staff has sent or received via personal email accounts.
	18 18 18
	Steven
	Milloy
	PRA- 2018- 00065

To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].)	Unfortunately, we must deny your PRA request for the following reasons: (1) Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code. § 6253(c).) (2) Public access to confidential sex offender registration information is controlled by Megan's Law which, for database integrity reasons, limits such access to real time internet searches on the Megan's Law web site; and (3) Given the constantly-changing nature of the Megan Law's database, the public's internets is best served by limiting public access to confidential sex offender registration information that is accurate and up-to-date. Megan's Law Provides for Internet Access Only Most of the information provided by registering sex offenders during the registration information that is accurate and up-to-date. However, despite the confidential nature of this information, California provides members of the public with limited access to some of the sex offender registration information at the Megan's Law internet web site. Specifically, Penal Code section 290.46 provides that "the Department of Justice shall make available information on oncerning presons who are required to register pursuant to Section 200 to the public with nameter Web site as specified in this section." (Pen. Code. § 290.46, subd. (a)(1) italics added.) The statute further requires the department of Justice with the intent "that the Department of Justice shall make available information occessed on a nogning basis." (Ibid.) As mentioned in the notes to this code section, the Legislature created the Megan's Law web site with the intent "that Chastice Would continue to maximize all available resources to the Department of Justice would continue to maximize all available resources to dissemination of sex offender egistered sex offenders would be retooled to ensure that law enfor
Nickless Nickless	Nickless Nickless
Complet Erin	Complet Erin ed Nick
1/10/20 I am requesting copies or a physical review of files, investigative reports, and materials pertaining to the investigation of the zodiac killer crime cases in California during the years 1966-1975. There are five separate locations within California where homicides occurred and were attributed to the zodiac killer case, they are: Riverside Police Department - victim Cheri Jo Bates - October 30, 1966 Solano Co. Sheriff's Office - victims Betty Lou Jensen & David Faraday - December 20, 1968 Vallejo Police Dept victims Darlene Ferrin and Michael Mageau (survived) - July 4, 1969 Napa Co. Sheriff's Office - victims Cecelia Shepard & Bryan Harthell (survived) - September 27, 1969 San Francisco Police Dept victim Paul Stine - October 11, 1969 This is research for a History Channel television documentary series. Thanks in advance for your help with this request.	(filed by myself and my husband in December 2016) and any follow-up regarding this case. I have also requested this in writing at the Santa Barbara Sheriff office in Solvang. CA on 1/3/18. Additionally, through the public records act, I would also like a list of people (name and DOB) arrested in the Santa Ynez Valley/Santa Barbara County for child molestation, annoying a child, lewd acts with a child between June 2016 - January 2018.
1/10/20	18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1
Тгеу	e a 1/10/20
Borzillieri	y y
PRA- 2018- 000066	PRA- 000067 y 000067

outweighs the public interest served by disclosure of the record." (Gov. Code, §6255, from public view during our vendor's processing of the information. Additionally, even ensure the accuracy of all the information because of the ever-changing nature of the (c)). Thus, the public interest certainly is not served by disseminating highly sensitive person who is no longer required to register or be posted on the web site, that person registration database. In other words, because the registration database changes on inaccurate data. Such results do not comply with the Legislature's stated intent "that about registered sex offenders" (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats 2006 ch 337, §. 2, subd. In your request, you state you are looking for current list registrants' addresses to be used in a mobile app. Under Government Code section 6255, a government agency time and work required for responding to the requests could have a dramatic impact what appears on the public web site because it contains information that is excluded if our vendor reprocessed the information from one of the backup files, we could not a daily basis (i.e., newly added registrants, newly removed registrants, and changes harassed or injured. And, as every day goes by, the obsolescence of the report and may withhold disclosure of a public record "by demonstrating . . . that on the facts of third-party vendor. The purpose of the web site is to provide members of the public within the Department of Justice that provides technology support and maintenance information, providing the public with inaccurate information could cause real public may be subjected to undue harassment or even danger. Additionally, if a registrant subd. (a).) We believe the circumstances in this case necessitate nondisclosure of public. Our vendor does not employ any SQL database or similar database for this The information that appears on the Megan's Law web site is processed through a that day. Our vendor then downloads that file from our secure FTP site and stores to registrant's data) even a delay of one day would result in a report that contained registration database" (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats 2004 ch 745, §. 2) and "ensure sex offender registration information that we know contains inaccurate information, requested frequency (i.e., daily, weekly, monthly) of such PRA requests if we were day, ORAS creates a FTP file which contain a snapshot of the registration data for recreates the data files that are used by the web servers to provide the data to the On our end, ORAS keeps a backup for seven days of the extract file that is sent to with the new data. The data is also overwritten on the computer where these daily especially when we know that accurate, up-to-date information is readily available the inaccuracies contained within it continue to grow. Again, the public interest in measures are utilized. The previous day's data on the web servers is overwritten receiving inaccurate sex offender registration information is clearly outweighed by for CSAR, the State's repository for sex and arson registration information. Every Specifically, our vendor runs a program that takes that day's data and completely safety concerns. For instance, if registration information is disseminated about a required to produce sex offender registration database information, the additional our vendor. However, the information on the backup files is not a mirror image of the file on the hard drive of the server that creates the files used for the web site. moves, the person who occupies the registrant's old address may be mistakenly the particular case the public interest served by not disclosing the record clearly access to the most current sex offender registrant data. Thus, the site, which is that . . . the public have access to accurate, up-to-date, and relevant information The Offender Registration Application Support (ORAS) Team is the internal unit the Department of Justice . . . ensure the highest degree of accuracy in the sex Consequently, the public's right of access to this information is limited to The Public Interest is Best Served by Limiting Access to Current and Accurate Finally, we receive a multitude of similar requests from members of the public, updated daily to reflect any new additions, deletions, and modifications to the especially broadcast and print media outlets. Depending on the volume and process, only temporary ISAM files. No transaction or other record keeping Furthermore, given the highly sensitive nature of sex offender registration registrant database, stores and displays only the current day's data. accessing the Megan's Law database. the records that you seek from us. data files are created. non-disclosure.

on our ability to successfully perform the core functions of CSAR, which in turn could affect public safety.	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's puview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the records work on reed is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth-Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Informey General relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be re	You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides, or the City of Stockton if they are the ones who created the check list that you are seeking.	We note that you have directed your request to the California Department of Justice, but you appear to be addressing your request to the California Department of Public Health. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you are seeking records from the California Department of Public Health, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to submit a records request to their office can be found on their website at https://cdph.mycusthelp.com/WEBAPP/_rs/%288%28c0dxnq1bir41hvabw0tqmko4%29%29/supporthome.aspx. To the extent that your request seeks investigative files from the California DOJ, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a
	Nickless	Nickless	Nickless
	Complet Erin ed Nick	Complet	Complet Erin ed Nick
	want to provide accurate information.	Mandatory inspections of all residential rental units in the city of Stockton must comply with Stockton Municipal Code 8.32 - Residential Rental Unit Inspection and Maintenance. I would like to access the information regarding the check list regarding 8647 Acapulco Way Stockton, CA 95210 I believe I am being abused as a tenant by my landlord and would like better information regarding the approval for occupancy before going to court to sue this owner.	Pursuant to the California Public Records Act (CPRA) we request copies of the investigation reports on poss ble violations of the Standard of Identity for Lemon Juice (CFR Title 21 Section 146.114), filed against following company: Lucy's Enterprises 13740 Nelson Avenue, City of Industry, CA 91746 Owner: Miguel Diaz
	18 1/20	18 18 18	18 1/1/20
	Richard	Nathan	Chris
	Blust	Vree	Nielsen
	PRA- E 2018- 00072	PRA- 72018- 00073	PRA- 2018- 00074

failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].)	The Record Review and Challenge Program assists individuals who would like to order a copy of their California state criminal history record for their eyes only and/or dispute its material (see California Penal Code 11120-11126). You may order a copy of your California state criminal history record (or "no record") to review and/or dispute the information contained therein. For more information regarding the Record Review process, please visit our website at www.oag.ca.gov/fingerprints. Click on the link, "Criminal Records - Request Your Own" and follow the directions listed on the webpage. Your Record Review results will be mailed to you after you submit fingerprints for Record Review. The Department of Justice responds only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of a local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the California Secretary of State's Office, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to contact their office can be found online at http://www.sos.ca.gov/administration/contact-information/.	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.	In certain specified circumstances, California Civil Code sections 1798.29(e) and I 798.82(f) require submission of sample copies of security breach notifications, excluding any personally identifiable information, to the Attorney General. These single samples of security breach notifications are public records. Our office publishes these security breach notifications on our website at https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/databreach/list: We provide both a chronological list as well
	Nicole Arnaiz	Nickless Nickless	Erin Nickless	Erin Nickless	Nickless Nickless	Lisa Kim
	Complet Nicole ed Amaiz	ed ed	Complet E	Complet Erin ed Nickless	Complet E	Complet Led
			0 0	0 0		0 0
	1/11/20 All-time Criminal Records Request	Legal name change filed through State of California office of the Secretary of State on October 8th 1992 my name was Jennifer Marie Martinez and was changed to Jennifer Marie Roman the case number 083455 I have a photocopy of course that was made I'm gathering a few years ago I want an actual copy so it's color I'm trying to get my passport done and I think it'll present a hurdle for me if I don't have the legal one.	I am doing a public records request for all police reports, narratives, and 911 call logs regarding a: Tumbellina Renee Lindenberger (birth name might be Debbie or Deborah) DOB: Address	records regarding person: Manpreet Singh Sidhu DOB SSN Address same as above	All criminal records and court records pertaining to QUINTANA BASTIDA, Guillermo (DOB BENELL). Records could pertain to a domestic violence charge between 1990-1995	We are proposing a research study to analyze the Data Breach records reported by the Office of the Attorney General. These data breach submissions to OAG have data contained in them that are not reported on the OAG web site listing Data Breach notifications, such as the number of individuals affected, type of incident, etc. Is it
	1/11/20	1/11/20	1/11/20	1/11/20	18 18 18	1/11/20
	Shane	Jennifer		Manpre et	Jeffrey	Dr. Bill
	Higgins	Roman	Rousseau Katie	Sidhu	Goldman	Hefley
	PRA- 2018- 00075	PRA- 2018- 00077	PRA- R 2018- 00078	PRA- S 2018- 00080	PRA- 2018- 00081	PRA- 2018- 00102

possible to obtain an electronic copy of the submissions to OAG, including the data submitted per https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/databreach/report-a-breach to support our analyses?

This work is not funded and is going to be done by myself and one of my graduate students. We would be happy to acknowledge the support of the OAG, and provide copies of any analyses completed.

January 16, 2018 follow up: Thank you so much for your letter. I am a former member of the CERT/CC and have previously been involved in training for law enforcement personnel of the both the US and Canada. and in supporting prosecutions, so I am sensitive to your

I appreciate your response, and thank you for such a quick response, although it does raise some additional questions. First, the other information (besides the sample breach letter) collected at

concerns

(according to the heading on the Report-A-Breach page), no Civil Code requirement in these sections directing the https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/databreach/report-a-breach is published this breach data, there can be no ongoing law enforcement purpose (otherwise the breach would have been delayed from publication), so I kindly enquire as to this information should/could be made public. There is needed for a law enforcement investigation. However, collection of information categorizing the breach letters not specifically described in the Code at either 1798.82 exempting it from subdivision (f) of Section 6254 of the Government Code, so this may indeed be a gray area. since the OAG has produced these public records and or 1798.29, so there may be a question as to whether Further, you state is that this is law enforcement data whether the other data that is collected (besides the publishing this public information may be delayed if but 1798.82(c) or 1798.29(c) both clearly state that for the purposes of law enforcement, nor explicitly sample breach letter) collected at

sample breach letter) collected at https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/databreach/report-a-breach for those breaches reported at

https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/databreach/list can be made available for analysis, as it is clear that the intent of the Codes are that the breach notifications not be subject to subdivision (f) of Section 6254 of the Government Code. Any breach not reported at at https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/databreach/list could possibly fall under the law enforcement exemption and may be withheld per 1798.82(c) or 1798.29(c).

Given this, I would request for our research purposes the information associated with Part 2 of each breach published by the Office of the Attorney General at https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/databreach/list, as these are no longer under law enforcement hold, having been published.

If this is not possible, due to your stated law enforcement concerns, we would request just the descriptive data associated with Part 2 of the Report a Breach Form. This would constitute the questions in Part 2 of the Report-A-Breach form, excluding three questions in Part 2 regarding these law enforcement items that may be excluded from our request:

1. Name, telephone and email address of company

as a search function. In the past, our office has analyzed the data breaches reported to the Attorney General and compiled these findings. This information is also available on our website at https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/privacy-reports. In addition to the public records required by Civil Code sections 1798.29(e) and I 798.82(f), our office collects additional information about security breaches for law enforcement purposes. These records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General, and, therefore, are exempt from disclosure. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts records of complaints to, or investigations conducted by, the Office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice. (Gov. Code,§ 6254, subd. (f), Accordingly, we respectfully deny your request that we provide these records. For the foregoing reasons, we are unable to provide you with documents in response to your request other than the records available at https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/databreach/list.

January 25, 2018 response to follow up: This letter is in response to your correspondence dated January 16, 2018. In addition to taking issue with our January 16, 2018 response to your January 11, 2018 Public Records Act request, you submit a new, alternative request for "the descriptive data associated with Part 2 of the Report a Breach form" submitted per https://oag.ca.gov/privacy/databreach/report-a-breach. We appreciate your positions on California law, but respectfully disagree and decline your request. As set forth in our January 16 response, our office specifically and expressly collects the information in Part 2 of our "Report a Breach" form for law enforcement purposes to investigate security breaches. This information is separate and apart from the required submission of sample copies of security breach notifications to our office, and thus, is exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f).)

You assert that because our Office publishes sample breach notices, there can be no ongoing law enforcement purpose for maintaining confidentiality of the other materials collected by our office. Single samples of security breach notifications are explicitly public records and we endeavor to make these records publicly available without unreasonable delay. Publication of the sample copies of security breach notifications, however, in no way indicates that the Attorney General's investigation into the data breaches has been completed or that there is no legitimate purpose for maintaining confidentiality of our investigation files.

Further, and importantly, investigative records do not lose their exempt status even after conclusion of the investigation. (Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354-355.) Therefore, even if and when our investigations are closed, our investigation files remain exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. Your citation of Civil Code sections 1798.29(c) and 1798.82(c) is also misplaced. Those provisions pertain to whether a business may delay notifying the persons whose information was accessed when a law enforcement agency has determined that notification may impede a criminal investigation. Indeed, both subdivisions (a) and (c) of each statute provide that notice should be made promptly after a determination that it will not compromise the investigation. But notification to persons affected does not indicate that the Attorney General's investigation into the data breach is complete. Further, as noted above, investigative records do not lose their exempt status affer conclusion of the investigation. (Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354-355.)

Thus, neither publication of sample breach notices by our office, nor notification of the data breach by the agency, person, or business involved to persons whose information has been accessed, support your position that our Office's investigative records have lost their exempt status. Accordingly, we respectfully deny your requests for these records exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f).

	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please not that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth-Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General in elevant to establic activities of a submit a personal request. Information	Stephani On January 25, 2018, Stephanie Grimes sent an extension letter. Grimes	In response, we have enclosed a list of eligible organizations registered to conduct raffles pursuant to Penal Code section 320.5 as maintained by the Department's Registry of Charitable Trusts (Registry). The Registry does not maintain telephone contact information for eligible organizations registered pursuant to Penal Code section 320.5. We have also enclosed a list of eligible organizations registered to conduct raffles pursuant to Penal Code section 320.6 as maintained by the Department's Bureau of Gambling Control (Bureau). The Bureau does not maintain telephone contact information for eligible organizations authorized to conduct raffles pursuant to the major-league sports raffle program. We have not enclosed a list of nonprofit organizations preapproved by the Department to conduct fundraisers pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section 19986, subdivision (b), because, except as specifically provided in the Gambling Control Act (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19800 et seq.), the records of the Department maintained under the Gambling Control Act are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (c); see Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k).) In addition, subdivision (d) of Business and Professions Code section 19821 makes it unlawful for an official or employee of the Department to knowingly disclose or furnish records or information to a person not authorized by law to receive them, except as necessary
	Nickless		Complet Caitlin ed W. Noble
	Complet ed ed	Complet	Comple
contact whom the Attorney General may contact for further information 2. Whether a law enforcement agency was notified regarding the breach 3. Name and contact information of law enforcement agency contacted I have a student who is quite interested in doing a deeper analysis of these data. We would, of course, be happy to provide a copy of any completed research to your office.	document related to or mentioning, casually or as the subject, the individual by the name of Mohammed Aly. Mr. Aly's (DOE	What sort of index do you have for these pre-1943 opinions? Are there any others that relate to Art. I sec. 25 Cal. Const., rights to fish or reserving fishing rights? If so, I would really like to see them and obtain copies.	o I am requesting a list of the names, addresses and phone of all registered establishments that have charitable gambling licenses in the state of California. This can include pull tabs, raffles, etc. designated to raise money for nonprofit partner support.
	18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1	1/11/20	18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1
	Stephan ie	Francis	n n
	Johnson	Coats	Mattson
	PRA- 2018- 00128	PRA- (2018- 00239	PRA- 2018- 00082

for the administration of the Gambling Control Act. To the extent your request seeks records of nonprofit organizations' fundraisers that are maintained by the Department under the Gambling Control Act, they are statutorily exempt from disclosure. We note that your public records request is directed to the California Department of Justice but may seek records from other California state agencies such as the California Gambling Control Commission. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice responds solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other state agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the California State Library and/or the Riverside County Office of Education, you may wish to direct your request to their offices.	Jaimie Tackett sent an extension letter on 1/23/18 Jaimie Tackett responded on February 5, 2018: The California Department of Justice has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents. Note, the documents contain some information that, under the PRA, is exempt from disclosure and have been redacted. (Gov. Code§ 6254 (K), Pen. Code§§ 11075 et seq.)	Robert Wilson sent an extension letter dated January 22, 2018. Robert Wilson sent a status update on February 5, 2018: Our 14-day extension expires today, and I would like to provide you a status of our progress. We have been combing through the information. At this point, we are still gathering the responsive documents. We would like to continue our search and provide documents to you on a rolling basis. We will keep you informed of the progress. On March 27, 2018, Robert Wilson responded: In providing the records requested, we have redacted certain private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information such as social security numbers and credit card numbers based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. 1, § 1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code,§ 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code,§ 6255.)
	Nickless	l'ackett	Wilson
	ed ed	Complet Jaimie ed Tackett	Complet Robert ed Wilson
			Michel & Gasociat es, P.C.
	I would I ke to be emailed copies of all of the entities that responded to the Request for Proposals of K-12 Educational Online Content issued on Nov. 9 by the Riverside County Office of Education on Behalf of the California State L brary. The RFP is available here: https://rcoe.sharefile.com/share/view/s5d1e12689ce4475 a/fo514030-3170-4f 4fhttps://rcoe.sharefile.com/share/view/s5d1e12689ce 4475a/fo514030-3170-4f55-bea6-47b8b1310b19> and all proposals were to be sent to the Riverside County Office of Education. Their email is rcoecsIrfp@rcoe.us>mailto:rcoecsIrfp@rcoe.us>.	(1) Any and all "Request for Authorization to Receive State Summary Criminal History Information - Contract Employee for Public/Private Schools" documents, a sample of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A, that have been submitted to the DOJ by Early Strides Child Development Center, LLC, located at 2615 Pacific Coast Hwy# 125, Hermosa Beach, CA 90254. (2) Any and all contracts for subsequent arrest notification services for subsequent Arrest Notification Service" at https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/fingerprints/forms/subarr.pdf) that have been submitted to the DOJ by the California Department of Social Services ("DSS") and/or any of DSS divisions, agents, and/or employees. (3) Any and all contracts for subsequent arrest notification services (as defined in Penal Code § L1105.2(c) and a version of which is available as "Contract for Subsequent Arrest Notification Service" at https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/fingerprints/fonns/subarr.pdf) that have been submitted to the DOJ by Front Porch Communities & Services, doing business as "Villa Gardens," located at 842 East Villa Street, Pasadena, California, or any of its agents and/or employees.	Any and all communications between the California Department of Justice ("DOJ") (or any representative, employee or agent of 001) and any DOJ-Certifled Laboratory (or any representative, employee or agent of any DOJ-Certifled laboratory) in the last twelve (12) months.
	1/12/20	18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1	
	Erika	Pavithra	Matthew 1/12/20
	و	Мелол	Cubeiro
	PRA- J 2018- 00083	PRA- N 2018- 00084	PRA- 2018- 00089

Enclosed you will find copies of documents we have that are responsive to your request.	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.	In regard to your request for copies of Oath of Offices, we are enclosing two copies of the Oath of Office for Attorney General Xavier Becerra. To the extent that you request that we 'certify' documents, we must decline that portion of your request as our office does not certify records produced under the Public Records Act. Additionally, you "require and demand case [14526193]/[C1496348] be dismissed as frivolous," and sought assistance with a restraining order. We were unable to locate these case numbers in our legal indices and must therefore decline your request. To the extent that you are seeking legal advice, the DOJ is not in a position to perform legal research or to provide legal advice to private individuals. You may wish to consult with a private attorney to evaluate your options regarding the remaining portion of your request.
	Nickless	Nickless	Nickless
	Complet	Complet ed	Complet
	1. Any and all communications, payments and/or emoluments to Nationwide Insurance and/or any Nationwide Insurance employee, officer, agent, and/or representative that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications regarding any insurance claim or other communication that mentions including but not limited to any communication intended to interfere with or otherwise affect any payment of any insurance claim. 2. In addition, please produce any and all documents, records, and/or writings reflecting filly destruction, alteration, removal, and/or transfer of any of the records requested under Request Item Number 1 herein.	1. Each and every document, letter, electronic mail message, text message, Internet communication, intranet communication, attachment, payment, enclosure and/or writing created, transmitted, stored, sent and/or received by DOJ on any DOJ computer or other medium, or on any non-DOJ medium used to transmit, store, create and/or receive content, that constitutes, mentions, refers to, and/or relates to John Dambrausks, and/or any vacations, vacation payments, vacation reimbursements, financial or other assistance, home improvements, appliances, repairs, jobs, recommendations for employment, payments, vacation, trips, remodeling and/or informant activities (official or unofficial to from, by, with and/or about John Dambrausks and/or the intended to provide pain and suffering to Parvin Olfati. 2. In addition, please produce any and all documents, records, and/or writings reflecting any destruction of any of the records requested under Request Item Number 1 herein.	l inquire to obtain a (2) certified copy of Oath of Office for Xavier Becerra. Notice: To Dismiss Case, Release Lily Ko concerning Santa Clara County Court Case stylized as [14526193]/[C1496348] Greetings Xavier, I, woman, believe you are a man who at times acts as the Attorney General for California, and I have a matter that requires your urgent intervention: It has come to my attention that charge P.C. § 166(A)(4) is dropped as of July 6, 2015 along with P.C. § 71; I require and demand case [14526193]/[C1496348] be dismissed as frivolous on grounds that P.C. § 166(A)(4) charged (for violating a restraining order) is defective. I. Restraining Order (RO) in question (dated on/about May 27, 2014) does not state any reason for restraint (an 'omission'); I. Absent this charge how can P.C. § 664/278 be founded? 3. The 'protected' party (my son and daughter seized (unlawfully) by CPS ('DFCS')) did not want the RO; 'absent exigency.' Also, the woman, Michele McKay McCoy acting as County Judge proceeded on a
	11/12/20	11/12/20	18 113/20
	Parvin	Parvin	. <u>a</u>
	Olfati (January 2018)	Olfati (January 2018)	Hui Lan
	PRA- 2018- 00121 2	PRA- 2018- 00122 2	PRA- 2018- 00130

	Specifically, you state that your request was ignored and that your check was not returned. Our records show that our office mailed a response within ten days of receiving your letter, and enclosed your uncashed check with our response on January 5, 2018. To the extent that your inquiries seek legal advice or opinions, the DOJ is not in a position to perform legal research or to provide legal advice to private individuals.	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the Governor's Office, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on contacting the Office of Governor Edmund G. Brown Jr. can be located on the Governor's website at https://govapps.gov.ca.gov/gov39mail/.
	Nickless	Nickless Nickless
	Complet	The Wall Complet Erin Street ed Nickless Journal
		Street Journal
suspended hearing (on December 6, 2017) to AMEND the P.C. § 1370 Order (to commit Lily Ko) to include 'Involutary Medication Order' (IMO) which is causing my suffering, harm, injury, distress-from heart pain, etc. I can die from forced ongoing drugging; I require you to dismiss and vacate this case and all judgments (including the IMO), and I require and demand my immediate release. I have exceeded my maximum term of 2 years! I went to jail March 3, 2015-July 6, 2015, March 6, 2017-October 19, 2017, then to NSH prison October 19, 2017-to this day and ongoing. December 28, 2017. I also require the immediate restoration of my son, Ko, Frank (16 year old), and my daughter, Ko, Jaya (10 year old) back under my care and custody. I withdraw the State, Michele McKay McCoy as beneficiary to constructive trust [14526193]; govern yourself accordingly, 28th December, 2017 by Hui Lian, on behalf for Ko, Lily	My PRA of 17 Dec 17 has been ignored. No response was made within statuory time limits. No Justification for withholding records was made, nor could one have been made. Personnle in your agency have withheld records in Violation of G.C. 6200, which is a felony. The Check sent for possible statutory charges has not been cashed, returned, or otherwise accounted for. My petition for an administrative rulemaking proceeding, made 30 Oct 17 reiterated 30 Nov 17 and 17 Dec 17 has never been responded to. This violates G.C. 1222 It also raises the issue of whether DOJ intends to obey the law regarding it. After several inquiries, one question remains unanswered. Why is DOJ working so hard at protecting those who violate California's laws from their victims?	"First, copies of any submitted resume, job offer letter, signed contract and other hiring documents pertaining in any way to Taryn Akiyama as a project coordinator for the California Governor's Office of Planning and Research and/or as the Governor's project coordinator for the Under2 Coalition, or for any other role in his office. This includes, but is not limited to, any contracts or grants that provide funding for Ms. Akiyama's position and/or efforts. We further narrow this portion of the request as follows: Responsive records will be dated from May 1, 2016, to Jan. 11, 2018, inclusive. Second, copies of all salary, pay and other compensation records for Taryn Akiyama. Responsive records will be dated between Nov. 11, 2017, and Jan. 11, 2018, inclusive. Third, copies of any submitted resume, job offer letter, signed contract and other hiring documents pertaining in adviser to Gov. Brown, or for any other role in his office. This includes, but is not limited to, any contracts or grants that provide funding for Ms. Barnes' position and/or efforts. We further narrow this portion of the request as follows: Responsive records will be dated from March 1, 2017, to Jan. 11, 2018, inclusive. Fourth, copies of all salary, pay and other compensation records for Aimee Barnes. Responsive records will be dated dated between Nov. 11, 2017, and Jan. 11, 2018,
	18 18 18	18 18 18 18
	John	Jillian
	ser	(WSJ)
	PRA- 12018- 86 00136	PRA- 00139 (V

	In response to your request, please be advised that the rules of play of each gaming activity approved for play at each gambling establishment are available to the public on the Attorney General's website, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 19826, subdivision (g), a provision of the Gambling Control Act (Act). The addition, the rules for gaming activities conducted within a gambling establishment are available to patrons at the gambling establishment itself (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 4, \$ 2017, subds. (c), (f).) The Act also provides that the Bureau "shall maintain a file of all applications for licenses" and that the file is "open to public inspection." (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code, § 1982 1, subd. (b).) The Act specifies that except as provided therein, the records of the Bureau are exempt from disclosure under the California Public Records Act. (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code, § 1982 1, subdivision (d), further specifies that it unlawful for an official or employee of the Department to knowingly disclose or furnish records or information to a person not authorized by law to receive them, except as necessary for the administration of the Gambling Control Act. While the records you requested are made available in the particular manner specified in Business and Professions Code section 19826, subdivision (g), a review of your records request suggests no applicable exception to the general exemption from disclosure under the Public Records Act set forth in Business and Professions Code section 19826, subdivision (g), a review of your records requested records under the Public Records Act is denied. The decisions described herein with respect to the requested records have been made by Associate Governmental Program Analyst Kaylee Forthman and Deputy Attorneys General Robert L. Mukai and Jennifer T. Henderson.	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth—Marriage-Certificates.aspx.	To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to
	ak	Erin	less	ess
	Complet Riann ed Kopch	Complet	Complet Erin ed Nick	Complet Erin ed Nick
inclusive. Fifth, copies of all contracts, grants, invoices and/or reimbursement requests involving the State of California and/or the governor's office and the Hewlett Foundation, the World Resources Institute, the Under2 Coalition, the UN Foundation, and/or The Climate Group. Responsive records will be dated between Jan. 11, 2017, and Jan. 11, 2018, inclusive."	of Gambling Control. Please provide copies of all approved rules for controlled games at each individual approved rules for controlled games at each individual gambling enterprise (hereafter "cardroom") and corresponding GEGA numbers. I request that the information be presented in a way that I can easily view the rules for a specific cardroom. All Records This request for public records encompasses any writing containing information relating to the conduct of the public's business prepared, owned, used, or retained by any state or local agency or official regardless of physical form or characteristics. See California Government Code § 6252(e). As used in this request and consistent with the California Public Records Act, "writing" means any handwriting, typewriting, printing, photostating, photostating, photostating, photostating, photostating, communication or representation, including letters, words, or symbols, or combinations thereof, and any record thereby created, regardless of the manner in which the record has been stored. See California Government Code § 6252(g).	I would I ke to request the public records regarding how many times the police have been called out to the address listed below.	1/16/20 dissolution of marriage Lynn Ann Anderson vs Micah John Anderson filed	The People of California vs. Charles Franklin Christian or related arrest record. Murder/ attempted murder trial. He was sent to prison on 4/12/1979. CDCR # B66387. He was granted parole 01/10/18.
	18 18 18	1/16/20	18 18	18 18
	Joe	Erica	Lynn	Shanah
	Patterson	Reyder	Anderson Lynn	Adkins
	PRA- P 2018- 00086	PRA- F 2018- 00087	PRA- A 2018- 00090	PRA- A 2018-

obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.	We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a court case involving a lien, we suggest that you contact the court in which the case was filed, or the local county assessor's office for the county in which the property resides if the lien is property-related. We hope this information has been of assistance.	The California Gambling Control Act, in California Business and Professions Code is section 1982; subdivision (b.), provides that the Bureau "shall maintain a file of all applications for licenses" and that the file is "open to public inspection. The Bureau is therefore enclosing copies of the license applications, maintained in the retirenced file, of the entity and the individuals identified in your request. If the following information was included on an application, it has been redacted as authorized by the information was included on an application, it has been redacted as authorized by the california Cubic Act, in California Covernment Code section 6254, subdivision (n), and section 6255; home address, home phone number, personal collipone number, applicant birth date, social security number, and dollar compensation of principal. This personal information has been redacted because it is protected by the strong bublic policy set forth in California Constitution article 1, section 1, the right of privacy, yet is of minor significance for legitimate uses, and identity that and similar serious crimes often rely on such personal information for success. To the extent your request seeks documents other than the applications identified above, the Gambling Control Act specifies that except as provided therein, the records of the Bureau are exempt from disclosure under the California Public Records Art (Cal. Bus. & Porf. Code. § 19821, subd. (c).) In addition, to the extent your request is of sufficient breadth to encompass records. If any, that are exempt from disclosure under the attorney-ci lent privilege or the autorney work product doctrine those records are not enclosed. The attorney work product doctrine protects from disclosure any writing that reflects an attorney work product doctrine protects from disclosure research, or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Cal. Gov. Code. § 624, subd. (k): see County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal. App. 4th 819, 833.) Records such as con	We were unable to locate court case records with the information provided. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to
	Nickless	Kopchak	Erin Nickless
	Complet	Complet Riann ed Kopch	Complet Erin
	Reference number: SQ20120423179 La county superior court I need to know what this public record is for I have a lean because of it	Any and all applications submitted by Timothy Long, Polvora, Inc., Michael LeBlanc, Gabriel Pattee, or Mark English for a state gambling license or approval of any purchase agreement related to Hemphills Cardroom in Napa, CA.	I am looking for court documents for the conviction of Joe Lewis Smith. Who at the time of his conviction was residing in Moreno Valley, CA. Joe entered Chuckawalla Valley State Prison on 08/20/2014 and his CDCR number is AU3458.
	1/16/20	11/16/20	1/16/20
	Pedro	Amber	Michael
	Garcia	Dastrup	Colter
	PRA- G 2018- 00093	PRA- 2018- 000094	PRA- 2018- 00097

submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.	In regard to the restraining orders that you are seeking, you appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agency. DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agency. DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agency. DOJ will respond solely on the order of the depository of records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you may wish to direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking restraining orders, you may wish to direct your request to the court that issunable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth-Marriage-Certificates.aspx.	As you note in your letter, you made an identical request for documents in May, which this office responded to on June 16. Therefore, this response is for the period June 17 to present. As stated in this office's June response, the Freedom of Information Act is a federal statute that does not apply to requests for state-agency records. Accordingly, I am treating your request as one made under the California Public Records Act (CPRA), which applies to state-agency records and is codified in the California Government Code at section 6250 et seq. In response to your request, I have attached one email dated November 11, 2017, sent from Deputy Attorney General Jennifer Heinisch to the LA County District Attorney's Office informing that office of the Court's order to show case in case number BH01308. Attached to that email was a copy of the return and exhibits filled in support of the return, which I have not included. I have identified additional emails between my office and the Governor's Office responsive to your request; however, as my office represents the Governor in this litigation, those emails are privileged and protected from disclosure as confidential attorney-cilent communications. (Govt. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Evid. Code, § 954; Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.) Other than the email to the LA County District Attorney's Office, there are no additional non-privileged documents responsive to your request.
	Nickless Nickless	Erin Nickless	Philip J.
	Complet Erin ed Nick	Complet	Complet Philip J.
	I am requesting all criminal records of my father, John Blivin. He was convicted of a felony in 2000 or 2001 in Lassen County for manufacturing and distributing methamphetamine across from a school. I am requesting all restraining order records against my father, John Blivin. Lassen County case # 34334 (Triska, Kathy vs. Blivin, John W). Restraining order on 5/21/01. Proof of service on 5/22/01. Lassen County 1983-1985 (Beverly Blivin, John Blivin) vs. John Blivin) Restraining order. My mother, Beverly Kimm, divorced my father, John Blivin, when I was 5 years old. He threatened to kill her and she was granted a restraining order against him. I have had little contact with him throughout my life due to his violent and unsafe behavior. He has recently attempted to intervene in my life, and I have no records of his criminal history to provide to the Oregon police or courts. I reside in Marion County, Oregon. Any and all records you have concerning my father, John Blivin, would be especially helpful as I attempt to keep myself and my two children safe. Thank you.	I need a copy of my Divorce record - I was divorce from my husband when I lived in CA i believe in 1996. Really I just need to know the exact date	1/16/20 This office represents Harry Sassounian, an inmate currently housed in San Quentin State Prison in the state of California. Please accept this as a public records request under the Freedom of Information Act for all records and documentation of all communications, whether written or oral, made between the State Department, the Department of Justice, the Office of the Governor of the State of California, the California Department of Corrections, the California Board of Parole Hearings, any and all representatives of the Republic of Turkey, and any and all other state or federal governmental agencies with regard to (a) inmate Harry Sassounian's parole proceedings which took place on December 13, 2016 and the Board of Parole's decision to grant Mr. Sassounian parol; (b) the Governor's subsequent decision to reverse the Board's decision; and (c) Mr. Sassounian's Petition for Wirt of Habeas Corpus subsequently filed on August 17, 2017. This request is with respect to Governor Brown's May 12, 2017 decision reversing the Board of Parole's grant of parole. Although, this firm sent a public records request is with respect to records and documentation generated subsequent to Mr. Sassounian's filing of his Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus.
	18 18 18 18 18	18 18	18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1
	Rachel	Bonnie	Mark
	Goode	Willis	Geragos
	PRA- G 2018- 000098	PRA- W 2018- 000099	PRA- 2018- 00103

We have conducted a search of our records. Enclosed are non-exempt documents we identified responsive to your requests, including; (1) the Compaint for Injunctive And Other Relief against Lender Processing Sexvices, Inc., LPS Default Solutions, Inc., and DOCX, LLC. filed by our office on January 31, 2013; (2) the Stipulated Final Judgment And Injunction entered by the Superior Court of the State of California, County of San Francisco against Lender Processing Sexvices, Inc., LPS Default Solutions, Inc., and DOCX, LLC. on February 1, 2013; (3) the Consent Order entered against Lender Processing Sexvices, Inc., LPS Default Solutions, Inc., and DOCX, LLC. on February 1, 2013; (3) the Consent Order entered against Lender Processing Services, Inc., LPS Default Solutions, Inc., and DOCX, LLC. on February 1, 2013; (3) the Consent Order entered against Lender Processing Services, Inc., LPS Default Solutions, Inc., and DOCX, LLC. on February 1, 2013; (3) the Consent Order entered against Lender Processing Services, Inc., LPS Default Solutions, Inc., and DOCX, LLC. on February 1, 2013; (3) the Consent Order entered against Lender Processing Services, Inc., LPS Default Solutions, Inc., and DOCX, LLC. on February 1, 2011; and (4) a Case History Report, which lists the monetary award that California received pursuant to the Stipulated Final Judgment and Injunction. We previously provided you with copies of these records, both in electronic copy by email. As explained in more detail in our September 25, 2017 letter, any other responsive records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act Government Code section 6224, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure records of complaints to and investigations conducted by the Office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f).)	John Killeen sent an extension letter on January 26, 2018. On February 9, 2018, John Killeen sent a response: For the reasons set forth below, we will grant your request in part and deny your request in part. As an initial matter, we understand the term "consent judgment[s]" to mean certain settlement agreements-both national and California-only-that the Attorney General entered into in 2012 with Bank of America and Wells Fargo relating to home mortgages. If we misinterpreted your request, please let us know. The relevant settlement agreements are attached to the accompanying email. Additional documents about the allegations against the banks may be found in the relevant court dockets, which are documents that are publicly available. A significant amount of relevant information about the settlements is posted on our website at: https://oag.ca.gov/legal-opinions/ag-mortgage-settlements. Concerning your request for information about the banks' compliance with the terms of the mortgage settlements, the reports of the monitor of the National Mortgage Settlement are available at: https://www.jasmitlnnonitoring.com/omso/. We have
Hartston Hartston	Complet John ed Killeen
COmplet ed a month of the complete of the comp	e q o
O Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq. and the California Constitution, I am requesting copies of public records that pertain to the Consent Judgment entered into by your office and Lender Processing Services, Inc., LPS Default Solutions, Inc., and DOCX, LLC in January 2013. The requested information is in the public interest and will contribute significantly to the public interest and will contribute significantly to the public interest and will contribute significantly to the public's understanding of the terms and compliance with that consent judgment. Please provide me with any communications and documentation your office has related to the violations of Unfair and Deceptive Acts and Practices Statutes and Homeowners Bill of Rights, and any other conduct or violations of California laws which your office identified that were potentially causing harm to California consumers, which formed or was used as the basis for the consent judgment entered into above including the following: Please see Exhibit A that show a specific example of fabricated assignments, forged documents or unreliable documents created for the purpose of foreclosing that are still polluting the public records of this state: Enclosed documents under Exhibit A shows in summary. Colleen Irby who resides in according to the Notary Journal record, signed and executed various documents purporting as Officer of JPMorgan Chase Bank, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., U.S. Bank, Noticelaed Association; all within the same time frame in order to facilitate foreclosures in the State of California as evidenced. These documents are still recorded in the public registry, clouding the titles of California as evidenced. These documents are still recorded in the public registry, couding the titles of California despite multiple ocuments or the fabricated, forged or unreliable documents or unreliable documents consumers. Servicer such as Select Portfolio Servicers and Attorney Firms that are being investigated, forged docum	Under the California Public Records Act (Statues of 1968, Chapter 1473; currently codified as California Government Code 6250 through 6276.48).I'm requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records that pertain to the Consent Judgment entered by your office and BANK OF AMERICA from January 2013 forward. The request information is in the public interest and will contribute significantly to the public's interest understanding of the terms and compliance with that consent Judgment. Please provide me with any communications and documentations your office has related to the violations of deceptive Consumer Sales Act and the California Consumer Legal Remedy Act, Home Loan Practices Act, Home Loan Discrimination against Blacks and Hispanics
1/16/20	11/16/20
≺ dri	Edwin
⊕ ⊕	(BofA)
PRA- 2018- 00104	PRA- 2018- (600113

und by other counted for Visional Bows which you critical dentification of Carlotterial Bows and the Carlotterial Consumers which formed to Visional Bows as the basis for the Consent Ludgment entered into be documentation related to the Gentry and activity of the consent Ludgment (BAMERICA) who was formed to have embyed provide me say formunication and documentation related to the Gentry and activity of the consent Ludgment (BAMERICA) who was formed to have pressed provided but is not intend to practices as they related to the covered conduct as outline in the Consent Ludgment This activity formed but is not intend to practices and documentation or cetalication practices as they related to recordation and notational practices as they related to recordation and notation related BAMK OF AMERICA. and adocumentation not related to the Gentrality participation to recordation and notation related BAMK OF AMERICA. and adocumentation not cetalic practices as they related to recordation and notation related BAMK OF AMERICA. and cocumentation not cetalic practices as and observation and notation or the might of the consent of the practices and the consent or the practices of the consent of the consent or the practices of the practices of the practices of the practices or the admitty on the time of the consent or the practices of the practices of the practices of the practices of the admitty on the public interest or the admitty of the public services and the practices of the admitty of the public services and the practice or the admitty of the public services and the practices of the admitty of the public services and the practices of the practices of the public process. PRAC. Guardia Edwin The request interest to consent fundament of the public interest and will confirm and will type of the public services the public interest and co	attached several of these reports to the accompanying email. Additional information and documents related to the National Mortgage Settlement can be found at: http://www.nationalmortgagesettlement.com/. As you may know, the terms of the National Mortgage Settlement sunsetted several years ago. California also appointed its own monitor to track the banks' compliance with the terms of the mortgage settlements. The reports of the California monitor are attached to the accompanying email and can be found at: https://eag.ca.gov/legal-opinions/agnortgagesettlements. As the website indicates, the California Monitor Program dosed on September 30, 2014. Some otherwise responsive documents are being withheld from production. Some are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act because they are "records of complaints to the Attorney General and the Department of Justice." (Gov. Code.§ 6254, subd. (f).) Others are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act because they are subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or protections against disclosure of attorney work product, the deliberative process privilege, and the official information privilege. (§ 6254, subd. (k).)	John Killeen sent an extension letter on January 26, 2018 On February 9, 2018, John Killeen sent a response. For the reasons set forth below, we will grant your request in part and deny your request in part. As an initial matter, we understand the term "consent judgment[s]" to mean certain settlement agreements-both national and California-only-that the Attorney General entered into in 2012 with Bank of America and Wells Fargo relating to home mortgages. If we misinterpreted your request, please let us know. The relevant settlement agreements are attached to the accompanying email. Additional documents about the allegations against the banks may be found in the relevant court dockets, which are documents that are publicly available. A significant amount of relevant information about the settlements is posted on our website at: https://oag.ca.gov/legal-opinions/ ag-mortgage-settlements. Concerning your request for information about the banks' compliance with the terms of the mortgage settlements, the reports of the monitor of the National Mortgage Settlement are available at: https://www.jasmithnonitoring.com/omso/. We have attached several of these reports to the accompanying email. Additional information and documents related to the National Mortgage Settlement can be found at: http://www.nationalmortgagesettlement.com/. As you may know, the terms of the National Mortgage Settlement sunsetted several years ago. California also appointed its own monitor to track the banks' compliance with the terms of the mortgage settlements. The reports of the California monitor are attached california are attached.
and any other conduct of violations of California laws which your office lending that we potentially causing ham to California Consums which formed or was used as the basis for the Consent. Judgment a entered into adove. Please provide me any communication and documentation to late participated in (Potentially participated in) the converted conduct as outline in the Consent. Judgment. This activity included but is not limited to practices related to mortigage default servicing, including documentation created by the signatories to the consent of converted conduct as outline in the Consent. Judgment. This activity included but is not limited to practices related to mortigage default servicing, including documentation and contraction practices as they related to mortigage loan documents as well any communications and documentation and delated BAMK CP AMERICA, who was not contracted to mortigage default servicing, including documentation and delated BAMK CP AMERICA for the relationship with Attoneys representing the servicers and the prese provide me with any communications and documentation your diffice holds in relation to the lottowing and endocrement with the times of the Consent Judgment, including but not innited to third party reports, reports a foreir for provide to your offerin leadlon to ungoing compliance with the consent judgment and any reports or outling its compliance with the consent judgment and any reports or outling its compliance with the consent judgment and any reports or outling its compliance with the consent judgment and any reports or outling its compliance with the consent judgment and any reports or outling its compliance with the consent judgment and early prepare compliance with information and order of the supersol procedures sevalable to me under the law. Please provide are declared to the appeal procedures sevalable to me under the law. In a sevalable to me under the law of the separation of the sevalable and consent Judgment. Please provide are declared to the public for the vi		Killeen Killeen
and any other conduct of violations of California law which your office identified that were potentially cau ham to California Consumes which formed or was a set be bease for the Consent Judgment entered into above . Please provide me any communication and documentation related to the identity and activity of those employed or contracted by the signatories to Consent Judgment (BANK OF AMERICA) who was found to have participated in Potentially participate in Potentially and documentation creation, preparation, and execution recordation and notification practices as they relate mortigage loan documentation related BANK OF AMERICA relationship with Attorneys representing the service other third parties. Please provide me with any communications and documentation your office holds in relation to the collinging cells of commentation your office holds in relation to the collinging cells of commentation your office holds in relation to the collinging cells or proprise done for for provide to your office though and documentation in relation to the California Consume that BANK OF AMERICA and its Subsidiances provide me with the terms of the Consent Judgment. Please provide me with information about when I mit expect of orbital consent Judgment and consumentation requested above is not being sough communication and documentation your office the ability in orbital process of the information and volidy med rendeation for in regalation to the consent Judgment and subject or orbital propose or orbital medical propose or orbital medical process or orbital certain orbits and any orbit produced by the Consent Judgment. Please provide me with information with the process and the consent Judgment and orbits and any orbits orbits and su		P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P
and any other conduct of violations of California law which your office identified that were potentially cau ham to California Consumes which formed or was a set be bease for the Consent Judgment entered into above . Please provide me any communication and documentation related to the identity and activity of those employed or contracted by the signatories to Consent Judgment (BANK OF AMERICA) who was found to have participated in Potentially participate in Potentially and documentation creation, preparation, and execution recordation and notification practices as they relate mortigage loan documentation related BANK OF AMERICA relationship with Attorneys representing the service other third parties. Please provide me with any communications and documentation your office holds in relation to the collinging cells of commentation your office holds in relation to the collinging cells of commentation your office holds in relation to the collinging cells or proprise done for for provide to your office though and documentation in relation to the California Consume that BANK OF AMERICA and its Subsidiances provide me with the terms of the Consent Judgment. Please provide me with information about when I mit expect of orbital consent Judgment and consumentation requested above is not being sough communication and documentation your office the ability in orbital process of the information and volidy med rendeation for in regalation to the consent Judgment and subject or orbital propose or orbital medical propose or orbital medical process or orbital certain orbits and any orbit produced by the Consent Judgment. Please provide me with information with the process and the consent Judgment and orbits and any orbits orbits and su		
Guardia Edwin (WF)	and any other conduct of violations of California laws which your office identified that were potentially causing harm to California Consumers which formed or was used as the basis for the Consent Judgment entered into above. Please provide me any communication and documentation related to the identity and activity of the those employed or contracted by the signatories to the Consent Judgment (BANK OF AMERICA) who was found to have participated in (Potentially participated in) the covered conduct as outline in the Consent Judgment. This activity included but is not limited to practices related to mortgage loan documents as well any communications and documentation related BANK OF AMERICA relationship with Attorneys representing the servicers and other third parties. Please provide me with any communications and documentation your office holds in relation to the followup and enforcement with the terms of the Consent Judgment, including but not limited to third party reports, reports done for for provide to your office/in relation to mogoing compliance with the terms of the Consent Judgment, including but not limited to third party reports, reports done for for provide to your office/in relation to documentation in relation to the California Consumers that BANK OF AMERICA and its Subsidiaries provide compensation to, and remediation for in regards to its activities covered under the consent judgment and any reports outlining its compliance with providing relief to consumers as provided by the Consent judgment and any reports outlining its compliance with providing relief to consumers as provided by the Consent judgment and expected copies or the ability to inspect requested records. If you deny or of this request, please cite records. If you deny or of this request, please cite records. If you deny or of this request, please cite records in the under the law.	Under the California Public Records Act (Statues of 1968, Chapter 1473; currently codified as California Government Code 6250 through 6276.48). I'm reque an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records that pertain to the Consent Judgment entery your office and WELLS FARGO from January 2013 forward. The request information is in the public interest and will contribute significantly to the public's interest understanding of the terms and compliance with the consent Judgment. Please provide me with any communications and documentations your office has related to the violation of deceptive Consumer Sales Act and the California Consumer Legal Remedy Act, Home Loan Practice Home Loan Discrimination against Blacks and Hisp and any other conduct of violations of California law which your office identified that were potentially cau harm to California Consumers which formed or was as the basis for the Consent Judgment entered into above. Please provide me any communication and documentation related to the identity and activity of
Guardia (WF)		1/16/20 18
Guardia (WF)		Edwin
		Jia

to the accompanying email and can be found at: https://oag.ca.gov/legal-opinions/agmortgagesettlements. As the website indicates, the California Monitor Program closed on September 30, 2014. Some otherwise responsive documents are being withheld from production. Some are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act because they are "records of compaints to the Attorney General and the Department of Justice." (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f).) Others are exempt from disclosure because they fall within our offices investigatory files. (Bid.) Still other documents are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act because they are subject to the attorney-client privilege and/or protections against disclosure of attorney work product, the deliberative process privilege, and the official information privilege. (§ 6254, subd. (k).)	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
	Complet Erin ed Nickless	Complet Erin ed Nickless
	ed ed ed	Compl
those employed or contracted by the signatories to the Consent Judgment (WELLS FARGO) who was found to have participated in (Potentially participated in) the covered conduct as outline in the Consent Judgment. This activity included but is not limited to practices related to mortgage default servicing, including documentation creation, preparation, and execution, recordation and notarization practices as they related to mortgage loan documents as well any communications and documentation related WELLS FARGO relationship with Attorneys representing the servicers and other third parties. Please provide me with any communications and documentation your office holds in relation to the followup and enforcement with the terms of the Consent Judgment, including but not limited to third party reports, reports done for for provide to your office/in relation to ongoing compliance with the terms of the Consent Judgment. Please provide me with any communications and documentation in relation to the California Consumers that WELLS FARGO and its Subsidiaries provide compensation to, and remediation for in regards to its activities covered under the consent judgment and any reports outlining its compliance with providing relief to consumers as provided by the Consent Judgment. The information requested above is not being sought for consumers all purposes. Please confact me with information about when I might expected copies or the ability to inspect requested records. If you deny or of this request, please cite specific exemption you feel justifies the refusal to release the information and notify me of the appeal procedures	emoluments created, transmitted, and/or received on any DOJ computer or other medium on or after January 1, 2017 that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any tampering, touching, alteration, vandalism, or unauthorized entry to any house believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to tampering, touching, alteration, vandalism or unauthorized entry to or on any light or motion light on the rear door or near the back vard of and including but not limited to any tampering or other activity designed to affect the operation of any light or motion light. 2. In addition, please produce any and all documents, records, and/or writings reflecting any destruction, alteration, removal, or other unavailability of any of the records requested in Request Item Number 1 of this request, together with applicable record retention policies.	of 1. Any and all communications, payments and/or emoluments created, transmitted, and/or received on any DOJ computer or other medium on or after January 1, 2017 that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any tampering, touching, alteration, vandalism, or unauthorized entry to any house believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to the roof of
	11/16/20	1/16/20
	Parvin	Parvin
	Olfati (January 2018)	Olfati (January 2018)
	PRA- 2018- 00141 2	PRA- C 2018- (. 00142 2

	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the california DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records regarding a legal name change, which is typically filed with a court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.	After consulting with various programs within the California Department of Justice (DOJ), the California Justice Information Services Division has determined that it has no responsive records for this request. You may want to contact the Tehama County Sheriff's Office for this information. Additionally, I would like to bring your attention to the California Attorney General's OpenJustice Initiative website, which has summary crime counts of the eight Uniform Crime Reporting crimes and some arrest data for the county of Tehama: https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/crime-statistics/arrests. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-BirthMarriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General in t
	Erin Nickless	Jaimie Tackett	Nickless Nickless
	Complet Erin ed Nick	Complet Jaimie ed Tacket	ed ed
and including but not limited to any tarp cutting, vandalism, unauthorized entry, tampering. or other activity. 2. In addition, please produce any and all documents, records, and/or writings reflecting any destruction, alteration, removal, or other unavailability of any of the records requested in Request Item Number 1 of this request, together with applicable record retention policies.	I request information of name changes and/or registered aliases for Paul Lionell Wa ker.	Ocrime report for the city of Vina, CA. Arrests, 911 calls, criminal activity for the past one- two years if possible. I'm considering moving to Vina and would like to have an understanding of what type of criminal activity occurs there.	1/17/20 I would I ke to request any public records for Colette Germaine Jamet. The documents may include bankruptcy filings, lawsuits, etc.
	118 6	118 0	18 1/20
	Paul	Jenna	Koobme
	Winkler	Ryan	Her
	PRA- W 2018-	PRA- 2018- 00101	PRA- 2018- 00105

The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.	Over the past week, I have left you two phone messages at the number provided in cour request to obtain the names of the individuals for whom you are requesting information. I have not received a return call from you. If you provide us more specifics on which email addresses you are seeking, I am happy to provide them to you. I may be reached at (916) 830-9049 should you want to contact me.	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents. Note, there are two files: 1) Excel sheet for the Death in Custody forms the DOJ has processed; 2) Death in Custody forms for the incidents not yet entered into the Excel sheet.	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-BirthMarriage-Certificates.aspx.	Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html.	There was no record description or comment included with your contact information to identify which records you are seeking. As there were no records identified in your request, we are unable to provide records that are responsive. If you can provide more information as to which documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of the documents that are within the control and custody of DOJ.
Jaimie Tackett	Riann Kopchak	Jaimie Tackett	Nickless Nickless	Nickless	Erin Nickless
Complet Jaimie ed Tacket	Complet Riann ed Kopch	Complet Jaimie ed Tacket	Complet	Complet Erin ed Nick	Complet Erin
I have been asked for Prostitution Arrest data for Santa Barbara County for multiple years. I searched the OpenJustice arrest data but it does not provide the same detail as the 2016 Crime in California report Table 28 – Adult Misd Arrest 2011 – 2016 and Table 29 – Juv Misd Arrest 2011 – 2016. Previously the data was available on the county-wide level. Is it possible to get the data for Tables 28 and 29 for Santa Barbara County?	I'm an intern from Platinum Advisors and wanted to request a list of the email addresses of the Bureau for Gambling Control's Executive Staff. This is a time sensitive project so I'd greatly appreciate your response, thank you for your time.	• Custody deaths under the Orange County Sheriff's Department jurisdiction from January 2017- December 2017. o I would specifically like to know the following about each death: full name of person, date of birth, race, sex, custody status, date of death, location where cause of death occurred, facility where death occurred, manner of death, and means of death.	A hard copy of divorce document	Pursuant to the state open records act, I request access to and copies of any and all communication, including letters, reports, documents, judgements and medical notes related to Plastic and reconstructive surgery as part of prisoner rehabilitation programs AND any correctional plastic surgery that took place between 1950 and 2000 in the CALIFORNIA prison system, including details about surgeries performed, locations, age, names, crimes of inmate and including any communication about the Sybil Brand Institute or regarding the The Surgical and Social Rehabilitation of adult offenders project and I believe this request is in the public interest. The requested documents will be made available to the general public free of charge as part of the public information service at Forbes or an online publication, processed by a representative of the news media/press and is made in the process of news gathering and not for commercial usage.	Received phone call, wanted to ensure it was legitimate and not false.
18		1/17/20	1/18/20	18/20	1/18/20
Elisabet h	Matthew 1/17/20	Lisette	an an	Zara	
Nybo	Allin	Martinez Cutierrez	Dokhani	Stone	Bartholom April
PRA- 2018- 00106	PRA- 2018- 00107	PRA- 2018- 00174	PRA- 2018- 00108	PRA- 2018- 00109	PRA- 2018- 00110

You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking name change records, you may wish to direct your request to the court in which the name change was filed.	The Attorney General has given careful consideration to how best to defend Californians in the areas of Health Care, Immigration, Environment and Consumer Protections. To that end, the AG has focused on building teams, rather than just filling specific positions. In the interim, our current workforce has fulfilled more than 13, 068 hours a month on these issues. We have advertised for 5 Supervising Deputy Attorney General (BAG) positions and 14 Deputy Attorney General (DAG) positions. The application period for all but one of the attorney positions have now closed. (If you are interested in copies of the attorney position announcements, we can provide those to you.) As you may be aware, state hiring includes elaborate standard processes for assessing candidate merit and ensuring fairness, requiring more time to complete a hire. Our initial focus has been or recruiting stellar candidates for the SDAG positions. Once these key positions are filled, we can turn to filling the DAG and support staff necessary for the success of these teams. To date, we have completed the selection/hiring process for one position. Mike Jorgenson has been selected as an SDAG and has accepted the position. His appointment will be effective on January 25. We anticipate filling the remaining attorney positions by the end of February.	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-BirthMarriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summany criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.	Pursuant to your request, enclosed please fmd the following documents: Complaint dated May 19, 2017 (PIU 746218) Response letter dated May 22, 2017 (PIU 746218) Complaint dated September 7, 2017 Response letter dated September 8, 2017 (PIU 760362)
Complet Erin ed Nickless	plet Michelle M. Mitchell	Complet Erin ed Nickless	Complet Melissa ed Weikel
Com	Complet	ed ed	Com
1/18/20 Certified copy of name change. I got married in May of 2007. My maiden name; Golisano, was used on the marriage certificate. With in two months, my social security card and drivers license was changed to reflect my new last name of Fanucchi. Now I need to renew an old passport, but they require a certified copy of the name change. I don't have that, nor do I remember if I had ever filed for one. Please let me know what forms/processes I need to complete, to get a certified copy of my name change: from Desiree Elizabeth Golisano, to Desiree Elizabeth Fanucchi.	I'm writing to see if we can resolve my ongoing request for information about who the attorney general has hired to fill the 31 new positions allocated to the office in last year's budget. As you probably know, I have asked the press office for names of the hires and have received no response.	concerning me.	I am individual requesting information about myself. Full Legal Name James Edward Andrews Date of Birth
1/18/20	1/18/20	1/1 8/20	1/19/20
Desiree	Cheryl	Mitesh	James
Fanucchi	Miller	Brahmbh att	Andrews
PRA- 2018- 00111	PRA- 2018- 00119	PRA- 2018- 00143	PRA- 2018- 00059

Two complaints dated September 11, 2017 Response letter dated September 11, 2017 (PIU 760587) Complaint dated October 17, 2017 Response letter dated October 18, 2017 (PIU 765269) Complaint dated December 15, 2017 Response letter dated December 18, 2017 (PIU 772667) Complaint dated December 17, 2017 Response letter dated December 18, 2017 (PIU 772774) Complaint dated December 27, 2017 Response letter dated December 27, 2017 Response letter dated December 27, 2017	We have carefully evaluated your request and diligently searched for responsive documents, and our specific responses to each category of records requested are below. Response to Request 1: Our office identified divisions, sections, and individuals who were leftly to have responsive records. Searches were conducted where responsive documents are or could reasonably be located. As a result of these searches, our office has located documents responsive to this request and will provide them to you. Response to Request 2: Our office identified divisions, sections, and individuals who were leftly to have responsive records. Searches were conducted where responsive documents are or could reasonably be located. As a result of these searches, our office has not located documents responsive to this request. Response to Request 3: SB 54 is the recently adopted California Values Act, Government Code section 7284 et seq., which became effective in January 2018. Our office dentified divisions, sections, and individuals who were leftly to have responsive records. Searches were conducted where responsive documents are or oculd reasonably be located. As a result of these searches, we have identified non-seampt records relating to SB 64, as enacted in the Values Act and will provide them to you. We have also identified records in this category that are exempt from disclosure for the reasons discussed below, and we will not be producing those records. The Attorney-Client Privilege The Attorney-Client Privilege The Attorney-Client Privilege is absolute and disclosure may not be completed, regardless of relevance, necessity, or any particular circumstances in agiven case. Costco Wholesale Corp. v. Superior Court (2009) 47 Cal.4th 725, 732; see also Palmer v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363, 370; see also Evid. Code § 6254, subd (k) Roberts v. Superior Court (1979) 24 Cal.3d 742, 753-754; ST Outdoor v. Superior Court (2001) 206 Cal.App.4th 34, 411, 11 The attorney-client privilege may occasionally result in the submeyer
	Rolling Sarah E. Producti Belton on
	Product on on
Exact Records Requested ANY that references the specific personally identifying information outlined in this table. Specifically any record, document, file, or any information that references my information. Event-Policy-Circumstance of Record ANY that references the specific personally identifying information outlined in this table. Additionally any complaint that I have made and subsequent response by the Office of the Attorney General of the State of California. Time Period of Requested Records: January 1, 2017 - December 31, 2017 This request is for any information, documentation, application, or reference to the above personally identifying information located within a system of records maintained by this agency	This is a California Public Records Act request. Please provide the following records: 1. Copies of all agreements (MOUs, MOAs, contracts, etc.) between the California Department of Justice and US Immigration and Customs Enforcement, including, but not limited to, the Secure Communities Program and the Priority Enforcement Program. 2. Copies of all records used to terminate agreements between the California Department of Justice and US Immigration and Customs Enforcement. 3. Any and all memorandums, emails, policies, guides, instructions, or other general communications circulated within the CA Dept of Justice regarding SB 54. 4. Any and all analyses or discussions of the US DOJ's efforts to have local law enforcement agencies sign "certifications" pertaining to 8 U.S.C. 1373 (Section 1373).
	18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1
	Darwin
	Bond Grah Darwin
	PRA- 2018- 000116

BP Alaska Exploration, Inc. v. Superior Court (1988) 199 Cal.App.3d 1240, 1250; see (California First Amendment Coalition v. Superior Court, supra, 67 Cal. App. 4th at pp. Values Act, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, by that date, the Attorney General must "publish guidance, audit criteria, and training outweighs the public interest in disclosure, the deliberative process privilege applies. However, we are producing the department's fiscal impact analysis relating to SB 54 from disclosure under the PRA pursuant to the attorney work product doctrine under expose an agency's decision-making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency, and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform Values Act, our internal communications and del berations, including any internal bill provisions contained in other laws. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court, supra, There is no waiver of the privilege when attorney work product is provided to a party its functions. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325, 1342-1343 significant part of the work product when the parties have a reasonable expectation broader and covers documents prepared by the agency in anticipation of, or for use implementing the statute by October 1, 2018. (Gov. Code,§ 7284.8, subd. (a).) Also consulted" as set forth in Evidence Code section 912, subdivision (d). (OXY Resources California LLC v. Superior Court (2004) 115 Cal.App.4th 874, 890-891.) 1346.) Any documents containing statutory interpretation, analyses, draft language and memoranda concerning the strengths and weaknesses of a particular proposal litigation exemption does not duplicate the attorney work product exemption, but is in, litigation. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court; supra, 82 Cal. App. 4th at p. process. To the extent that our office was involved in the legislative process for the (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court, supra, 82 Cal. App. 4th at p. 833, quoting litigation exemption also does not duplicate the attorney-client privilege because it enforcement agencies operate in a manner consistent with the Values Act. (see id. would be covered by this privilege. Records that reveal deliberative processes are Agencies may withhold the disclosure of records pertaining to pending litigation to Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k), which incorporates confidentiality analyses, and any work on behalf of a client agency are protected by the attorneysubd. (b).) Internal records relating to the ongoing work of our office to craft these and are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Gov. Code, § 6254, In addition, it is common practice for CADOJ to be involved in the state legislative with a common interest who has an interest in maintaining the confidentiality of a The attorneys in the CA DOJ provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his exception. (Evid. Code, § 954; Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030; Gov. Code, § 6255.) recommendations" aimed at ensuring that databases used by state and local law also Caito v. Superior Court (2012) 54 Cal.4th 480, 488.) Documents are exempt theories, which is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) The The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would Further, the Values Act requires the Attorney General to "publish model policies" client privilege, the deliberative process privilege, and the attorney work product attorney work product doctrine creates a qualified privilege against discovery of that the work product will remain confidential, and the disclosure is "reasonably 831; Fairley v. Superior Court (1998) 66 Cal. App. 4th 1414, 1422.) The pending designees and the department's attorneys concerning SB 54, as enacted in the containing the attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, or legal theories. general work product, and an absolute privilege against disclosure of writings Government Code section 6255. If the public interest in nondisclosure clearly privileged. (Fairley v. Superior Court, supra, 66 Cal. App. 4th at p. 1422 fn. 5.) adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (b).) The pending exempts "litigation records generally," and not only those documents that are which the public agency is a party until the pending litigation has been finally necessary for the accomplishment of the purpose for which the lawyer was protected from disclosure pursuant to a PRA request through application of subd. (k), incorporating Evid. Code, § 954.) Application of Exemptions to Request 3 The Deliberative Process Privilege The Pending Litigation Exemption 82 Cal. App. 4th at p. 833.)

attength and weaknesses of certain arguments relevant to determining the appropriate policies and guidance to implement the Values Act is paramount given the freedoms and responsibilities implicated by the law, and this benefit clearly outweight is the public's general interest in access. (Gov. Code, § 8256.) The Values Act is also at issue in ongoing itigation brought by the Attorney General against the feedaral government to prohi bit the attachment of certain immigration related enforcement conditions to Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grants (AAG) and Community Oriented Policing Services (CDPS) grants. (See State a/AG) and Community Oriented Policing Services (CDPS) grants. (See State a/AG) and Community oriented Policing Services (CDPS) grants. (See State a/AG) and Community oriented Policing Services (CDPS) grants. (See State a/AG) and Community oriented Policing Services (CDPS) grants. (See State a/AG) in addition, certain responsive documents are being withheld because of the pending litigation exemption. (Gov. Code, § 624. subd. (t)). In addition, certain responsive documents are or could reasonably be located. As a result of these searches, we have identified non-exempt records. Searches were conducted where responsive documents are or could reasonably be located. As a result of these searches, we have identified non-exempt records. Searches were conducted where responsive documents are or could reasonably be located. As a result of these searches, we have identified non-exempt records. Searches were conducted where responsive documents are or could reasonably be located. As a result of these searches, we have identified above in the Response to Request 3.
As methods above in the Response to Require 3, our prices are turnerly in ligidan over the federal povementar's alternyt to turn Section 1373 that a sweeping mandale information and under the selection to allow the sideosture of sessentially all information and under the selection to allow the sideosture of sessentially all information and under the selection to allow the sideosture of sessentially all information and under the selection to a seeping mandale the selection of
As menitored above in the Response to Request 3, our offices a current over the feederal government's attend the fund section 1373 into a swee requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of ressential information about their residents to be feed infinity and in the communications suppara by 0.3; 17-0-470; 1) the attending, 10 communications between the Attorney General and in stedspieres. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General and in stedspieres and control of COPEs, as exondinglated communications pursuant to 1373; including the attachment of Section 1373 as a funding occupion (1373 as a substance). The attorney and communications between the Attorney General or in stedspieres and in stedspieres and in stedspieres. Accordingly, all operations are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Full, COGE 5). Records a recording object on 1373; including but attachment of Section 1373; and on the amplication of pressible filing of legal action related to Section 1373; including but not possible filing of legal action related to Section 1373; including but not possible filing of legal action related to Section 1373; including but not possible filing of legal action related to Section 1373; including but not possible filing of legal action related to Section 1373; including but an adversarial or season and section of the attorney work product docrine. (Full, COGE 6); Produce of Products of Section 1375; including legal research and an advallege and the attorney demonstrated to a season and public season of the season and an advallege and season a
As menitored above in the Response to Request 3, our offices curren over the feederal government's attend to flum beciden 1373 into a swee requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the electroauming of second the residents to be clean immigration authorities (Sec California v. Sessions, supa, bb. 3; 17-o-470; 1) The authorities (Sec California v. Sessions, supa, bb. 3; 17-o-470; 1) The authorisy in CAL legal and vice to the Authority General and ins designees and in communications between the Authority General and ins designees and in communications between the Authority General and ins designees and in communications between the Authority General or ins designees and in communications between the Authority General or ins designees and in communications between the Authority General or ins designees and in communications between the Authority General or ins designees and in communications between the Authority General or ins designees and in communications between the Authority General or ins designees and in communications provision or semption or designees and in the authority of the employees regard communications and velocity or sub-integration to including but not analyzing the bases and velocity for such inglation including legal research and of analyzing the bases and velocity or sub-integration including legal research and or and other filings are also exempt from PLA disclosure based on attend and other filings are also exemption. (Four, Code 5) 954; Co. Proc. 2018, 302, Records related to flighting or including the authorise design or internal and and other filings are also exemption including the analyses or officeriors. (For identified the administration of the ID. Septemment and other filings are also exemption including an analyses, and the authorise or officerial and and another concerning the administration of the ID. Septemment and other filings are also exemption including and analyses and an authorise or and another concerning the administration of the ID. Septemment and other filings
As mentioned above in the Response in Regression 1327 into a swee requiring state and local justiciones to allow the discosment of several and interestications to allow the discosment of sessional and interestications authorities. (See California v. Sessions, supera. Mo. 3. 17-or-4701.) The attornies in Fortunation and continuing and continuing and continuing in California v. Sessions, supera. Mo. 3. 17-or-4701.) The attornies in California v. Sessions, supera. Mo. 3. 17-or-4701.) The attornies in California v. Sessions, supera. Mo. 3. 17-or-4701.) The attornies in California v. Sessions, supera. Mo. 3. 17-or-4701.) The attornies in California v. Sessions, supera. Mo. 3. 17-or-4701.) The attornies in California v. Sessions, supera. Mo. 3. 17-or-4701.) The attornies in California v. Sessions, supera. Mo. 3. 17-or-4701.) The attornies in California v. Sessions, supera. Mo. 3. 17-or-4701.) The attornies in California v. Californi
As mentioned above in the Response in Regulate 3, our office is current over the federal government's attempt to furni Section 1323 find a sweet requiring state and foral jurisdictions to allow the disposation of the federal immigration authorities (Sec Californal v. Sessions, super, b. 6. 3; 17-ox-4701.) The attorneys in CAL legial and vice to the Attorney General and this designees and the department's advoices to the Attorney General and this designees and the department's advoices concerning the possible finding of legial action related to Section 1373 as a funding of legial action related to Section 1373 as a funding broadle of the attorneys and chart of section 1373 as a funding broadle of communications pursuant to the attorneys and chart of section 1373 as a funding broadle of communications pursuant to the attorney and chart of the section 1373 as a funding broadle of communications pursuant to the attorney and chart of the section 1373 as a funding but load of communications pursuant to the attorney and chart antiopses regard to an advoice the transportation and value of the section 1373 as a funding but load action to analyzing the bases and valuely for such higginator are also eventy to communications pursuant or also being when the properties of the section 1373 as a funding but to a section 1373. Including but not another concerning the deadless and values of the Section 1373 as a funding condition. The addition and the section of the sec
As mentioned above in the Response in PR Response in Requests 2 our office is current over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1323 find a sweet requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the dissolation authorities (Sec California v. Sessions, super, No. 3; 17-04-01). The attorneys in CAL legial and to the displacement of authorities (Sec California v. Sessions, super, Mo. 3; 17-04-01). The attorneys in CAL legial and to the displacement and the displacement. Accordingly, all displacements administ passible imigg of legial action related to Section 1373 as a funding organization of 1373, including the attachment of Section 1373 as a funding organization of 1373, including the attachment of Section 1373 as a funding organization organization sprusation including propagation organization sprusation including propagation organization including legial research and organization and sprusation including propagation organization including legial research and and other fillings, are size eventpit from disclosure based on the attorney work product docrine. (Code Civ. Pro. 2, 2018 030). Records responsive to this request are also being where the compagation including legial research and and other fillings, are also eventpit from eventpin organization including legial research and and other fillings, are also eventpit from eventpin organization including legial research and and other fillings, are also eventpit from the communications consciously to communications organization including legial research organization including legial research organization organization including legial research organization organization organization including and inadjases or discussions or communications consciously organization including an advisor supervisor organization organ
As mentioned above in the Response in Page Response in Regulate 3, our office is current over the federal government's attempt to furni Section 1323 find a sweet requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the dissolation authorities (Sec California v. Sessions, supra. No. 3; 17-ox-4701.) The attorneys in CAL legal and clocal the Attorney General and this designees and furnitured sciences and the designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General and this designees and furnitured sciences. Social and the designees and furnitured sciences and the designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or inside obtained communications pursuant to the attorney and content of CAL legal action related to Section 1373 as a funding or obtained in 1373, including but and attorney and colinaries pursuant to analyzing the bases and validity for all contents and validity and section section 1373 as a funding but land and other furnity and and other furnity and and other furnity and and other furnity, and and other furnity and and and other furnity of contragonals and value and other furnity of contragonals and value and the description of the contragonal and value and the description of the contragonal and value and the description of the contragonal and values and the description of the contragonal and part the description of the contragonal and part to the contragonal and analyses of contragonal ana
As mentioned above in the Response in PR Response in Requests 2 our office is current over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1323 find a sweet requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the dissolation authorities (Sec California v. Sessions, super, No. 3; 17-04-01). The attorneys in CAL legial and to the displacement of authorities (Sec California v. Sessions, super, Mo. 3; 17-04-01). The attorneys in CAL legial and to the displacement and the displacement. Accordingly, all displacements administ passible imigg of legial action related to Section 1373 as a funding organization of 1373, including the attachment of Section 1373 as a funding organization of 1373, including the attachment of Section 1373 as a funding organization organization sprusation including propagation organization sprusation including propagation organization including legial research and organization and sprusation including propagation organization including legial research and and other fillings, are size eventpit from disclosure based on the attorney work product docrine. (Code Civ. Pro. 2, 2018 030). Records responsive to this request are also being where the compagation including legial research and and other fillings, are also eventpit from eventpin organization including legial research and and other fillings, are also eventpit from eventpin organization including legial research and and other fillings, are also eventpit from the communications consciously to communications organization including legial research organization including legial research organization organization including legial research organization organization organization including and inadjases or discussions or communications consciously organization including an advisor supervisor organization organ
As mentioned above in the Response in PR Response in Requests 2 our office is current over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1323 find a sweet requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the dissolation authorities (Sec California v. Sessions, super, No. 3; 17-04-01). The attorneys in CAL legial and to the displacement of authorities (Sec California v. Sessions, super, Mo. 3; 17-04-01). The attorneys in CAL legial and to the displacement and the displacement. Accordingly, all displacements administ passible imigg of legial action related to Section 1373 as a funding organization of 1373, including the attachment of Section 1373 as a funding organization of 1373, including the attachment of Section 1373 as a funding organization organization sprusation including propagation organization sprusation including propagation organization including legial research and organization and sprusation including propagation organization including legial research and and other fillings, are size eventpit from disclosure based on the attorney work product docrine. (Code Civ. Pro. 2, 2018 030). Records responsive to this request are also being where the compagation including legial research and and other fillings, are also eventpit from eventpin organization including legial research and and other fillings, are also eventpit from eventpin organization including legial research and and other fillings, are also eventpit from the communications consciously to communications organization including legial research organization including legial research organization organization including legial research organization organization organization including and inadjases or discussions or communications consciously organization including an advisor supervisor organization organ
As meninged beyone in the Response to Requests, 3 our officer authorities, a currently in iliquicion over the deterral government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a sweeping mandate currently and the control of th
As mentioned above in the Response in Page Response and Information authorities (See California v. Sessions, super, No. 3; 17-0-4/01). The attorneys in CAL Regal and role of the Attorney General and this designees and rull despatients attorneys and response in Page Response Response in Page Response Page
As mentioned above in the Response in Page Response and Information authorities (See California v. Sessions, super, No. 3; 17-0-4/01). The attorneys in CAL Regal and role of the Attorney General and this designees and rull despatients attorneys and response in Page Response Response in Page Response Page
As manifored above in the Response in Regulator 3.0 cur find for a minimoral above in the Response in Regulator 3.2 cur finds a current of the residents of the resident of residents of the resident of residents of the resident of residents of resid
As manifored above in the Response in Registrons of negative 3 cour fried services over the federal government's attempt of numb Section 1323 find a sweet requiring state and tocal jurisdictions to allow the disposative (See Sential information about their residents to elected immigration authorities (See California v. Sessions, supera, No. 3; 17-ox-4701.) The attorneys in CAA, legal and who to the Attorney General and in stedsgivees and rund operations between the Attorney General and in stedsgivees and rund operations pursuant in the department's advorve; or the Attorney General and in stedsgivees and rund operations pursuant in the attorney in CAA, legal and on the attorney and other mitolysees regard communications between the Attorney General or init designees and rund operations pursuant in the attorney and other mitolysees regard communications pursuant into the attorney-client are exempt fron disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (EAA, Code § 594; CA) and the attorneys and other mitolysees regard consistent of the attorneys and other mitolysees and vealing via state highway to a state highway condition in an advance to an advance to a state of the attorney when the actorneys (Corte Giv. Proc. § 2018 GXO). Records responsive to this request as also being we the penalty illigation semption. (Cov. Code § 52454, sud (b.)). In the penalty illigation semption. (Cov. Code § 5254, sud (b.)). In the penalty illigation semption. (Cov. Code § 5254, sud (b.)). Request 5 charmed and sold predictions and an advance of the U.S. Department efforts to have closal law and other concernment agencies sign "certifications" per common penalty of suddisciplances with one another concernment agencies sign. "certifications" per department efforts to have closal law and an advance or certain and a contract or care of such and an advance or care of such and an advance or contraction and advances of the U.S. Sud (co.). Response to Receive and a result of the common penalty of the compact of the Cov. Proc. § 2018 GX.). Request 5
As mentioned above in the Response in Registrone in Page Agronary of the Residents of Page Agra Agra Agra Agra Agra Agra Agra Agra
As mentioned above in the Response 'to Request's Journ fixers one the federal government's attend to Lum Section 1373 into a swee requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the eliscosure of essential information about their residents to be clean immigration authorities (Section 1737) and an international south their residents to be clean immigration authorities (Section 1737). Including the attachment of Section 1737 as a funding organization to communications between the Attorney General and in steapiness. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General and in steapiness and residence of the Attorney Centeral and in steapiness. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General and in steapiness and residence of the Attorney General and in steapiness and residence of the Attorney General and in steapiness and residence of the Attorney General and in steapiness. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or insteapiness and residence of the Attorney General or insteapiness. Accordingly, all communications between the pass, (Evid. Code. § 264. C.) and a second or status of the attorney work product docrine. (Code Ox. Pro. 2018. SQ.) Records respondence among CADO. Legical research and drained and order inflings and season the attorney work product docrine. (Code Ox. Pro. 2018. SQ.) Records respondence among CADO. However, general and some order and and order inflings and adversariation including the attorney work product ordering and adversariation or some order and also communications with one another concerning the deading order ordered or status or decorations or order ordered and ordered order order ordered or status ordered or status ordered ordered or status ordered ordered or status ordered
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our offices curren over the federal government's attend to Lum Section 1373 into a swee requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about the residents to be clean immigration authorities (Sec difficulties and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about the residents to be clean immigration authorities (Sec difficulties and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about the residents to be clean immigration authorities (Sec difficulties and the department's abroves concerning the possible filling of legal action related to Section 1373 as a funding ordinal or 1373, including the attachment of Section 1373 as a funding ordinal or 1374 including the attachment of Section 1373 as a funding ordinal or 1375, including the attachment of Section 1373 as a funding ordinal or 1375, including the attachment of Section 1373 as a funding ordinal or 1376, and ordinal ordinal ordinary and and the employees regard 2018 (2018) Records a second ordinary and ordinary and and employees regard 2018 (2018) Records related to flight an employer are asic severnpt front disclosure under the PRA on that be asia or additive to common profilege and the attorney work product docrine. (Code 0.y For 2018 (2018) Records related to flight an employer or and another concerning the de- admonal ordinary and all analyses or discussions or the U. B. Department 2018 (2018) Records are admonal providers to a flag or a department of any ordinary and analyses or discussions or the U. S. Department 2018 (2018) Records and all analyses or discussions or the U. S. Department 2018 (2018) Records and any ordinary and analyses or discussions or the U. S. Department 2018 (2018) Records and any ordinary and analyses or discussions or the U. S. Department 2018 (2018) Records and any ordinary admonal and any ordi
As menitored above in the Response to Request 3, our offices a current over the feederal government's attend the fund section 1373 into a swee requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of ressential information about their residents to be feed infinity fails on a sweet requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of ressential information about their residents to be feed infinity and in a communications between the Attorney General and in stedsplane authorities. (See California V. Sessions, suppa. No. 3; 17-0,410; 1) the attorneys in CALIFORDIA and communications between the Attorney General and in stedsplanes Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General and in stedsplanes and communications between the Attorney General and in stedsplanes and communications between the Attorney General and in stedsplanes and communications between the Attorney General and in stedsplanes and communications between the Attorney General and in stedsplanes and communications between the Attorney General and in stedsplanes and communications pursuant to the attorney client of the attorney condition of the properties of the according to the communications of the second or attorney and communications to the according but not analyzing the bases and validity of such higharine are asked on attorney and the attorney work product docrine. (Ford. Code. § 29.1 (20. 19. 20. 19. 20. 19. 20. 19. 20. 19. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20
As memiored above in the Response 'to Request's curren over the feederal government's attend to the moseuron 1323 find a swee requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of ressentia informative yeasons, suppara by 0.3; 17-0-4/01; 17 at authors in California V. Sessions, suppara by 0.3; 17-0-4/01; 17 at authors in CAL legal and any order and in the department's attorine and in the department's authorine year and in the department's authorine; California v. Sessions, suppara by 0.3; 17-0-4/01; 17 at authors in CAL legal and any order and in the department's authorine year and in the department's authorine; condition if OCPS as a confidential communications pursuant to 1373, including the attachment of Section 1373 as a funding condition if OCPS as a confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client OCPS as confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client are exempt front disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (EVIL, Code, 5) Correct Section 1373, including but attachment of Section 1373, including but not parabolic pursuant of the properties of the second or attorn provided and the second of the properties of the second or attorn privilege and the attorney work product docrine. (Full, Code, 5) years 2018 (2018). Records related to litigation, including legal research and of any displacine second or nation and the attorney work product docrine. (Full, Code, 5) years And the pending litigation exemption. (Sec. Code, 5) years And the pending litigation exemption. (Sec. Code, 5) years And the pending litigation exemption. (Sec. Code, 5) years And the pending litigation exemption. (Sec. Code, 5) years And the pending litigation exemption. (Sec. Code, 5) years And the pending litigation exemption. (Sec. Code, 5) years And the pending litigation exemption. (Sec. Code, 5) years And the pending litigation exemption. (Sec. Code, 5) years And the pending litigation exemption. (Sec. Code, 5) years And the pending litigation exemption of the pending litigation exempti
As menium and the residents to the Response to Requiring state and tocal jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about the residents to be feetal minipation authorities (Section 1373 including), and communications between the Attorney General and its designation authorities (Section 1373, including), and communications between the Attorney General and its designation and including), all communications between the Attorney General and its designation and communications between the Attorney General or its designaces and in department's attorneys concerning the possible filling of legal action related to Section 1373 as a funding occupion. In COPS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (EVIL, COde S. COMER, and COMER AND COM
As metitioned above in the Response in Requiring size and coloral jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to be leave informations. (Section 1373 incubation and profit of adillowing supar, No. 3: T-C-ATAI). The attorneys in CAL delibration submitted in the selection and the desiblation authorities (Section 1373 incubation) and submitted submitted and inside desiblation authorities. (Section 1373 incubation) and submitted submitted submitted and inside desiblation authorities (Section 1373 incubation) are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (EVIA COLOR) are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (EVIA COLOR) are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (EVIA COLOR) are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (EVIA COLOR) (EVI POC.) (EVI POC.
As metitioned above in the Response in Requestry all our offices curren over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a swee inquiring siste and local jurisdictions to allow the descourance (seening information as to the received in the Authority of Centel Bedeal inmigration authorities (See dillornia v. Sessions; supp. No. 3: T-C-4-T01.) The attorneys in CAL legial advice to the Authority Centel and inst designees and in communications between the Authority General or this designees and in department's attorneys concerning the possible fitting of legal action reliability of such action reliability of such action reliability of such in the assi, (EVI, COS, 2) are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis, (EVI, COS, 2) are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis, (EVI, COS, 2) are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis, (EVI, COS, 2) are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis, (EVI, COS, 2) are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis, (EVI, COS, 2) are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis, (EVI, COS, 2) are exempt from disclosure under the PRA, on that basis, (EVI, COS, 2) are exempt from disclosure under the PRA, on that basis, (EVI, COS, 2) are exempt from disclosure based on the employees regar- possible filing of legal action related to Section 13.73. including but an exemption, including but an easily action and action and action and action and action and actions are been and any product docrine. (Evid. COSe, § 624; such (b.)) In addition, it is also comment practice for state Authority of a product docrine, (Evid. COSe, § 624; such (c.)) In addition, it is also comment practice for state Authority of a product accommendate of the product accomme
As meriting above the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a swee requiring state and could justification to allow the federal decisions and the sessitiation of the communications to allow the federal funding state and could justification to allow the federal funding state and could justification to allow the federal funding state and could justification to allow the federal funding state and could justification to allow the federal funding state and could justification to allow the federal funding state and could justification to allow the federal funding state and could justificate and the designment in CAC legal and the designment and the designment of CAC and the state of the s
As mentioned above in the Response in Requestion 1373 including state and coal justications in call on the discousance of sessions suppared to all profit of the sessions and the sessions of the sessions and the sessions and the sessions and the sessions are communications between the Attorney General and its designates and the department's attorney concerning the possible filming of legal action related to Section 1373 as a funding orbital and in secsions provided to the communications between the Attorney General and its designates and the department's attorneys concerning the possible filming of legal action related to Section 1373 as a funding orbital and communications parameters are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Evid. Code § 594; CO. 2018; CO.) Records related to inflagation related to Section 1373; including but not analyzing the bases and trabilly of such filligation, including glad research and of analyzing the bases and trabilly of such filligation, including glad research and analyzing the bases and trabilly of sociol Cov. For 2018; COS). Records responsive to this request are also benging the decoder or storn provises and trabilly of conserving the decoder or storn provises and trabilly of conserving the decoder or storn provises and trabilly of conserving the decoder or storn provises and trabilly of conserving the decoder or storn provises and trabilly or conserving the decoder or storn provises and trabilly or conserving the storney Cestion provises and the storney of the provises of the storney of the provises of the storney of the provise of the storney of the
As mentioned above in the Response in Requestions to allow the disclosure of sesonial information about their residents to reduce in immigration authorities. (Sec California v. Sessions, supp. No. 3: TC-ot-4701.) The attorneys in CAL logial and whore to the Antonney General and in disclosure of sessions authorities. (Sec California v. Sessions, supp. No. 3: TC-ot-4701.) The attorneys in CAL logial and whore the technical and in disclosures. Accordingly, all or communications between the Attorney General and in steaspiness. Accordingly, all or communications between the Attorney General and in steaspiness and reduced the possible filling of legal actorn related to Section 1373 as a funding organic condition. Including place and proper properties and condition or the possible filling of legal actorn related to Section 1373. including but not analyzing the bases and valibility of such fingation, including place responses regard to the analyzines or and the analyzines and the actorney work product docrine. (Code Cov. Proc. § 2018 (200). Records response to the attorney work product docrine.) (Code Cov. Proc. § 2018 (200). Records response to this request are also benging the declaration or analyzing the bases and valibility of such fingation, including place response to an attorney or and place or attorney and product docrine. (Code Cov. Proc. § 2018 (200). Records response to the attorney contrasponse to this request are also benging the declared and the actorney and place and the attorney work product docrine.) (Code Cov. Proc. § 2018 (200). Records response to the attorney Central produced corrier.) (Code Cov. Proc. § 2018 (200). Records response to the attorney cleared on attorney and the actorney and place and the attorney and place and the attorney or correct produced or produced by the period group of Attorney Science and the attorney or and file actorney and the actorney an
As meriting state and open united section (323 inc) and section (323 inc) as were the federal government's attempt to turn Section (323 inc) as were counting state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of sesonia information about their residents to federal immigration authorities (Section 10.2) and the disclosure of sesonia information account their residents to federal immigration authorities (Section 10.2) and the disclosure of sesonia and in disclosure and residents to federal and in disclosure and residents to federal and in disclosures Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General and in disclosures Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General and in disclosures and resident of the accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General and in disclosures and resident of 1373, including the all communications pursuant to the administration of the according but not analyzing the bease and viability of such finigation, including petal research to analyzing the bease and viability of such finigation, including petal research and disclosure based on the attorney work product docrine. (Code Cov. Proc. § 2018, 603) Records responsive to this request are also beempt from global and other films, are also exempt from global and other films, are also exempt from a disclosure based on attorn principle and the attorney work product docrine. (Code Cov. Proc. § 2018, 603) Records responsive to this request are also beempt from a disclosure based on attorney and other films, are also exempt from a disclosure based on attorney and an analyses of communications communications communications communications of the disclosure based on attorney and an analyses of sections. Section s. 2018, 603 (Section 10.2) Requests for his also seemed in the section of the s
As mentioned above in the Response in Requestions to allow the disclosure of sesonia information about their esidents to flederal immigration authorities (Sec California v. Sessions, supp. Mourton by Centel and inside disclosure of sesonia information about their esidents to federal immigration authorities (Sec California v. Sessions, supp. Mourton by Centel and inside displation authorities (Sec California v. Sessions, supp. Mourton by Centel and inside displation authorities (Sec California v. Sessions, supp. Mourton by Centel and inside displation authorities (Sec California v. Sessions, supp. Mourton by Centel and inside displation authorities (Sec California v. Sessions, supp. Mourton by Centel and inside displation and inside displation and inside i
As meriting state and local jurisdictions to allow the discousaries in Requests, our offices is curren over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 indo a swee requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the discolosure of essentia information about their residents to Receival Immigration automities (Sec California v. Sessions, supa, No. 3. T-Co-47(1).) The attorney in CA-1 legial advoice to the Automey General and ins designees and the communications between the Attorney General and ins designees and the communications between the Attorney General and ins designees and the communications between the Attorney General and ins designees and the communications between the Attorney General and ins designees and the communications between the Attorney General and ins designees and the communications between the Attorney General and ins designees and the communications between the Attorney General or this designees and the communications processing the bases and under the PRA on that basis, (EVIL, COcés, 5) correspondence among CAD/OJ attorney and condition the autorney and condition of correspondence among CAD/OJ attorney basis, (EVIL, COcés, 5) correspondence among CAD/OJ attorney and to the attorney on the condition of the autorney work product doctrine. (Cocé o'v. Proc. 2018 1030) Records exemption, including plays research and of and other filings, are also exempt from PRA disclosure based on attorn privilege and the attorney work product doctrine. (EVIL Cocés, § 5044, such of correspondence among or corresponds with another Attorney Cent and other filings, are also exempt from PRA disclosure based on attorn in addition, it is also common practice for state Attorney Cent confidential communications protected by the attorney with a confidential communications or corresponds with another Attorney Cent confidential communications protected by the attorney document and another corresponds with another Attorney Cent confidential communications protected by the attorney corresponds with another thre
As methoded above in the Response in Requestry 2, our officer is current ower the federal operation 3/37 indo a swee requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to Relead immigration authorities (Section 1373 including the advanced processing and inside displaces and the department at anomy socreeming and inside displaces and the department at anomy socreeming and inside displaces and the department at anomy socreeming and mit is designees and the department at anomy socreeming and mit is designees and the department at anomy concerns purpose in CAL (273, including the attachment of Section 1373 as a funding ordigal author as concerning the possible find of legal action netable to Section 1373 as a funding production (2005) are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis, (Fut, Code 5/v Proc. § 25, 2018 (2015) Precords a confidential communications pursuant and and and other analyses are designed to the authorities of the action of a second on the attorney work product docrine. (Code 6/v Proc. § 2018 (20) Records repositions to this residues to aside Attorney Cell and another concerning the dearney of Attorney Second or start purpose of the concerning the dearney and or another concerning the dearney and or Attorney Second or Attorney Cell and analyses of the US, Department efforts a surge of Attorney Second or Second or Second or subtrained or corresponds with another Attorney Cell and Quotation and Attorney Cell and all analyses and all analyses and all or surgerions of the US. Department efforts or to surgerior Court surgerior of cities decided by the attorney decided by the attorney decided by a surface or and another concernment and a surface or surface o
As meintoned above in the Response to Requests 7, our office is curron over the federal dovernment statemy to turn Section 7, 037 into a swee requiring state and tocal jurisdictors to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to the detail minimigation authorities (Section 1373 and 1374). The attorneys in CALL Caldifornia × Ossions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CALL Caldifornia × Ossions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CALL Caldifornia × Ossions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CALL Caples are confidential communications pursuant in the attorney cleared and not sediation and independent and not sediation resident of 1373, including the attachment of Section 1373 as a funding condition of 1373, including the attachment of Section 1373 as a funding condition of 1373, including the attachment of Section 1373 as a funding condition of 1373, including the attachment of Section 1373 as a funding condition of possible filling of legal action related to Section 1373 as a funding condition of possible filling of legal action related to displace and possible filling of legal action related to displace to 1373, including but not advantage to a second the possible filling of legal action related to displace to 1373, including but not advantage to a side oserong from the concenting the declaring and the attorney work product docrine. (Chot. Code, § 6254, sud. (b.)) in addition and attorney work product docrine. (Chot. Code, § 6254, sud. (b.)) in addition and any possible filling and any section of the possible filling and any attorney declaring and any any additions of the section of the possible filling and any additions of the section of the 12. Department of section 1373. (Chot of the possible filling and any additions and additions and additions and additions and additions and additions and
As meritorized above in the Response in Request 3, our office is curren over the federal government's attempt to turn Section (1373 into a swee requiring state and local jurisaticitors to allow the tisticiosure of essential information about their residents to releval immediation authorities (Section 1373 and a swee requiring state and local jurisaticitors to allow the tisticiosure of essential information about their residents to releval immediation authorities (Section 1373 and a funding the altachment of Section 1373 as a funding condition if opposite are conflected informatic submerves concerning that on the adoptive-client are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (End. Codes, S. Correspondence among CADO) attoring but not analyzing the bases and viability of such lingation, are also exempt from disclosure based on the attorine your pound to the remployees regard possible filing of legal actor related to lingation, including but not analyzing the bases and viability of such lingation, are also secently from disclosure based on attor privilege and the attorine your product doctrine. (Evid. Code, § 864; C) Proc. § 2018 (203) Records responsible to bilgation, including legal research and of and other lings, are also exempt from (Byd. Code (IV.) FOR Code Code (IV.) C
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is curron over the lederal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a swee requiring side and tocal jurisdictors to allow the disciosure of essential information about their residents to reflect infrimigation authorities. (See California 4.) Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-c4-d701.) The attorneys in CAL California 4. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-c4-d701.) The attorneys in CAL California 4. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-c4-d701.) The attorneys in CAL California 4. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-c4-d701.) The attorneys in CAL California 4. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-c4-d701.) The attorneys in CAL California 4. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-c4-d701.] The attorneys in CAL California 4. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-c4-d701.] The attorneys and the department of Section 1373 as a funding ordinal real and this designees And the department of Section 1373 as a funding ordinal california 4. Sessions and the department of Section 1373 as a funding ordinal california 4. Sessions and other employees regard to respondence among CADO, attorneys and other employees regard to assign the session and valcinity of section 1373 as a funding ordinal reason and valcinity of section 1373. as a funding ordinal residence based on the attorney valcine (CACI CaGo, § 5624.) and other fillings, are also exempt from ordinal responsive to this request are also being with the printing lightation concerning the dear across the country to develop begal analyses of attal briefs, and file can across the country to develop begal analyses of the secondarial communications protected by the attorney Cag group of Attorneys General, or their staffs, these communications concerning and manages of Androneys General and analyses of Androneys General in the staffs. And the 12. Spearment efforts to be a produced for staffs the secondary ordinal produced in the staffs. And the 12. Spearment efforts to be a produced for staffs or a staff or the staffs or a staff or the staffs. And the 12. Spearment efforts to be a
As mentioned above in the Response io Request 3, our office is curren over the leaderal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a swee requiring state and tocal jurisdictors to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal imingration authorities. (Sec California v, Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CAL legal advoct to the Attorney General on this designees and the communications between the Attorney Ceneral on this designees and the communications between the Attorney Ceneral on this designees and the department's attorneys concerning the possible filing of legal action refer to COPS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client are exempt from disclosure under the PAA on that statis. (Full, Code § Correspondence among CAPOL attorneys and other employees regard Correspondence among CAPOL other correction of and other filings are also exempt from PRA disclosure based on attorn privilege and the attorney work producted cortem. (EACO Core, § 5945, cal. Cor. Correspondence correction, called the correction of t
As mentioned above in the Response 'o Request 3' our office is curren over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a sweet requiring state and local Jurisdictions to allow the discosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (See California) at 3 state and local Jurisdictions to allow the discosure of essential information and the designation authorities. (See California) and communications between the Atturney General and is designees and the department's attorney General and is designees and the department's attorney centeral and is designees and the department's attorney centeral more Accordition 1 (2075), are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client Code. See Confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client Code. See Confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client Code. See Code Code Code Code Code Code Code Co
As mentioned above in the Response 'n Request 3, our office is curren over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a swee requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the discosure of essential information about their residents to teleral immigration authorities. (Sec Gallomia v. Sessions, supra. No. 3: 17-cv-4701;) The attorneys in CAL Gallomia v. Sessions, supra. No. 3: 17-cv-4701;) The attorneys in CAL Gallomia v. Sessions, supra. No. 3: 17-cv-4701;) The attorneys in CAL Gallomia v. Sessions, supra. No. 3: 17-cv-4701;) The attorneys in CAL Gallomia v. Sessions, supra. No. 3: 17-cv-4701;) The attorneys and the Gallomia and the Gallomia and the Gallomia very contention of the possible finite of legal action registry CDPS, are confidented the attachment of Section 1373 as a funding condition of CDPS. The concerning the possible finite of legal action registry of the attorneys and other employees regard are evernpt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. Effekt Code, go Correspondence among CADOJ attorneys and other employees regard possible filling of legal action related to ligitation, are also exempt from disclosure based on the attorney work product docrine. (Cade Civ. Pro Code Stories of the possible filling of each ingation, are also exempt from a disclosure based on the attorney work product docrine. (Cade Civ. Pro Code Stories of Stories of Propages to this request are also being where pending titigation exemption. (Gav. Code § 8254, subd. (b.)) In addition, it is also communicate with one another concerning the dearneys the pending disponsable to the request are also being where pending disponsable to the request are also being we product exception. (Evid Code § 924; Code Civ. Proc. § 2016) Proc. Stories pending the pending titigation or semption. (Evid Code § 924; Code Civ. Proc. § 2016) Proc. Stories of the pending titigation or semption (Five Code Gallomia). Request a control or control or communicate or the rest of the pending titigation or control or control or cont
As meritored above in the Regonese to Request 3, our office is curren over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1737 into a swee requiring state and tocal jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information and both their residents to federal immigration authorities. (Sec California v. Sessions, supp., No. 3: 17-cv.4701.) The attorneys in CAL Gallioma v. Sessions, supp., No. 3: 17-cv.4701.) The attorneys in CAL Gallioma v. Sessions, supp., No. 3: 17-cv.4701.) The attorneys in CAL Gallioma v. Sessions and the disclosure of session and communications between the Altorney General or his designees and it communications between the Altorney General or his designees and the communications between the Altorney General or his designees and the communications between the Altorney General or his designees and the communications between the Altorney General or his designees and the communications between the Altorney General or his designees and the communications pursuant to the attorney with or legal to repeat the communications pursuant to the attorney condition of the attorney or for section 137.3 including but not and exempting of deal attorney with condition, are also exempt from privilege and the attorney work product doctrine. (Evid Code, § 694. C) Proc. § 2018 0.30.) Records responsive to this request are also being w the periding high and attorney work product doctrine. (Evid Code, § 694. C) Proc. § 2018 0.30.) Records responsive to this request are also being w the periding high and attorney work product doctrine. (Evid Code, § 694. C) In addition, it is also common practice for state Altorneys General and in across the country to communications protected by the attorney underest and file cat across the country to communications concerning the de- additional country to communications protected by the attorney with a period general and a produced protections. State Code, § 6254, Load Code, § 6254, Load Code, § 6254, Load Code, § 6254, Load Code, § 6254, Code Code, § 6254, Code Code, § 6254
As mentioned above in the Response to Hordina sucrementary actuant to turn Section 1373 into a swee requiring state and tocal jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information and about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (See California v. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CAC California v. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CAC California v. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CAC California v. Sessions in the department's attorneys concerning the possible filing of legal action rate of the Aportancy General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning the possible filing of legal action rate of the CAC California or Section 137.3 as a brinding obtained attachment of Section 137.3 as a unding ordition? COPS, are confederal action manufactors pursuant to the attorney-client or see exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Evid. Code, Sp. Correspondence among CADOJ attorneys and other employees regard construction of the passible filing of legal action related to Section 137.3 as a unding ordition, are also exempt from another integers are also exempt from another integers or attention and another integers are also exempt from another concerning the description and and other filings are also exempt from another concerning the description and another integers are also exempt from another concerning the description across the country to communications by the addition, it is also common practice for state Attorneys General and a cross the country to communications produced by the attorney deeper and across the country to communications produced by the attorney learned of the action or contenting the description of the period of the period in the period of t
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is curren over the feetal government is attempt to tun Section 132 in flora as wee requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information and about heir residents to feetal immigration authorities. (Set California v. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CAL California v. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CAL California v. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CAL Ingal advice to the Attorney General and this designess Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General and this designess and the department's attorney General and this designess and the department's attorney concerning the possible filing of legal action in COPS, are conflicted that communications pursuant to the attorney-client COPS, are conflicted that communications pursuant to the attorney-client COPS, are conflicted that conditions are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that balsois. (Furl. Code. Sp. Correspondence among CADO.) attorneys and other employees regard. Correspondence among CADO. attorneys on the product docrine. (Code. Sp. 594; code. Cov. Proc. Sp. 2018.030). Records responsive to this request area to an other morning the common practice for state Attorney General and across the country to communicate with one another concerning the death of across the country to communicate with one another concerning the death of across the country to communicate with one another concerning the death of country to communicate with one another concerning the death of country to country specific producted by the attorney desired communications governed to conferential communications governed to the country to country specific country and conferential communications governed to the country to
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current over the feterate governments attempted to turn Section 132 in florated and the descondance of essential information and total fursidations to allow the disclosure of essential information and both their residents to federal information authorities. (Set California v. Sessions, supra. No. 3: 17-x-v.4701.) The attomorys in CAL California v. Sessions, supra. No. 3: 17-x-v.4701.) The attomorys in CAL California v. Sessions, supra. No. 3: 17-x-v.4701.) The attomorys in CAL California v. Sessions, supra. No. 3: 17-x-v.4701.) The attomory in CAL California v. Sessions, supra. No. 3: 17-x-v.4701.) The attomory in CAL California v. Sessions, supra. No. 3: 17-x-v.4701.) The attomory in CAPS, are confidented to Authority of the designees. Accordingly, all captured and the california continuous and the captured and the department's attomory expense and the department's attomory expense on the department's attomory captured action of legal action related to Section 1373, including but not captured to Section 1373, including but not analyzing the abses and valuility of such inflagion care also exempt from glackosure based on the attorney work product docrine. (Code Civ. Pro. 6) 2016 303). Records replace to high request are also being when privilege and the attorney work product docrine. (Evid. Code, § 954; C. Proc. § 2018 303). Records responsive to this request are also being when periodic methods in the such and product docrine. (Evid. Code, § 954; C. Proc. § 2018 303). Records responsive to this request are also being when periodic seamption, clove, Code, § 9624; subd. (b.). In addition, it is also common practice for state Attomory Seneral and accoss the country to communications protected for the addition of periodic seamption. Gover, Section 2009, Proc. 8, 2018 0.
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is curren vower the reflectal government statempt to um Section 1731 into a swee requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their restancis to redearl immigration authorities. (Sec California v. Sessions: supra. No. 3: 17-cx-0701, The attorneys in CAL legal advice to the Attorney General or in disedignees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or in disedignees and the department's attorney. General or in disedignees and the department's attorney concerning the possible filing of legal actorn related to COPS. are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client. COPS. are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client. COPS are confidented and communications pursuant to the attorney-client. COPS are confidented and confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client. COPS are confidented to Section 13:73. including but not possible filing of legal action related to Section 13:73. including but not possible filing of legal action related to Section 13:73. including but not possible filing of legal action related to Section 13:73. including but not possible filing of legal action related to Section 13:73. including but not possible filing of legal action related to Section 13:73. including but possible filing of legal action related to Section 13:73. including but possible filing of legal action related to Section 13:73. including tegal research and of possible filing of legal action related to Section 13:73. including the description active to communication and possible filing of legal active and active a
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current over the federal government statenty for tun Section 1373 into a sweet requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (Set California v. Sessions, supra. No. 3; 17-cy-4701). The attorneys in CAL legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, and advice to the Attorney General and his designees and the department's attorneys concerning the possible filing of legal action related to CDPs, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Evid. Code.) CDPs, are confidential communications parally mit attorney concerning the possible filing of legal action related to Section 1373 as a funding condition of CDPs, are confidential communications parally action related to Section 1373 as a funding condition of CDPs, are confidential communications, and that basis. (Evid. Code.) Sets of CDPs, are confidential communications, and such action related to Section 1373 as a funding condition of advisory and other employees and viability of such litigation, including legal research and of a code and the profit gradients with a such such such actions of the code in a such and other filings, are also exempt from prairiege and the attorney work product docrine. (Evid. Code.) 8 54; CDP or 3, 2018.030.) Records responsive to this request are also being when the pending illingation exemptors, or Code. § 554; CDP or 3, 2018.030.) Records responsive to this request are also being we the pending illingation exemptor. (Gov. Code.) § 554; CDP or 3, 2018.030.) Records responsive to this request are also being we the pending illingation exemptors. Or one § 654; CDP or 3, 2018.030.) Records responsive to this request are also being we have pending illingation exemptors. Or one § 654; CDP or 3, 2018.030, CDP or 3, 2018.030, CDP or 3, 2018.030, CDP or 3, 2018.030, CDP or 3, 2018.030
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is curren over the feedred government statempt for turn Section 132 into a sweet requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to feedral immigration authorities. (Set California v. Sessions, supr. A. 3.17-cv-4701.) The attompts in CAL California v. Sessions, supr. A. 3.17-cv-4701.) The attompts in CAL California v. Sessions, supr. A. 3.17-cv-4701.) The attompts in CAL California v. Sessions, supr. A. 3.17-cv-4701.) The attompts in CAL California v. Sessions, supr. A. 3.17-cv-4701.) The attompts in CAL California v. Sessions, supr. A. 3.17-cv-4701.) The attompts in CAL California v. Sessions, supr. A. 3.17-cv-4701.) The attompts in CAL California v. Sessions, supr. A. 3.17-cv-4701.) The attompts in CAL California v. Sessions, supr. A. 3.17-cv-4701.) The attompts in CAL California v. Sessions, supr. A. 3.17-cv-4701.) The attompts in CAL California v. Sessions, supr. A. 3.17-cv-4701.) The attompts in CALIFORNIA v. 3.17-cv-4701. COPS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attompt of legal action related to Section 13.73 as a funding ordinor of COPS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attompt of Section 13.73 as an attompt of session for a captorial v. 3.17-cv-4701. COPS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attompt of session or session of a session of session or session of session or session or session or session or session or session or
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current over the efected government statenty for tun Section 1373 into a sweet requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to feederla immigration authorities. (Set California v. Sessions, supra. No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CAL legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning the possible filing of legal action related to COPS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney collection 1373 as a funding condition of COPS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney collection (COPS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney collection of COPS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney collection of COPS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney collection of COPS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney collection of COPS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney collection of COPS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney collection of the attorney work product occining but not disclosure based on the attorney work product occining. (Evid. Code. Sy. 954. (2018)) Records related to litigation, including legal research and of and other filings and the attorney work product occining. (Evid. Code. Sy. 954. (2018)) Records responsive to this request are also being whe pending ilitigation exemption. (Gov. Code. Sy. 954. (10.)) In addition, it is also common practice for state Attorneys General and I across the country to communications consuming the deading continuor of Attorney Code. Sy. 6254, subd. (b.).
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current overthe releast all overnmental authorities as weet the releast and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their and cotal jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their and cotal jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their will be authorities. (Set California v. Sessions, supra. No. 3: 17-cv-4701). The attorneys in CAL legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, and communications between the Attorney General and his designees and the department's attorney concerning the possible filing of legal action related to Section 1373 as a funding condition? COPS, are communications pursuant to the attorney-client are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Evid. Code.) are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Evid. Code.) Corespondence among CADO attorneys and other employees regard possible filing of legal action related to Section 1373 as a funding condition? COPS, are considered and employees and profit of the attorney with product doctrine. (Code CV. Poc. 2018.030.) Records realated to hitgation, are also exempt from privilege and the attorney work product doctrine. (Evid. Code. § 954: COP Proc. § 2018.030.) Records responsive to this request are also being we pending illigation exemptor from Frances and and printing and perental and active product doctrine. (Evid. Code. § 954: COP Proc. § 2018.030.) Records responsive to this request are also being we pending illigation exemptor from Frances and and printing and pending illigation exemptor from Frances and addition, it is also common practice for state Attorneys General and across the country to common practice for state Attorneys General and across the country to common practice for state Attorneys General and across the country to even product doctrine.
As meintioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current very the redestral governmental approximation about their and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their and both their seldents to federal immigration authorities. (Set California v. Sessions, supra. No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CAL legal advice to the Altorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Altorney General and his designees and the department's attorneys concerning the possible filing of legal action related to Section 1373 as a funding condition if COPS, are controlled and the attachment of Section 1373 as a funding condition? COPS, are confordedinal communications pursuant to the attorneys concerning the possible filing of legal action related to Section 1373 as a funding condition of COPS, are confordedinal communications as an other approach of the attorneys and other employees regard possible filing of legal action related to Section 1373, including but not analyzing the bases and viability of such litigation, are also exempt from disclosure based to attorney work product doctrine. (Clox Cobe, Sp 654; C. Proc., Sc 2018, 303). Records realated to titigation including egal research and of and other filings, are also exempt from PRA disclosure based on attorney may consider a real size being privilege and the attorney work product doctrine. (Clox, Cobe, § 8254, subd. (b.)) in addition, it is also common practice for state Altorneys General and across the country to communicate with one another concerning the capture and across the country to communication to relate the production of the production
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is curren over the federal astemptor four Section 1373 into a sweet refered astemptor to fund section 1373 into a sweet information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (Set California v. Sessions, suppr. No. 3: 17-4-470!.) That attorneys in CAL regal above to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all regal above to the Attorney General and his designees and the department's attorneys concerning the possible filing of legal action ref. 1373, including the attachment of Section 1373 as a funding condition? COPS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Evid. Code. Storneys or confidential communications pursuant to the entropy series regard possible filing of legal action related to Section 1373. including the bases and vability of such litigation, are also exempt from disclosure based on the attorney work product doctrine. (Code Civ. Pro 2018). Records related to litigation, are also exempt from product doctrine. (Evid. Code. § 954; C. Proc. § 2018.003). Records reparded to nighting the passes and vability of such litigation, are also exempt from product doctrine. (Evid. Code. § 954; C. Proc. § 2018.003). Records reparded to nighting the passes and vability of such insignation are also exempt from product doctrine. (Evid. Code. § 954; C. Proc. § 2018.003). Records repeated to nighting the passes and vability of such insignation are also exempt from product doctrine. (Evid. Code. § 954; C. Proc. § 2018.003). Records repeated to nighting the passes and application, it is also common practice for state Attorneys General and actor action in addition, it is also common practice for state Attorneys and file actors are processed and the actors be country to communicate with one another concerning the actors are processed and the actors be exempted to a state of any processed and actors are actors and actors are actors and actors are actors.
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is curren over the federal govennment's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a swee requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of sesential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (Set California v. Sessoris, supra. No. 3. 17-4-4701.) The attorneys in CAH legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all capal and the department's attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all capal and the department's attorney General and his designees and the department's attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General and his designees and the department's attorney General and his designees and the department's attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all coppessible filing of legal action related for Section 1373 as a funding condition? (20Ps.) are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Evid. Codes, SC Correspondence among CADOJ attorneys and other employees regard. And other disclosure bases on the attorney work product doctrine. (Code Sy 954.) Correspondence and the attorney work product doctrine. (Code Sy 954.) Proc., § 2018.030.) Records responsive to this request are also being we the pending hitigation exemption included by the pending hitigation exemption of Soc. Code, § 954. (Proc., Sy 954.) In a addition, it is also common practice for state Attorneys General and acrons the country to communicate with one another correcting the action of a processing the action of
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is curren over the federal governments at attempt to turn Section 733 into a swee requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (Set California v. Sessoins, supra. No. 3.17-4-4701.) The attorneys in CAR legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all department's attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all department's attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all department's attorney General and his designees and the department's attorney General and his designees and the department of Section 133 as a funding condition of COPS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Fukl. Code. St. Correspondence among CADO.) attorneys on other employees regard possible filing of legal action related to Section 13.73, including but not analyzing the bases and valually of such ligation, and sets exempt from privileges are also exempt from PRA disclosure based on attorney work product doctrine. (Evid. Code. § 954; C.) Prof. (So. 2018, 030.) Records related to lifigation, including legal research and in and other filings, are also exempt from PRA disclosure based on attorney work product doctrine. (Evid. Code. § 954; C.) Prof. (So. 2018, 030.) Records responsive to this request are also being when the pending litigation exemption (Gov. Code. § 854s, sude. (b.)). In addition, it is also common practice for state Attorneys General and I across the country to communicate with one another concerning the designer.
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is curren over the referal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a sweet requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (Set California. V. Sessoiss, supra. No. 3: 17-0-4701.) The attorneys in CAL legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General and his designees and the department's attorneys concerning the possible filing of legal action relf 1373, including the attachment of Section 1373 as a funding condition of CAPS. are confidential communications pursant to the attorney condition? As are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Evid. Code.§ Correspondence among CADOJ attorneys and other employees regar. possible filing of legal action related to Section 13.73, including but not analyzing the bases and viability of such litigation, are also exempt from alaxizing the bases and viability of such litigation, are also exempt from any PRA disclosure based on attorney work product doctrine. (Code. Civ. Pro 2016 SQD) Records resident to litigation, are also exempt from pRA disclosure based on attorney work product doctrine. (Evid. Code. § 654; Correspondence and the attorney work product doctrine. (Evid. Code. § 654; Correspondence and the attorney work product actorine. (Evid. Code. § 654; Correspondence and the attorney work product actorine. (Evid. Code. § 654; Correspondence and the attorney work product actorine. (Evid. Code. § 654; Correspondence and the attorney work product actorine. (Evid. Code. § 654; Correspondence and the attorney work product actorine. (Evid. Code. § 654; Correspondence and the attorney are also exempt from a product actorine. (Evid. Code. § 654; and a code and and a product actorine.) The additional and a product actorine code and and any additional and a product actorine.
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is curren over the referal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a sweet requiring state and local jurisdictors to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (See California v. Sessions, supra. Mo. 3. 17-47-470.1) The attorneys in CAF legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all capartment's attorney General and his designees. Accordingly. All capartment's attorney concerning the possible filing of legal action related to Action 1373 as a funding condition? The appartment's attorneys concerning the possible filing of legal action and including the attorneys and other employees regard. COPS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Evid. Code.) Socrespondence among CADOJ attorneys and other employees regard. Proc. (Soc.) Records related to Section 1373 including but not analyzing the bases and vability of such litigation, are also exempt from disclosure based on attorn and the attorney work product doctrine. (Code. (Soc.) Records related to litigation, including legal research and of an and the attorney work product doctrine. (Code.) Soc.) Proc., Soc.) Records related to this request are also being we the pending litigation exemption. (Gov. Code.) Soc.) Soc.) Records responsive to this request are also being we the pending litigation exemption. (Gov. Code.) Soc.) (Soc.) Records responsive to this request are also being we the pending litigation are provided for state Attorney General and I in addition, it is also common practice for state Attorney Sciencial and in a disconsidered to the attorney were according to the attorney were according to the according
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3; our office is curren over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a sweet requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (Set California v. Sessions; supra. No. 3: 17-c-4701.) The attempers in CAF California v. Sessions; supra. No. 3: 17-c-4701.) The attempers and communications between the Attorney General or his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning the possible filling of legal action related to Section 1373 as a funding condition of 20-PS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Full, Code.§ Correspondence among CADOJ attorneys and other employees regard paralyzing the bases and viability of such lightdon, are also exempt from disclosure under the PRA disclosure based on attorn privilege and other fillings, are also exempt from PRA disclosure based on attorn privilege and the attorney work product doctrine. (Code Giv. Pro 2018.030.) Records related to litigation, including legal research and of and other fillings, are also exempt from PRA disclosure based on attorn privilege and the attorney work product doctrine. (Code. § 894: C. Proc§ 2018.030.) Records responsive to this request are also being when the perioding litigation is explosive. (Gov. 604.) In the perioding litigation or scription. (Gov. Code.) § 894: On the disclosure document and addition of the attorney are also being when the periodic disciplings are also communicative.
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a sweet requiring state and local jurisdictors to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to flederal immigration authorities. (See California v. Sessions, supra. No. 3: 17-cv.4701.) The attorneys in CAL legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning the possible filing of legal action related 1373, including the attachment of Section 1373 as a funding condition 1 COPS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Evid. Code. S. Correspondence among CADO. attorneys and other employees regard consistible filing of legal action related to Section 1373, including but not analyzing the bases and viability of such litigation, are also exempt from disclosure based on the attorney work product doctine. (Code CN. Proc. 2018 030), Records responsive to this request are also being w the pending litigation exemption. (Gov. Code. § 6254, subd. (b.)).
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is curren over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a swee requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (Section 1973) and the statement of the section authorities. (Section 1974) and the statement of the attorney concerning the possible filing of legal action related 1973, including the attorney concerning the possible filing of legal action related 1973, including the attorney concerning the possible filing of legal action related to Section 1373 as a funding condition of Correspondence among CADOJ attorneys and other employees regard correspondence among CADOJ attorneys and other employees regard possible filing of legal action related to Section 1373, including but not analyzing the bases and viability of such litigation, including legal research and of analyzing the bases and viability of such litigation, including legal research and of and other filings, are also exempt from PRA disclosure based on attorney work product doctrine. (Code (S. Proc. Proc. 2018.030). Records related to Section 1373, including legal research and of and other filings, are also exempt from PRA disclosure based on attorned provides and the attorney work product doctrine. (Evid. Code. § 954; C. Proc.). Records related to secript in the requests are also being with the pending lititation exemption. (Cov. Code. § 254; subd., (b).)
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a sweet requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (Sec California v. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CAL legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General and his designees and the department's attorneys concerning the possible filing of legal action relt 1373, including the attachment of Section 1373 as a funding condition? COPS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Evid. Code.) Correspondence among CADOJ attorneys and other employees regard possible filing of legal action related to Section 13.73, including but not analyzing the bases and viability of such litigation, are also exempt from factorey related to litigation, are also exempt from disclosure based on the attorney work product doctrine. (Code Civ. Por 2018.030.) Records related to litigation, are also exempt from PRA disclosure are also being where the area are also being the proc., § 2018.030.) Records respects to this request are also being where the production including legal research and proc. (Indice in the attorney work product doctrine.) (Proc. 19 Proc.) Proc. (Indice in the attorney work product doctrine.)
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is curren over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a swee requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (Sec California v. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attompts in CAL legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General and his designees and the department's attorney concerning the possible filling of legal action relet 1373, including the attorney denient of No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorney Ceneral and his designees and the department's attorney concerning the possible filling of legal action relet 1373, including the attorney concerning the possible filling of legal action relet 1573, including that not consequence among CADO attorneys and other employees regard correspondence among CADO attorneys and other employees regard possible filling of legal action related to Section 1373, including but not analyzing the bases and viability of such litigation, are also exempt from discosure based on the attorney work product doctrine. (Code CN. Proc. 2018, 030.) Reports realated to litigation, including legal research and diand other filings, are also exempt from PRA disclosure based on attorn privilege and the attorney work product occhrine. (Code, Sod.), Proc. 8, 2018, 030.) Reports responsive that the relationer part pripries.
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current over the federal governments attempt to turn Section 1373 into a sweet requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. Geselonate about their residents to federal immigration authorities. Geselonate about the department of the sesiones. Supra. No. 3: 17-cy-4701;) The attorneys in CAL legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees and the department's attorneys concerning the possible filing of legal action related to Section 1737 as a funding validition of COPs, are confidential communications bursuant to the attorney-client are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Evid. Code.) Correspondence among CADOJ attorneys and other employees regard possible filing of legal action related to Section 13.73; including but not analyzing the bases and viability of such litigation, are also exempt from disclosure based on the attorney work product doctrine. (Code Civ. Pro 2018.030.) Records related to litigation, are also exempt from disclosure based on the attorney work product doctrine. (Evid. Code. § 954; C) privilege and the attorney work product doctrine. (Evid. Code. § 954; C)
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a sweet requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (Set California v. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CAL legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning the possible filing of legal action relict 1373, including the attachment of Section 1373 as a funding condition of COPS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Evid. Code.), Correspondence among CADOJ attorneys and other employees regard possible filing of legal action reliade to Section 1373, including but not analyzing the bases and viability of such litigation, are also exempt from disclosure based on the attorney work product doctrine. (Code Civ. Pro 2018.030.) Records related to litigation, are also exempt from privilege and either attorney as based on attorn privilege and subsequence.
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a sweet requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (See California v. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CAL legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General and his designees and the department's attorney concerning the possible filling of legal action related to Section 1373 as a funding condition f OOPS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Evid. Code.§, Correspondence among CADOJ attorneys and other employees regard parapages filling of legal action related to Section 13.73, including but not analyzing the bases and viability of such litigation, are also exempt from disclosure based on the attorney work product doctrine. (Code Civ. Pro 2018, 2030.) Recoords related to litigation, including legal research and and other fillings, are also exempt from attorney work product based on a attorney and other fillings, are also exempt from attorney was a storney and attorney and other fillings.
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a sween requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (See California v. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv.4701.) The attorneys in CAL legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning the possible filing of legal action related 1373, including the attachment of Section 1373 as a funding condition if COPS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Evid. Code. § Correspondence among CADOJ attorneys and other employees regart possible filing of legal action related to Section 13.73, including but not analyzing the bases and viability of such litigation, are also exempt from disclosure based on the attorney work product doctrine. (Code Civ. Pro 2018.030.) Records related to litigation, including legal research and of and on attorney mand on a part of prine filings. are also exempt from PRA disclosure based on attorn
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a sween requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (Sec California v. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CAL legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning the possible filing of legal action related to The designees and the department's accordingly and exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Evid. Code.§ Correspondence among CADOJ attorneys and other employees regard possible filing of legal action related to Section 13 73, including but not analyzing the bases and viability of such litigation, are also exempt from disclosure based on the attorney work product doctrine. (Code Civ. Produsclosure based on the attorney work product doctrine. (Code Civ. Programs). Records related to litigation, including legal research and dr
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a sween requiring state and local jurisdiction to to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (See California v. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CAL legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning the possible filing of legal action related to Section 1373 as a funding condition? COPS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Evid. Code.) Correspondence among CADOJ attorneys and other employees regard possible filing of legal action related to Section 1373, including but not analyzing the bases and viability of such litigation, are also exempt from disclosure based on the attorney work product doctrine. (Code Civ. Productors related to intoutine legal research and of 2018, 031). Records related to intoutine legal research and of
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a sween requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (Sec California v. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CAL legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees and the department's attorney Cenceral or his designees and the department's attorney concerning the possible filing of legal action relations between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorney concerning the possible filing of legal action related to Section 1373 as a funding condition if COPS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Evid. Codes, Correspondence among CADOJ attorneys and other employees regard possible filing of legal action related to Section 13.73, including but not analyzing the bases and viability of such litigation, are also exempt fron disclosure based on the attorney work product doctrine. (Code Civ. Production and the contraction of the attorney and analyzing the decimal action related to Section 13.73, including but not analyzing the action are also exempt from disclosure based on the attorney and other endored doctrine.
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a sween requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (See Information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (See California v. Sessions, supra. No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CAL legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning the possible filing of legal action relix 1373, including the attachment of Section 1373 as a funding condition to COPs, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Evid. Code.) Correspondence among CADOJ attorneys and other employees regard possible filing of legal action related to Section 13.73, including but not analyzing the bases and valiently of such litigation, are also exempt from disclosure based on the attorney work product docrine. (Code Civ. Product docrine.)
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a sween requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (Sec aliformation about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (Sec California v. Sessions, supra. No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CAL legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorney concerning the possible filing of legal action relations. (COPS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Evid. Code.§ Correspondence among CADDJ attorneys and other employees regard possible filing of legal action related to Section 13.73, including but not analyzing the bases and viability of such litigation, are also exempt from analyzing the bases and viability of such litigation, are also exempt from
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a swee requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (See California v. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv.4701.) The attorneys continuation about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (See California v. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv.4701.) The attorneys continuation between the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General on this designees and the department's attorneys concerning the possible filing of legal action relication for COPS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Evid. Code.) Correspondence among CADOJ attorneys and other employees regard possible filing of legal action related to Section 13 73, including but not paralyzing the bases and viability of such lititation are also exempt from any also exempt from any early proposition are also exempt from any early including but not
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a sween requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (See California v. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CAE legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning the possible filing of legal action relation 1373 as a funding condition to COPS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Evid. Code.§ Correspondence among CADOJ attorneys and other employees regar possible filing of legal action related to Section 13.73, including but not
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a swee requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal inmigration authorities. (See California v. Sessions, supra., No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CAL legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General on his designees and the department's attorney soncerning the possible filing of legal action relefation of COPS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Evid. Code., Corrispondence among CADO.) attorneys and other employees regard.
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a swee requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (See California v. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CAL legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning the possible filing of legal action relif 1373, including the attachment of Section 1373 as a funding condition I COPS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Evid. Code, & Correspondence among CADOJ attorneys and other employees regar.
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a swee requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essentia information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (Set California v. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CAL legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning the possible filling of legal action relation 1373, including the attachment of Section 1373 as a funding condition 1 (COPS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Evid. Code.)
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a swee requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (See California v. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CAL legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorney concerning the possible filing of legal action rels 1373, including the attachment of Section 1373 as a funding condition 1 COPS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client are exempt from disclosure under the PRA on that basis. (Evid. Code, §
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a swee requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (Set California v. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CAL legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorney sconcerning the possible filling of legal action relification 1373 as a funding condition 100 of legal action 1373, including the attachment of Section 1373 as a funding condition of COPS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-colient
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a swee requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (See California v. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CAL legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees and the communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorney sconcerning the possible filing of legal action reliferation and the attorney concerning the attorney concerning the attorney concerning the attorney-client COPS, are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a swee requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (Set California v. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CAL legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorney soncerning the possible filling of legal action relicition 1373 as a funding condition.
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a swee requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (See California v. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CAL legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning the possible filing of legal action relicity. In the attorney concerning the possible filing of legal action relicity.
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a swee requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (Set California v. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CAL legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning the possible filling of legal action relic
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a swee requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (See California v. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CAL legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees and the communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning the possible filing of legal action relations to the possible filing of legal action relations.
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a swee requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (Seconfifornia v. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CAI legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and th
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a swee requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (See California v. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CAL legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a swee requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (Seconfifornia v. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CAL legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a swee requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (See California v. Sessions, supra, No. 3: 17-cv-4701.) The attorneys in CAE
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a swee requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (Section 3) 3-17 cv. 4700 The attemption in California or Sections on 3-17 cv. 4700 The attemption authorities.
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is current over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a swee requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential information about their residents to federal immigration authorities. (Se
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is curren over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a swee requiring state and local jurisdictions to allow the disclosure of essential
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is curren over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a swee requiring state and local initiations to allow the disclosure of escentia
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is curren over the federal government's attempt to turn Section 1373 into a swee
As mentioned above in the Response to Request 3, our office is curren
As mentioned above in the Response to Reduest 3. our office is curren
מאלים ואיני של איני של
yearmy to propose to be a proposed to be
We incorporate by reference the legal background relating to the claims
W
from disclosure.
GISCUSSED DELINITION TO THE PARTY OF THE PAR
e tech vannate i i this cataonia i this cataonia and an analysis of the same o
nave identified non-exempt records relating to Section 13/3 that we wil
documents are or could reasonably be located. As a result of these sea
were Ikely to have reconsive reconstructors were conducted when
Response to Request 4. Our office Identified divisions, sections, and the
without analysis to afficient of the survey
because of the pending litigation exemption. (Gov. Code, 8 6254, subd.
Proc. § 2018.030.) In addition, certain responsive documents are being
)
privilege (Gov Code 8 6254 subd (k) incomposating Evid Code 8 6254 subd (k) incomposating Evid Code 8 95s
ובנמבת נח ווחלמיוחו מוב לוחנבתיבת הל חוב מינחיוובל-חיבוי ליוגוובלב מיות חוב
related to litination are protected by the attorney-dient privilege and the
a/Gaiiiliiia V. Odessiuiis (IV.D. Cai., IIIdu Aug. 14, 2011) INV. 6. 11-6V-417
2/California V. Secrione (N.D. Cal. filed Aug. 14, 2017) No. 3-17 ov. 177
(JAG) and Community Onented Policing Services (CUPS) grants. (See
(110) and Community Original Society Serving Society
I EIRIEU EIIIOI CEIIIEII COIUIIIIOIIS IO EUWAIN DYIIIE IWEIIIIOII AI ONSIICE ASSI
related enforcement conditions to Edward Borna Memorial Instine Assis
מלמונוצר נונה ופטפו מו למלונים וווו מידים אומכיוויוופות עם בערמכיוויופות עם בערמכיוויופות עם כפולמות ווווו
anginet the federal any earth of the standard to profit the after the containing of
THE VAILES AGE IS AISO AT ISSUE III OHBOHIN INDUBLIN IN THE AILON
The Value Art is also at isome litination brought by the Atta
טענישפוטו זווב אוב וווב מטרפסט (עסר איני איני איני איני איני איני איני אינ
Outweighs the public's general interest in access. (Gov. Code. 8 6255.)
מונים במינה ביות למ המינים ביות למ המינים ביות ביות ביות ביות ביות ביות ביות ביות
the freedoms and responsibilities implicated by the law, and this benefit
יייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי
appropriate policies and quidance to implement the Values Act is param
strength and weaknesses of certain arguments relevant to determining
and other decisionmakers of receiving complete and candid analyses re
a conflored bite of almost solitioners for environmental base
OURWEIGHEU DY HIE DUNIE HE DEHEN TO THE DEHEN THE DEHEN THE DEHEN TO THE DEHEN TO THE DEHEN THE DEH
Outweighed by the multic interest in disclosure. The henefit to the Afforney General
privilege because driver in leave in the paper in the pap
viredly si gangologibana at the state of the
בסוס: סטס: / דוופא פווי מוסים
2018 030) These internal records are also exempt under the deliberation
1046 004 to be also the second state of the second
ule audiney work product exception. (Evia. Code, 3 304, Code Civ. Proc., 8
the attorney work product exception. (Evid. Code. & 954: Code. Civ. Proc
[
required policies and onliei guidance are protected by the autorney-cited
ימיוס-אמריז אל השלים לרמי מים שלים לרמי מים לווים מים לו

your request and the volume of records that must be reviewed, we anticipate sending out the next production on or around April 13, 2018. We appreciate your patience in this matter.	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to Child Protective Services, you may wish to direct your request to their office.	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to Child Protective Services, you may wish to direct your request to their office.	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
	Erin Nickless	Erin Nickless	Nickless	Nickless
	Complet Erin ed Nick	ed ed	Complet Erin ed Nick	Complet Erin ed Nick
	My name is Angel Raso I am requesting CPS reports that myself and my 2 children were involved in my childrens name. Phoenix born I Draven born I I am requesting all copies myself and my children were in. My daughter Phoenix being kicked in the stomach. Draven (son) being hit in the back with a plastic bat. Dravens infected hand. I believe there is a few more but I cannot remember what they were about but they do involve my children. I would I ke all reports that involve me and my children. I am unsure on all of the topics that were related.	My name is Nicole or my maiden Nicole . I am requesting all records that include myself and my children Jonathan bom and Angelina bom . I am unsure on what the basis of the report was about. I believe my 2 children being malnurished, and maybe abuse. Report is less then 5yrs ago.	1/19/20 1. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that mentions, refers to, and/or relates to any communications to, from, with, and/or about Advanced City Computer, Advance City System, Tony Yee, and/or 5607 Freeport Boulevard (Sacramento, California 95822) that mention, refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati and/or any computer, computer hard drive, computer peripherals, and/or computer parts believed to be used by Parvin Olfati. 2. Any contract, agreement, and/or understanding with Advanced City Computer, Advance City System, Tony Yee, and/or any person or entity at 5607 Freeport Boulevard, Sacramento, California 95822. 3. For any document in Request Items 1 and/or 2 above that DOJ claims has been destroyed or is otherwise unavailable, please provide all records of any destruction or other reasons for non-availability, together with applicable records retention policies.	1. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that mentions, refers to, and/or relates to any theft, taking, deletion, removal, or activity of any kind regarding or relating to any photographs, cellphone video, flash memory device data, and/or computer data of any pieces of tarp on any portion of any house or house yard believed to be used by Paryin Olifati, including but not limited to
	1/19/20 18	1/19/20 18	1/19/20 18	1/19/20 18
	Angel	Nicole	Parvin	Parvin
	Raso	Raso	Olfati (January 2018)	Olfati (January 2018)
	PRA- R 2018- 00117	PRA- R 2018- 00118	PRA- C 2018- (. 00140 2	PRA- 2018- (. 00150 2

You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the Department of Fair Employment and Housing, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to contact them can be found on their website at https://www.dfeh.ca.gov/contact-us/.	We have searched our legal indices and consulted knowledgeable persons and found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to medical credentialing, you may wish to direct your request to the California Medical Board and/or the Department of Managed Health Care.	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the Governor's Office, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on contacting the Office of Governor Edmund G. Brown Jr. can be located on the Governor's website at https://govapps.gov.ca.gov/gov39mail/.	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.	We must decline your request for the reasons set forth below. You have requested investigative records from this office. To the extent that these records exist, they are investigative files of the Attorney General and the DOJ that are expressly exempt from disclosure pursuant to Government Code section 6254, subdivision (J), In the case of Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354 the California Supreme Court held that investigative records in the possession of law enforcement agencies are exempt from disclosure. The Court also ruled that investigation documents did not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355.)	Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. The only exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. California Penal Code sections 11120
Nickless	Nickless Nickless	Nickless	Erin Nickless	Shannon Patterso n	Jaimie Tackett
Complet Erin ed Nickless	ed ed	ed ed led	Complet Fed	ed ed F	Complet ed
Vorys, Sater, Seymou r and Pease LLP					
1/19/20 Public records relating to, regarding, referencing, or in connection with the complaint filed on or about December 19, 2017, on behalf of Richard Campbell to the Department of Fair Employment and Housing, DFEH Matter Number 201712-004944520 (the "DFEH Matter"). Finis request includes all documents and information submitted to the Department of Fair Employment & Housing regarding the DFEH Matter, all documents and information sent by the Department of Fair Employment & Housing to any person regarding the DFEH Matter, and all documents and information relating to any investigation conducted by the Department of Fair Employment and Housing regarding the DFEH Matter.	I am credentialing a provider of the name of Thomas Maree Buse. He mentioned that he had a malpractice on October 2006. To be able to continue with his credentialing process I need to have the information of his case available to be presented to the Medical Board at out facility.	1/22/20 I want an export of the Facebook Insight files for the Facebook page of Jerry Brown the governor of California: https://www.facebook.com/jerrybrown/I am requesting the files for the last three months of 2017.	Jasmine 1/22/20 I am seeking a copy of the police report 17-25204, where 1 contacted the Santa Ana Police Department to assist me when Miguel Angel Calderon became verbally abusive, date should be whithin 09-01-2017 to 09-18-2017. Thank you.	Your complete files related to Case Nos. CV-15-006390-0001 and CV-15-006390-0002, including all documentation received from the Merced Police Department, all documents received from the Merced Police Department requesting the testing of Ms. Cohen's blood sample, all correspondence with the Merced Police Department, all test results, all chain of custody documents, and all supporting documents pertaining to the testing of Ms. Cohen's blood sample (i.e., the litigation packets).	Under the Freedom of Information Act, I'm looking for records regarding Donald Scott McMyne DOD He was a member of the Middle Side Gang in Santa Ana and had several arrests. He was also tried/convicted of homicide (I'm not sure what degree) in 2000 of another male, named Michael. He served time in prison for
18 18	1/19/20	1/22/20	1/22/20 18	1/22/20	1/22/20 18
Akilah	Aurelia	Andy	Jasmine	Kevin	Briana
Craig	Rios-Ortiz	Worms	Calderon	Little	Loveall
PRA- 2018- 00167	PRA- 2018- 00173	PRA- V 2018- 00124	PRA- 02018- 00125	PRA- 1 2018- 00126	PRA- 12018- 00127

through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness. If you have further questions or concerns regarding a record review, please direct your correspondence to the Bureau of Criminal Information & Analysis, Record Review Unit at P.O. Box 903417, Sacramento, CA 94203-4170. For additional information, the following is the Record Review website: https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review. The DOI responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, and contacted knowledgeable persons, and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents. Note, the 2017 data is not yet available for release. It will be available in July of 2018 when the California Attorney General releases the Criminal Justice Statistical Center Publications.	Unfortunately, the crime statistics data that you requested is not available online on the Department of Justice's (DOJ) OpenJustice Initiative website, therefore is not available through the Criminal Justice Statistical Center. However, some data related to the request may be available. The DOJ discloses statistical data to bona fide research bodies and public agencies pursuant to Penal Code sections 11105 and 13202. If you are a research body, then your request would fall under the Department's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that re late to accessing criminal offender Record Information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to reviewe records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.	As an initial matter, we note that on June 6, 2017, we responded to your complaint regarding Unique Vacations, Ltd Specifically, we noted that our Office was not able to determine appropriate handling of your complaint based on the information you provided, and if you wished for our Office to examine your complaint further we would need additional information from you. I have attached a copy of our June 6, 2017 letter.
	et Erin Nickless	Complet Jaimie ed Tackett	Complet Jaimie ed Tackett	Salvo
	Complet	Comple	Comple	Complet
several years before being released on parole. I'm looking for anything pertaining to his arrests, but most specifically the court case regarding the homicide.	In October 2017, the Imperial County Sheriff's Office submitted a case to the California Attorney General's San Diego office. I am seeking information on this case on Juanita Salas on the alleged violation of California Education Code 7054.	In prior years, we were able to use an online tool to get CJSC arrest counts at a micro level for a period of up to 10 years. These were requested with a search tool similar to this one currently available here: https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/crime-statistics/arrests Unfortunately, this current request tool only seems to create aggregated tables combining all agencies within a county. It also aggregates crime counts into five broad felony categories (violent, property, sex, drug, other) and provides no detailed categories for misdemeanors. In previous years, the tool allowed us to get agency-specific data for the whole state for 10 defined years. The downloadable table had separate rows for each combination of YEAR, NCIS_NAME, GENDER, RACE, AND AGE GROUP, and had separate columns with counts of arrests for each arrest individual category (SCOO1_sum through SCO76_sum). We need agency-level data for our studies of city-level data with detailed crime categories I ke what used to be available? How can we get it?	My name is Laura and I am looking for information regarding 1950's drug offenses, racial profile of drug law violators and sentencing. The intent is to compare the 1950's sentencing differences by race. I tried looking for this information online but was unable to find anything. I know that the first attempt to gather demographic information in the states regarding drug violations happened in California in 1959. Would it be poss ble to obtain this type of information?	I filed a complaint against Sandals Resorts (Unique Vacations) last year. I am writing to inquire about the disposition of the enforcement action taken by your department against this company. Are your records public, and if so, can I obtain documents regarding the disposition of this case?
	1/22/20	1/22/20 18 18	1/22/20 18 18	1/22/20
	Gilbert	William	Laura	Arthur
	Rebollar	Ponicki	Lopez	Minassian Arthur
	PRA- 12018-	PRA- 2018- 00131	PRA- 2018- 00145	PRA- 12018- 00175

We also have construed your January 20, 2018 inquiry as a request for records pursuant the California Public Records Act, Government Code section 6250 et seq., and we have concluded that the records, to the extent they exist, would be exempt from disclosure. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory files of the Attorney General. We hope we have satisfactorily addressed your inquiry.	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-BirthMarriage-Certificates.aspx.	The Record Review Challenge Program within the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis assists individuals who would like to order a copy of their California state criminal history record for their eyes only and/or dispute its material (see California Penal Code 11120-11126). You may order a copy of your California state criminal history record (or "no record") to review and/or dispute the information contained therein. Please see enclosed the necessary forms and instructions on how to do so. Your Record Review results will be mailed to you after you submit fingerprints for Record Review. For more information regarding the Record Review process, please visit our website at www.oag.ca.gov/fingerprints. Click on the link, "Criminal Records - Request Your Own." The Department of Justice responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to healthcare professionals, you may wish to direct your request to one of the agencies in California that oversees public health matters, such as the California Department of Public Health.	Please find enclosed the statistics we have in response to your first request. In regards to your second request, the Department has no documents responsive to your request. The Department only maintains statistical information on Gun Violence Restraining Orders.	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
	Nickless Nickless	Jaimie Tackett	Nickless Nickless	Robert	Nickless Nickless
	Complet	Complet Jaimie ed Tacket	Complet	Complet Robert ed Wilson	Complet Erin
	Please send to our attention the Divorce Decree, certified, for Alicia Luevano-Garcia.	I was arrested 1967/ 11/23 San Diego, CA/or auto theft and I need the records for a lawyer in San Diego to help me get this expunged.	The Emergency System for Advance Registration of Volunteer Health Professionals (ESAR-VHP). A private corporation Intermedix was granted a contract to execute the functions outlined in the ESAR-VHP interim guidelines (a federal program from the Assistant Secretary of Prepareness). There seems to be only one vendor out there serving this niche. I am interested in learning about pricing per contract at each state in the US.	□ 2017 Gun Violence Restraining Order statistics, which includes the total number of restraining orders by agency, restraining order type, status (active, inactive, violations, served, unserved), and petitioner (family member, law enforcement) □ A sample of 50 case files between January 2016 and present, across various counties from individuals who served with GVROs	1. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that mentions, refers to, and/or relates to any contamination of any kind and/or any placement of toxics, contaminants, poisons and/or substances at, near, regarding or relating to any house, building and/or residence believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to any place where Parvin Olfati is and/or any place where Parvin Olfati is believed to stay at night, including but not limited to a full
	18 18 18	18 18 18	183/20	1/23/20	1/23/20
	Jessica	Howard	Jared	Young	Parvin
	Nava	Bailey	McCannel Jared	Nelson	Olfati (January 2018)
	PRA- N 2018- 00178	PRA- 2018- 00265	PRA- N 2018- 1 00135	PRA- N 2018- 00137	PRA- 2018- 000149 2

	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code. § 6253(c).) Please not be ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code. § 6253(c).) Please not be ability to browide public records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time thraps://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth-Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital
	Nickless	Nickless Nickless	ess
	Complet Erin ed Nick	Complet Erin ed Nick	Complet Erin ed Nick
scientific and/or medical description of each contaminant, toxic, poison and/or substance. 2. For any document in Request Item 1 above that DOJ claims has been destroyed or is otherwise unavailable, please provide all records of any destruction or other reasons for non-availability, together with applicable records retention policies.	nessage, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that mentions, refers to, and/or relates to any theft, taking, deletion, removal, or activity of any kind regarding or relating to any letters, correspondence, and/or communications between Parvin Olfati and Dr. Tony Lai, including but not limited to any letters, correspondence and/or communications regarding any medical services, medical service experience, treadmill test, and/or any medical procedure. 2. For any document in Request Item 1 above that DOJ claims has been destroyed or is otherwise unavailable, please provide all records of any destruction or other reasons for non-availability, together with applicable records retention policies.	years for employment purposes. I would like my record of all court cases in the past 10 years. I would like my record of all charges outstanding.	1/24/20 Name, date of birth, social security number, addresses. I noticed inaccurate information on my background checks, so I'd I ke to pull my records to verify their inaccuracy.
	1123/20	18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1	
	Parvin	Jonatho n	Nathani el
	Olfati (January 2018)	Nicholson	Olson
	PRA- 2018- 00151	PRA- 2018- 00177	PRA- 2018- 00144

Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth-Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. You may be able to get these records from the Office of Administrative Hearings.	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Our research into the matter shows that business licensure records may be related to the California Secretary of State's Office, and you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to contact their office can be found online at http://www.sos.ca.gov/administration/contact-information/.	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place. Because it was a traffic report, you may also wish to contact the Department of Motor Vehicles.	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth—Marriage-Certificates.aspx.
	Nickless	Nickless	Rrin Nickless	less
	ed ed	Complet	Complet Erin ed Nick	Complet Erin ed Nick
	I'm writing to request transcript of Administrative Appeal before Admin Judge Amy Lahr Feb 20, 2009, in Los Angeles, CA. Office of Administrative Hearings #2008120518 Agency # AO5088185 Proposed decision 3/23/09 Amy Lahr Decided 6/3/09 James Humes Please advise if this is not the correct place to request transcripts of OAH Hearings, in this case on Spring St. in Los Angeles, CA.	Requesting business licensing information for: Offices of David L. Schram, Inc., dba 740 Plus Credit Repair Services. The office is located at: 2901 Sycamore Ave., La Crescenta, Ca 91214.	1/25/20 traffic accident back in Jan 02 1970 in I-10 going east close to Indio California. A ford pick up truck filled with 11 passengrers flipped over and one death of a 11 year old girl resulted, multiple people hurt. Benigno Gomez Aldecoa was the driver. He was a California resident, from Pico Rivera. Would like a copy of the traffic report to see what caused this accident. I was 7 years old and was hurt in this accident.	Looking for death records of my wife's father Joseph Robert O'Donnell
	18 18	1/24/20	18	
	David	=	Frank	Kenneth 1/25/20
	Hoxie	₫	Godinez	Edwards
	PRA- 1 2018- 00146	PRA- 1- 2018- 00148	PRA- C 2018- 00152	PRA- 2018- 00153

We note that your request seeks records from "each and every single legislative, judicial, and xecutive [sic] agency of the STATE OF CALIFORNIA," however, your request was made using the California Department of Justice only. Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 62-35(c.)) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's puriow. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should energy our request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at thto-3/Mww.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSIPages/Brith%2C-Death%2C-Etal-Death%2C-Still-Birth-Marriage-Certificates aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Panal Code section 11105 expressible authorizes the Attorney General to discl	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth—Marriage-Certificates.aspx.	The records of all our case files are organized and maintained electronically in a case management software application called Pro law. After an exhaustive search of Pro law, we were unable to locate any records pertaining to either Timothy K. Bertram or case# C-67205. Thus, we have no responsive records for your request.
Nickless	Erin Nickless	Michael
Complet Erin ed Nick	Complet Erin ed Nickless	Complet Michael ed Dolida
1/25/20 I am requesting my own complete and thorough Master File from each and every single legislative, judicial, and xecutive agency of the STATE OF CALIFORNIA, the State of California, the California State Republic, and ithe territories within the state's boundaries ALLOWED UNDER ALL APPLICABLE LAWS. Here is my information. Again, I am requesting my own records. Jesus Huerta Phone: Born: Doctors Hospital of East Los Angeles Date Of Birth: CA Driver's License: Member of Ca National Guard: 2003-2009 118th Maintenance Company. Stockton, CA 40th Infanty Division, Los Angeles Superior Court Case: 7EM04276 Currently Bonded by Aladdin Bail Bonds Mother's Maiden Name: Father's Last Name: Huerta SSN: Attended: East Los Angeles College, Mt. San Antonio College and Rio Hondo Colege. Comments: Primarily looking all public records civil and commercial in nature (Liens, Lawsuits, Financial Instruments, etc.)	I am in need of my marriage and divorce date from Matthew James Monson. His DOB Matthew James Monson. His DOB Matthew James Monson. His DOB Matthew James Manador Countly. I need this information to complete my application for my passport.	1/25/20 Mr. Dolida, on 12-14-2017, the Orange County Superior Court admitted that they made a mistake when they changed my " misdameanor" conviction into a "felony" and forwarded that "felony" information, IE: sentencing transcripts, abstract of Judgement, JUS 8715 to the Dept. of Justice in case# C- 67205. I have enclosed a copy of the courts recent ruling (minute order) The reason I am writing to you, as you will see from the other minute order enclosed, is because the courts have destroyed or refuse to turn over the sentencing transcripts, abstracts and any other document in case# C- 67205. To be very specific Mr. D, I am looking for the sentencing transcripts in case# C- 67205??? in all
18 18	1/25/20	18
Jesus	Leah	Timothy
Huerta	Pearl	Bertram
PRA- 1- 2018- 00154	PRA- F 2018- 00155	PRA- 2018- 00156

	Complet Erin Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.	Nickless Nickless
	ed ed ed	Complet
actuality, I would like to receive a copy of every sing I e document that exists in your (D.O.J.) file pertaining to case# C-67205. I am really hoping that you (D.O.J.) still have the sentencing transcripts? But I will be extremely grateful for anything you can provide me.	of the control of the control of the control of the constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications to, from, with, and/or about Alhambra Dental Plaza and/or any Alhambra Dental Plaza and/or any Alhambra Dental Plaza tenants, dental assistants, dentists, nurses, staff, receptionists, dental assistants, dental hygienists, dental providers, employees, agents, medical and/or relate to any medical services and/or dental services, sought, requested, and/or experienced by Parvin Olfati. 2. Any and all communications, payments and/or emoluments that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications to, from, with, and/or about Alhambra Dental Plaza and/or any Alhambra Dental Plaza and/or any Alhambra Dental Plaza tenants, dental assistants, dentists, nurses, staff, receptionists, dental assistants, dental hygienists, dental providers, employees, agents, medical services or medical services sought, requested and/or experienced by Parvin Olfati. 3. In addition, please produce any and all documents, records, and/or writings reflecting any destruction, alteration, removal, and/or transfer of any of the records requested under Request Item Numbers 1 and/or 2 herein.	 Any and all communications, payments and/or emoluments that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications to, from, with, and/or about Delta Dental and/or any Delta Dental employees, staff, office managers, receptionists, agents, nurses, billers, dental assistants, dental hygienists, dental providers, medical professionals or representatives, that mention, refer to, and/or relate to any medical services and/or dental services, sought, requested, and/or experienced by Parvin Olfati. Any and all communications, payments and/or emoluments that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or about Delta Dental and/or any Delta Dental employees, staff, office managers, receptionists, agents, nurses, billers, dental assistants, dental hygienists, dental providers, medical professionals or representatives, that mention, refer to, and/or relate to insurance coverage or lack of insurance coverage for any dental services or medical services sought, requested and/or experienced by Parvin Olfati. In addition, please produce any and all documents, records, and/or writings reflecting any destruction, alteration, removal, and/or transfer of any of the records requested under Request Item Numbers 1 and/or 2 herein.
	18 18	18 18 18
	Parvin	Parvin
	Olfati (January 2018)	Olfati (January 2018)
	PRA- 2018- 00168	PRA- 2018- 00169

A. No Responsive Records Burmas Curoffice was not alone to locate any responsive records related to many of the entities islated above. To the extent that we were able to locate responsive records all such records are exempted as explained below. B. Records Exempt from Discobure a. Investigation Records. Covernment Code eSE4, subdivision (1) Some of the records that you seek are confidential law enlorcement records of the Attorney General Covernment Code escention R254, subdivision (1) Some of the records that you seek are confidential law enlorcement records of the Attorney General Covernment Code escention R254, subdivision (1) Cal.4h 357, 354, 354, 354, 354, 354 White them may be reasons or prolicy that would support at time limitation on the exemption for investigation from the colors of an investigation (1) a Privilege - Covernment Code escention R254, subdivision (1), incorporates confidentially privileges of an investigation (1), a Privilege - Covernment Code escention R254, subdivision (8), incorporates confidentially privileges as the records subject to R254, subdivision (8), incorporates confidentially privileges as the records subject of R254, subdivision (8), incorporates confidentially privileges as the records subject of section R254, subdivision (8), incorporates confidentially privileges as the records subject of the R254 subdivision (8), incorporates confidentially provinces as the confidential and value of the records subject of the R254 subdivision (8), incorporates confidentially between the records subject of the R259 and records are exempted from R254, subdivision (8). Incorporates confidentially between the records subject of the R259 and R254 and range of the records and exemptific discousure. Atterney Work Product and Attorney Client Privilege. Attorney Work Product and Attorney Client Privilege. Attorney Work Product and Attorney Client Privilege. Attorney Work Product and Attorney Client Privilege and memorand a prepared by the attorneys in the confidential provision is inco
ed ed ed
PRA- Koo Stuart 1725/20 Please consider this a request to the California Double Supermental Obstance - Fase California Charles - Fase Charles Unit pursuant to Charles of Public Charles

so as not to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) In the instant case, records such as analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning the strengths and weaknesses of a particular proposed action, prosecution, or lawsuit would be covered by	the balancing test and the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255.
a. Possible other names i. Southern California Comprehensive Treatment Centers ii. Transcultural Health Dev Inc. iii. Coastal Recovery iv. Coastal Recovery Center v. Coastal Recovery Clinic 26) Capalina Road, San Marcos, CA a. Possible other names i. Capalina ii. San Diego Comprehensive Treatment Centers 27) Serenity Knolls Treatment Centers 27) Serenity Knolls Treatment Center – 145 Tamal Road,	Knolis, C.A. a. Aka Serenity Knolls 29) Montecatini Eating Disorder Treatment Center - 2524 La Costa Are, Carlsbad, C.A. a. Possible other names i. Montecatini Center for Eating Disorder Center 29) Third Avenue Comprehensive Treatment Center - 1161 Third Avenue Comprehensive Treatment Center - 1162 Third Avenue Comprehensive Treatment Center - 1161 Third Avenue Comprehensive Treatment Center - 1162 Third Avenue Cilinc ii. San Diego Treatment Services, LLC 29) Third Avenue Cilinc iii. San Diego Treatment Services, LLC 20) Tenecula Valley Treatment Center 20) Tana Avenue Cilinc iii. San Diego Treatment Center 21 Third Avenue Cilinc 22) Salota Ana Comprehensive Treatment Center - 40700 23(Conter Sortia Ana, Congrehensive Treatment Center - 2101 EFirst Street, Santa Ana, Congrehensive Treatment Center - 2102 Expossible other names 21 Sacramento Comprehensive Treatment Center - 22) Sacramento Comprehensive Treatment Center - 232) Sacramento California Treatment Center - 233 Sacramento California Treatment Center - 234 Riverside Omprehensive Treatment Center - 235 Rasinon Valley Cilinc 247 Riverside Omprehensive Treatment Center - 238 Ava Fashion Valley Cilinc 248 Riverside Comprehensive Treatment Center - 239 Rasinon Valley Cilinc 249 Riverside Comprehensive Treatment Center - 240 Riverside Comprehensive Treatment Center - 240 Riverside Omprehensive California Treatment Center - 251 Rasinon Valley Cilinc 252 Rasinon Valley Cilinc 253 Rasinon Valley Cilinc 254 Riverside Omprehensive Treatment Center - 255 Rasinon Valley Cilinc 256 Rasinon Valley Cilinc 257 Rasinon Valley Cilinc 258 Rasinon Valley Cilinc 259 Rasinon Valley Cilinc 250 Rasinon Valley Conduct all Each Disk notes, interviews, schedules, public comments, and other attachments, and other attachments filed public comments, and other attachment Rasinon R

Enclosed is a copy of Initiative 17-0046A1, "The People's Fair Sentencing and Public Safety Act of 2018." This completes our response to your request.	We were unable to locate responsive records with the information provided. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.	We understand your request to seek an online search form for information on charities, charity fundraising professionals, and raffle registrants as maintained by the California Registry of Charitable Trusts. That online search form is accessible here: http://rct.doj.ca.gov/N erification/ On January 26, 2018, you also provided a list of twenty-four individuals and sought information as to any association between the individuals and nonprofit organizations. The California Registry of Charitable Trusts has searched its records of nonprofit organizations, updated through August 2016, for exact matches of the names you provided. We enclose a copy of those search results. We note that your public records request is directed to the California Department of Justice but may seek records from other California state agencies such as the California Secretary of State and the California Franchise Tax Board. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice responds solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other state agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.	After consulting with various progran1s within the California Department of Justice (DOJ), the California Justice Information Services Division has determined that it has no responsive records for this request as the DOJ did not maintain such CDs. I should note, the above mentioned CD-ROM would not be available to the public as the CDs were only disseminated to law enforcement agencies. A member of the public could request to view limited information contained on the CDs; however, pursuant to Penal Code section 290.46, the releasable information would be found on the California Attorney General 's Megan's Law website at: https://www.meganslaw.ca.gov/. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.	We have conducted a diligent search of the Department of Justice's legal indexes, knowledgeable people, and logical places for documents responsive to your request. This office has no responsive records to your request.	Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice, therefore we must deny your request. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Please note that our office is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but
Emily Gargiulo	Nickless Nickless	Caitlin W. W. Noble	Jaimie Tackett	Sonja K. Berndt	Rrin Nickless
Complet Emily ed	ed ed	Complet Caitlin ed W. Noble	Complet Jaimie ed Tackett	Complet	Complet Erin
			Habeas Corpus Resourc e Center		
A copy of the recently submitted initiative to amend the Three Str kes Law.	I would I ke to request the records (e.g. case name, case number, document description, etc) on behalf of Alex Cortez. The following is information that might be useful and speed up the process for identification purposes. Name: Alex Cortez. CDCR #: G28913 Admission Date: 08/07/2008 Current Location: Community Correctional Facility Parole Eligible Date: 03/2019	I would I ke to search tax-exempt charitable organizations / nonprofits by the name of the owner / registerer. Is this possible online? (I couldn't find the function.) If not, I would put in a request to see if several individuals are listed as associated with any nonprofit organizations.	I am trying to figure out which unit of the DOJ to direct a public records request to. I am looking for an old Megan's Law CD-ROM from July or August 1997, which is after then-Attorney General Dan Lungren announced such CDs would be made available to the public. Did any office of the DOJ retain these old CDs? Any direction you could provide would be much appreciated.	This is a request for all records pertaining to Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County (including NHMLAC Foundation, attorneys, agents, representatives, and employees) and its communications with the Department of Justice/Attorney General's Office pertaining to Daniel R. Kroupa. Please be advised that my request includes relevant communications made on personal communications devices and email/messaging accounts. City of San Jose v. Superior Court, 2 Cal. 5th 608 (March 2017)	Requesting for the will of Janina Katz, married to Israel Katz, Born on and died on and di
1/25/20 18	1/25/20	1/25/20	1/25/20	1/26/20	1/26/20
Julius	David	Henri	Ann	Daniel	Michelle
Humphre , y, Sr.	Solis	Gendreau F	Kim	Kroupa	Smith
PRA- H 2018- y 00187	PRA- 2018- 00190	PRA- G 2018- 00202	PRA- K 2018- 00208	PRA- K 2018- 00157	PRA- 2018- 00158

seeks records regarding a probate matter. You may wish to contact the local court in which the probate matter would be heard. If you are seeking unclaimed property linked to an estate, you may wish to contact the State Controller's office.	Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.	As an initial matter, we note that on May 2, 2012, we received a consumer complaint against Wells Fargo from Mr. Schrupp. A copy of the complaint along with our letter to Mr. Schrupp is enclosed. Also, our Office provides information about the December 2010 settlement with Wells Fargo, World Savings, and Wachovia on our website, including a copy of the settlement agreement and answers to frequently asked questions. This information is available here: https://oag.ca.gov/consumers/content/faqs_wellsfargo. Additional information about the settlement is available on the National Mortgage Settlement website at http://www.nationalmortgagesettlement.com/. Further, our Office created the California Monitor Program to enforce the National Mortgage Settlement. The California Monitor Program, which closed as of September 2014, released a series of reports that can be found here: https://oag.ca.gov/legal-opinions/ag-mortgage-settlements We respectfully deny the remainder of your requests. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts records of complaints to, or investigations conducted by, the Office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice from disclosure under the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f).) Additionally, your inquiry raises other confidentiality and privacy issues.	As a preliminary matter, we note that under the Public Records Act government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public, unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code,§ 6253, subd. (b).) Section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in Jaw. As currently drafted, your request for information is overly broad and unduly burdensome. Unspecific and unfocused requests for government records under the Public Records Act are contrary to the purposes of the Public Records act, and requesters should provide specific, focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond. General, unfocused requests compel agencies to deny them thereby leading to litigation. The request to the agency must itself be focused and specific. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal. App. 41 h 469, 481.) Specifically, a search of Department communications under these parameters would be unworkable. Furthermore, it is unduly burdensome to request the Department of Justice to search all emails without reference to a particular ammunition law or regulation. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation
	t Erin Nickless	Hartston Hartston	Wilson
	Complet Erin ed Nick	Complet	Complet ed
			Michel & Associat es
	Criminal Court Records AND Prison Records on: -Robert Carl Hohenberger (DOB abt Indiana; Died: -criminal Court records: Orange County, California -Served time at San Quentin from about 1971-1974 -Poss ble California Prison inmate number: B34916 Additional info: -Robert Carl Hohenberger (DOB abt 1971-1974 -Robert Carl Hohenberger (DOB abt 1971-1977) -Robert Carl Hohenberger (DOB abt 1971-1977) -Robert Carl Hohenberger (DOB abt 1971-1974) -Robert Carl Hohenberger (DOB abt 1971	Any information related to the Wells Fargo-World Saving under the Settlement and Assurance Agreement including, but not limited to, regular reports to the Attorney General's office regarding which borrowers do and do not get a modification and the reasons why. Any information related to Mr. Paul Schrupp. Wells Fargo failed to provide my client, Mr. Paul Schrupp, a permanent modification after he paid all three trial period plan payments to the bankruptcy trustee in charge of his bankruptcy.	Any and all communications between the California Department of Justice and any agency, employee, and/or representative of a city, county, city and county, state or federal agency, regarding California's recently enacted ammunition laws and/or regulations, including but not limited to, the requirements, exceptions, and federal supremacy laws preempting the requirements.
	11/26/20 (14/26)) (14/26/20 (14/26)) (14/26/20 (14/26)) (14/26/20 (14/26)) (14/26/20 (14/26)) (14/26) (14	11/26/20 /	11/26/20 /
	a a lessicc	Eric	Imran
	Martin	Mercer	Khundkar II (Ammuniti on Laws)
	PRA- N 2018- 00159	PRA- 2018- 00160	PRA- 2018- 00180 0

v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) If there is a specific ammunition law or regulation that you are referencing, let us know and we can refine the search to determine if there are responsive documents. For these reasons, we must decline your request for records as it is currently cast. However, we are willing to consult with you to determine if a more specific request would be likely to generate records. (Gov. Code, section 6253.1.)	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."].)	We were unable to locate records based on the information provided, as the request did not provide case names and/or numbers. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency. DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. If you are seeking records filed with Court, you may wish to direct your request to their office. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records under the Public Records Act, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105 penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code. § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to boxing event scores, you may wish to direct your request to whatever organization hosted the event.	We were unable to search our databases based on the information provided. If you can provide more specifics, such as the parties' names, case name, or case number, we can conduct a search of the records within our custody and control. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are
	Nickless Nickless	Nickless Nickless	Erin Nickless	Brin Nickless	Complet Erin ed Nickless
	Complet Erin ed Nick	Complet ed	Complet Erin ed Nick	Complet Erin ed Nick	Complet ed
	Any and all documents relating to any consumer complaints and/or investigations regarding Olympia Law PC, Entity Number C3707174, and/or any of its attorneys, including Steve Saeed Gohari - Bar Number 189339, Deepa Talwar - Bar Number 277358, and Bryan Naddafi - Bar Number 298264. Relevant records include those relating to any complaints or investigations concerning nonjudicial foreclosures, recoupment of surplus funds in nonjudicial foreclosures, and other similar services and/or products. The relevant time period for these documents is January 2012 to the present.	All Santa Barbara County Court Records	Please send complete investigation file including, police reports, officers notes, witness statements, incident report, colored photographs and videos Accident 1/12/18 Police incident # CAS18012-0132	I would I ke a copy of the judges scorecards from boxing in Inglewood, CA from 1/28 for Lucas Matthysse vs Tewa Kiram and for Jorge Linares vs Mercita Gesta. If there is anything else I need to do, please let me know. Thank you. If this is the wrong place to request the record, please let me know so that I can contact the proper persons.	Perhaps it's a child sex abuse case? I'm not sure where to startI was involved in a case against my father when I was 4 so I remember only bits of what went on. I'm now 26 and hoping to get some closure on what happened to
	1/29/20 18	1/29/20 18	1/29/20	1/29/20 18	1/29/20 18
	Maria	Joey	Silinda	Donava n	Ashley
	Hoisingto n- Bingham	Crawford	Conn	Leonard	
	PRA- 2018- 00100	PRA- 2018- 00161	PRA- 02018-	PRA- 1 2018- 00163	PRA- 2018- 00164

exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.	You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.	You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code. § 6253(c).) The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking child support and/or custody records, you may wish to direct your request to the court that issued the child support or custody order, or the California Department of Child Support Services (DCSS). Information on how to contact DCSS can be found on their website at http://www.childsup.ca.gov/home/dcsscontactinformation.aspx.	Regarding item (1), unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. The only exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. California Penal Code sections 11120 through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness. If you have further questions or concerns regarding a record review, please direct your correspondence to the Bureau of Criminal Information & Analysis, Record Review Unit at P.O. Box 903417, Sacramento, CA 94203-4170. For additional information, the following is the Record Review website: https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review. Regarding items (2) through (12), after consulting with various programs within DOJ, the California Justice Information Services Division has determined that it has no responsive records for this request. You may want to contact the respective recipient of each request for responsive records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
	t Erin Nickless	t Erin Nickless	t Erin Nickless	Complet Jaimie ed Tackett
	Complet	Complet Erin ed Nick	Complet Erin ed Nick	ed do
my father after that day. Any direction at all is appreciated. Thank you so much.	property records, liens, deeds on 3303 Prospect Ave. Glendale, CA 91214 Bankruptcy CALIFORNIA FEDERAL COURT-LOS ANGELES Docket# 1154102	311 S 3rd St Richmond CA 94801 House information : - Landlord/Owner of the property. I need to contact the owner to the property for negotiations	1/29/20 I was just recently informed that my Father Emil Leon Cross wages were garnished for child support in 1982. Case # 78586 FSD 11119. My Mothers name is Sandra Kay Cross. I am wondering if there is an account that money is sitting in regarding this case. Please let me know if this is not the correct place to obtain this information. My mother moved to Arizona in 93 and I am concerned that there may be money garnished we did not receive. If so how do I go about getting it? I am the oldest daughter in this case, Debora N Cross/married name Donnelly Thank you.	"(1) ATTN: Dr. Xavier Becerra, J.D.)(PERSON: James Andrews Brooks)(PERSON: Roshanna Franklin)and ATTN: Department of Justice (DOJ/AG)(DOCUMENTS): "Criminal Arrest Records/Files (All-Arrest) "Criminal Conviction Records/Files (All-Convictions) (2) ATTN: Office-of District Attomey)*(DOCUMENTS): State/Federal f Prison-Files) & (Probation Files) (3) ATTN: Dr. Jackie Lacey, JD., Esq(D.A.)(A)DA-INTERVENTION (4) ATTN: Keith Marshall Bowman. Esq.**(DOCUMENTS): (X) Attorney/Client Files-Records (5) ATTN: Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI)(DOCUMENTS): (D FBI File No#93-822-G (James Brooks) (6) ATTN: Claremont Police Department*(DOCUMENTS): (1) 7/9/1996 Police Report (James Brooks) (7) ATTN: Pomona Police Department*(DOCUMENTS): (1) 7/9/1995 Police
	1/29/20 18	1/29/20 18	1/29/20 18	18 18 18
	Ana	Yahaira	Debora	Lorenzo (Askia S.)
	Duran	Velasque z	Donnelly	Cunningh am (Ashanti)
	PRA- [2018- 00165	PRA- 1 2018- 2 00170	PRA- [2018- 00171	PRA- 2018- 8 00172 (

	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.	You appear to be seeking records related to fraud or identity theft. Information on how to report fraud and identity theft can be found at https://www.justice.gov/criminal-fraud/report-fraud).	Public Records sent a request for Calrification on February 5, 2018: We are in the process of gathering the requested information, and are seeking clarification to better facilitate our search. We request that you please clarify what you mean by a "Bill of Costs," in your February 2, 2018 request. Stacy Heinsen responded: A. follow up email from our Public Request Act Unit on February 5, 2018, sought clarification specifically of "Bill of Costs." Your email response the same day indicated that you were looking for the hourly rate that would be listed for the Attorney General should the state, or a state agency, prevail in a matter and be awarded attorney's foes. Attached is a copy of the Department's Administrative Bulletin 17-09 regarding DOJ Billing Rates which includes hourly rates for attorney services.	We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.
	Nickless Nickless	Erin Nickless	Stacy Heinsen	Erin Nickless
	Complet I	Complet ed	Complet	Complet
Report (James Brooks) (8) ATTN: Pomona City Hall Administration*(DOCUMENTS): *Marriage Records/Certificate (James Brooks) (9) ATTN: Federal Bureau of Prison (10) ATTN: Office of Probation Department *(DOCUMENTS): (J) Probation Files/Records (11) ATTN: Scott Kernan (Secretary)*(DOCUMENTS): (11) ist of all Campaign-Contributions to any Entities/Parties/Individuals concerning Prop# 184 (1994) 3-Str Kes Ballot-Initiative (12) ATTN: Calif. Dept. Corrections. Rehabilitation*(DOCUMENTS): (1) CDCR/Central Prison Files"	emoluments that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications to, from, with, and/or about Barb Andres Barbara Andres Cecil Taylor and/or about Barb Andres Barbara Andres Cecil Taylor and/or and/or mention. refer to, and/or relate to Parvin Olfati. 2. Any and all communications, payments, and/or any communications to, from, with, and/or about any person known or believed to be a relative of Barb Andres or Barbara Andres, a husband of Barb Andres or Barbara Andres, or the children of the husband of Barb Andres or Barbara Andres, including but not limited to any resident of New Hampshire. 3. In addition, please produce any and all documents, records, and/or writings reflecting any destruction, alteration, removal, and/or transfer of any of the records requested under Request Item Numbers 1 and/or 2	Any public records concerning myself. Simon Nicholas Ybarra. My wallet was stolen a few years ago and my social security card was inside. I would I ke to confirm that there has been no activity that wasn't me.	Please provide the hourly rate for the Attorney General as it would be used in calculating fee awards or Bill of Costs. February 5, 2018 response to request for clarification: Apologies, "Bill of Costs" was my shorthand for "motion to tax costs". I am really looking for the hourly rate that would be listed for the Attorney General should the state, or a state agency, prevail in a matter and be awarded attorneys' fees.	1/29/20 Any and all records, permits, applications, certificates, licenses, insurance policies, or other documents pertaining to VANG PRODUCE (Certificate #39-10-245-0). VANG PRODUCE also goes by YANG PRODUCE and/or YANG PRODUCE FARMS.
	18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1	1/29/20 1	1/29/20	1/29/20
	Parvin	Simon	Kevin	George
	Olfati (January 2018)	Ybarra	Renaud	Arata
	PRA- 2018- 00183 ;	PRA- 2018- 00192	PRA- 2018- 00204	PRA- 2018- 00217

We note that your request is for records from the California State University, but was submitted to the California Department of Justice. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to one or more schools within the California State University (CSU) System, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to contact the offices of CSU can be found at https://www2.calstate.edu/Pages/contact.aspx. We hope this information has been of assistance.	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. The DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking eviction records, you may wish to direct your request to the local superior court in which the case was filed.	We must decline your request for the reasons set forth below. You have requested investigative records from this office. To the extent that these records exist, they are investigative files of the Attorney General and the DOJ that are expressly exempt from disclosure pursuant to Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f). In the case of Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354 the California Supreme Court he ld that investigative records in the possession of law enforcement agencies are exempt from disclosure. The Court also ruled that investigation documents did not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (ld. at p. 355.)	We have located two case files for a Daniel Lyn Estep. The releasable substantive documents contained in the case file are listed below in paragraph five. We cannot, however, provide you with copies of the reporter's transcripts which are also contained in the case file because these transcripts are exempt from disclosure under the PRA. Specifically, California Government Code section 69954, subdivision (d) provides as follows: (d) Any court, party, or person who has purchased a transcript may, without paying a further fee to the reporter, reproduce a copy or portion thereof as an exh bit pursuant to court order or rule, or for internal use, but shall not otherwise provide or sell a copy or copies to any other person or party. Thus, a person who has not purchased the reporter's transcript, but who wishes to obtain a copy of the reporter's transcript for a particular court proceeding must purchase it directly from the court reporting agency that transcr bed the proceeding. Public agencies in possession of such transcripts are prohibited from providing a copy of the transcript to a requesting party. This statutory directive is incorporated into the PRA by California Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k).
Complet Erin ed Nickless	Nickless	Patterso n	Complet A. Kay ed Lauterba ch
ed duple	Complet	Complet ed ed	Comple
D From the California State University: 1) Hiring Committee files on top candidates; on ALL candidates that were interviewed for the position of Assistant Professor of Fiction Writing in 2015 and 2016, including hiring committee members notes on said candidates. 2) Hiring Committee Members personal notes that were taken during interview process.	1/30/20 eviction records	My name is Marc Falzon, and I am an MFA candidate within the University of Hartford International Limited-Residency Photography Masters Program under director Robert Lyons, Alec Soth of Magnum Photos, Dr. Jörg Colberg of Conscientious and other contemporary artists and educators. Through the University of Hartford I am researching materials for my photography masters thesis on mass violence in the United States. To that end, I would like to inquire I could see & or photography any physical evidence, files, or photographs related to the bellow case: Name: PATRICK PURDY Toxicology Number: As a reminder, Patrick Purdy was the perpetrator in the 1989 Cleveland Elementary schoolyard shooting. Would your department be able to point me in the direction of where I could find the case evidence? Thank you for your time & consideration,	DayleL LYN, Case#SCR62347, Court records and arrest records
1/29/20	1/30/20	1/30/20	1/30/20
Linsey	Makele	Marc	Daniel
Wagner	=	Falzon	Estep
PRA- 2018- 00218	PRA- 2018- 00176	PRA- 2018- 00179	PRA- 2018- 00181

the oral court proceedings contained within these files. The Attorney General is also prohibited by Penal Code section 11105 from releasing criminal arrest record information. However, an individual who wishse to review their own criminal arrest records may submit a personal request for that information in accordance with a prescribed protocol. You can find information on how to obtain a copy of your criminal history records as well as information on how to obtain a copy of your criminal history records as well as information on how to obtain a copy of your criminal history records as well as information on how to obtain a copy of your criminal history records as well as information on how to request Live Scan services. The Attorney General is, however, able to provide you with the following listed documents: Case No. C053984 1. The Clerk's Transcript consisting of two volumes (451 pages) 2. Appellant's Copaning Brief (78 pages) 3. Respondent's Brief (36 pages) 4. Appellant's Reply Brief (36 pages) 5. Petition for Review (17 pages) 5. Petition for Review (17 pages) 6. Unpublished Decision of the California Court of Appeal on Remand (13 pages) 7. Respondent's Brief (18 pages) 8. Respondent's Brief (18 pages) 9. Respondent's Brief (18 pages) 9. Replant's Opening Brief (18 pages) 9. Respondent's Brief (18 pages) 9. Petition for Review (11 pages) 10. In the colory of the California Court of Appeal on Remand (13 pages) 11. The Clerk's Transcript consisting of one volume (57 pages) 12. Appellant's Opening Brief (18 pages) 13. Respondent's Brief (18 pages) 14. Appellant's Opening Brief (18 pages) 15. Petition for Review (11 pages) 16. Unpublished Decision of the California Court of Appeal on Remand (13 pages) 17. Respondent's Brief (18 pages) 18. Petition for Review (11 pages) 19. Petition for Review (11 pages) 10. Unpublished Decision of the California Court of Appeal on Remand (13 pages) 10. Unpublished Decision of the Californial Court of Appeal on Remand (13 pages) 10. Unpublished Decis	We interpret the above as a request for records showing why the California Health be reduities Financing Authority (CHFFA) board approved a request from Sutterhealth to refund prior bonds through the issuance of the 2016 Bonds, and why the Attorney General, as issuer's counsel, made a legal determination that the 2016 Bonds were valid. Regarding records showing why the CHFFA board approved the issuance of the 2016 Bonds, we do not have records responsive to your request. Nonetheless, for your information, we have enclosed a report prepared by CHFFA staff that was distributed to the board members for the July 7, 2016 meeting at which the 2016 Bonds were approved, as well as the minutes of that meeting. These records reflect recommendations from CHFFA staff regarding the issuance of the 2016 bonds. While these records were part of the deliberations that eventually concluded with CHFFA approval of the 2016 Bonds, we make no representation as to whether or which portions of the staff recommendations any given CHFFA board member incorporated into his or her judgment as reflected in his or her vote. We offer these records only as a courtesy because they may relate to your request. Regarding records showing why our office, as issuer's counsel, made a legal determination that the 2016 Bonds were valid, such records are not disclosable as attorney work product. The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions,
	Nickless
	Complet
	Per Gov. Code Sec. 6250 et seq., I seek records which provides transparency to why the Sutterhealth Bonds series for 2003/2005/2006/2007/ 2008 the revenues were refunded in 2016. The request is relevant to my January 9, 2016 PRA.
	11/30/20
	Ē
	Hewlett
	PRA- 2018- 00182

opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. section 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, section 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) This completes our response to your request.	There was no record description or comment included with your contact information to identify which records you are seeking. As there were no records identified in your request, we are unable to provide records that are responsive. If you can provide more information as to which documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of the documents that are within the control and custody of DOJ.	We were unable to locate records based on the information provided. Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.	We were unable to locate records based on the information provided. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed by the State of Idaho, you may wish to direct your request to the office of the court in which the case is filed or the agency that filed the law suit.
	Erin Nickless	less	Nickless
	Complet Erin	Complet Erin	Complet Erin ed Nick
	1/30/20 not remember 18	1/31/20 Requesting copy. Victim of a Domestic Violence in the state of California, year 2014 through 2015. Occured in the address 342 w 75th st los angeles, ca 90003 Requesting copy as soon as possible please.	1/31/20 Please send information regarding case State Of Idaho vs. Fred Martinez
	1/30/20	1/31/20	1/31/20
	Manuel	Maite	Marla
	Copos	Arciniega	Hurst
	PRA- 2018- 00184	PRA- 7	PRA- 1 2018- 00221